

# The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited



## Project Grading Criteria

### *Specialized Rating Methodology*

#### **Table of Contents**

1. Introduction ..... 2
2. Rating Framework..... 2
3. Management Systems..... 3
4. Business Risk ..... 3
5. Performance Risk ..... 4
6. Financial Risk..... 5

#### **Summary**

This assessment framework describes PACRA's approach to grading real estate projects, designed to opine on the execution prospects of a real estate project as per plan and ability to transfer as per terms. PACRA's analysis is based on four key elements, namely: project management systems, business risk, performance risk, and financing risk. Project specific factors along with exogenous factors are taken into consideration. The grading is not a recommendation to lend/do business/invest with a certain project.

#### **Analyst Contacts**

Zoya Aqib  
+92-42-3586 9504  
zoya.aqib@pacra.com

#### **The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited**

##### **Head Office**

FB1 Awami Complex  
Usman Block, New Garden Town  
Lahore  
Phone +92 42 3586 9504

##### **Karachi Office**

PNSC Building, 3rd Floor  
M.T. Khan Road, Lalazar, Karachi  
Phone +92 21 35632601

**Disclaimer:** PACRA has used due care in preparation of this document. Our information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA shall owe no liability whatsoever to any loss or damage caused by or resulting from any error in such information. Contents of PACRA documents may be used, with due care and in the right context, with credit to PACRA. Our reports and ratings constitute opinions, not recommendations to buy or to sell.

### 1. Introduction

**Scope:** Opinion on relative management quality, customer service and sustainability of operations of a broker

**1.1 Overview:** Real estate is considered an important sector in Pakistan. One important factor which could discourage lenders, and investors (intermediary investors as well as end-users) is the credibility of developers and the reliability of completing projects on time and without cost overruns. This factor assumes greater significance in the backdrop of a number of failures in the past relating to property development and housing schemes. What could provide a degree of comfort to lenders and investors? This question prompted PACRA to launch a system of grading real estate projects. PACRA grading is an independent opinion, aims to serve as a tool for identifying risks associated with the concerned project. The grading of real estate projects is designed to opine on the execution prospects of a real estate project as per plan and ability to transfer as per terms. Where needed, PACRA may engage an external consultant to have a more detailed technical insight into the project whose grading assignment is under process.

**1.2 Scope:** The grading is expected to facilitate the overall growth of the real estate sector by providing developers with incentives to conform to their promise. A graded project enhances the confidence of the end users and provides comfort to lenders of these projects, thereby facilitating the flow of institutional funds to the project/project owner. Besides benefiting the sector participants and end-users (investors/customers), the grading is designed to provide objective opinions as inputs in the pricing and credit decisions of banks/financial institutions. The grading is not a recommendation to lend/do business/invest with a certain project.

**1.3 Rating Scale:** Project Grading has five rating categories from “PG1” to “PG5” with PG1 being the highest. In addition, the scale is appended with “+” and “++” signs to denote relative status within each category except for “PG1” and “PG5”.

### 2. Rating Framework

**Rating Framework:** Qualitative and quantitative factors, all factors assessed on standalone *and* relative basis

**2.1** This document delineates factors incorporated in PACRA’s rating opinion to enable investors, market participants and lenders in understanding the rating considerations. The rating is based on both qualitative and quantitative factors, including:

Management Systems	Business Risk	Performance Risk	Financing Risk
--------------------	---------------	------------------	----------------

### 3. Management Systems

Assessment of management's policies and philosophies to get insight into likely future performance in stressed situations and possible departure from stated plans and guidelines

Sr.	Factor	Explanation
1	<b>Project Planning and Management System</b>	Evaluate the usage of software and M IS tools used to aid the planning and monitoring of project activities
2	<b>Pre-commencement Activities</b>	Evaluates the planning function with regards to comprehensiveness before the commencement of project construction
3	<b>Regulatory Approvals</b>	System for seeking approvals once the design phase has been completed
4	<b>Title management system</b>	Evaluation of the system for ensuring land titles are valid and as per requirements
5	<b>Provisioning for Utilities</b>	Evaluate the methods for ensuring utility connections
6	<b>Contractor and Supplier Selection</b>	Process for selecting contractors and suppliers
7	<b>Process for activities</b>	How completed activities are verified and ensured
8	<b>Coordination Mechanism</b>	Formal and informal communication; coordination of project activities involving different partners
9	<b>Reporting Quality</b>	Frequency of reports, details listed, including;
10	<b>Project Manager</b>	Experience of project manager and his ability to provide input to stakeholders on various activities
11	<b>PM Team</b>	Evaluate the depth of project management team and the setup for segregation of duties
12	<b>On-site Supervision</b>	Site supervision system of the developer
13	<b>Resource availability</b>	Availability of resources (manpower, machinery, procurement) for construction
14	<b>Resource Utilization</b>	Utilization of resources on site

### 4. Business Risk

Assessment of project's ability to adapt to changes in the economic and business environments in the segments in which the project operates.

Sr.	Factor	Explanation
<b>A) Project specific data</b>		
1	<b>Type of Project</b>	Relates to the ultimate usage of the constructed entity, which impacts its marketability
2	<b>Target Market</b>	What is the target market for the developer's project/s
3	<b>Location risk</b>	Dynamics of the local market, based on which city the project is located in and its accessibility with respect to usage

4	<b>Project Concept risk</b>	Whether the concept of the project (design, utility and scale ) is expected to aid or hamper sales
5	<b>Pricing of Project</b>	Whether pricing corresponds to the target market
6	<b>Key Selling Point (KSP)</b>	If sufficiently differentiated from substitutes in the local market
7	<b>Current Stage of Construction</b>	Percentage completion achieved at the time of grading
8	<b>Viability of sales plan</b>	Whether the time-lines and price points in the sales plan are achievable and whether they will be communicated to the target market in time
9	<b>Regulatory Risk</b>	Risk of intervention from a regulatory body based on project design elements and/or political risk
<b>B) Exogenous factors</b>		
1	<b>Economic Cycle</b>	Impact of economic cycle, through construction + sales period
2	<b>Brand Recognition</b>	Ability of the developer to attract buyers based on previous history and reputation in the market
3	<b>Developer structure</b>	Incorporation and structuring of the developer's business

## 5. Performance Risk

Assessment of likelihood of timely completion, meeting performance standards and consequences of not meeting them

Sr.	Factor	Explanation
<b>A) Timeliness</b>		
1	<b>Viability of Master-plan</b>	To judge whether the project as conceived is viable for implementation
2	<b>Master-plan</b>	Compliance Stability of master plan and level of compliance with it
3	<b>Project Priority</b>	Priority of project within the portfolio of the developer
4	<b>Status of Current Delays</b>	Delays in construction activities completed so far
5	<b>Origin of Delay</b>	Ascertain why the delays were experienced. The earlier the delays are in the lifecycle of the project, the more likelihood that they may be recouped later
6	<b>Time Recovery Measures</b>	Presence of and effectiveness of time recovery measures in the contracts with construction partners
7	<b>Parallel Execution Capacity</b>	Evaluate whether the developers and the contractors for the project have ability to execute multiple activities at the same time
8	<b>Financial Penalties</b>	Penalty on developer from the customer and penalty on contractors from the developer

<b>B) Quality of Execution</b>		
1	<b>QC Structure</b>	Evaluate the QC function implemented by the developer for the said project
2	<b>Independence of QC</b>	Evaluate the degree of independence of quality control mechanism
3	<b>Workflow</b>	Evaluate the placement of QC staff in overall project management
4	<b>Environmental</b>	Impact Assessment of environmental impact of project – usually through mandated EIA (Environmental impact assessment Report)
5	<b>Quality of Delivered Activities</b>	Evaluate quality of constructed structure

## 6. Financial Risk

Assessment of project's financial flexibility and long-term viability to withstand lower revenues or higher costs.

<b>Sr.</b>	<b>Factor</b>	<b>Explanation</b>
1	<b>Project Financial Feasibility</b>	Can the project be completed (as per its design and construction schedule) in the specified cost
2	<b>Financial Policies</b>	Transparency and trustworthiness of financial statements including market value assessment and balance sheets
3	<b>Capacity to Pour- in more Equity</b>	Evaluate whether the developer can contribute more equity into the said project from other project
4	<b>Coverage of cost till Completion</b>	Percentage of outstanding costs covered through equity, advances and credit lines
5	<b>Project Breakeven</b>	Calculate break-even point with respect to sales/rentals
6	<b>Sales Plan</b>	When would the project be 100% sold
7	<b>Project Leveraging</b>	To reflect flexibility towards taking on bridge financing
8	<b>Project Encumbrance</b>	Whether any liens exist for the land of the project
9	<b>Profile of buyers</b>	Payment history and/or credit rating and profile of buyers of the project
10	<b>Liquidity</b>	Assess the liquid assets of the developer (including cash, unused portion of bank lines, mutual funds or stock investments)

### Project Grading

An independent opinion on the execution prospects of a real estate project as per plan and ability to transfer as per terms.

Scale	Definition
<b>PG1</b>	<b>Very Strong.</b> Very Strong prospects of successful implementation of real estate project and transfer as per terms. Project risk factors are very low.
<b>PG2++</b> <b>PG2+</b> <b>PG2</b>	<b>Strong.</b> Strong prospects of successful implementation of the real estate project and transfer as per terms. Project risk factors are low.
<b>PG3++</b> <b>PG3+</b> <b>PG3</b>	<b>Good.</b> Good prospects of successful implementation of the real estate project and transfer as per terms. Project risk factors are moderate.
<b>PG4++</b> <b>PG4+</b> <b>PG4</b>	<b>Adequate.</b> Adequate prospects of successful implementation of the real estate project and transfer as per terms. Project risk factors are relatively high.
<b>PG5</b>	<b>Weak.</b> Weak prospects of implementation of the real estate project and transfer as per terms. Project risk factors are very high.

<p><b>Outlook (Stable, Positive, Negative, Developing)</b> Indicates the potential and direction of a rating over the intermediate term in response to trends in economic and/or fundamental business/financial conditions. It is not necessarily a precursor to a rating change. 'Stable' outlook means a rating is not likely to change. 'Positive' means it may be raised. 'Negative' means it may be lowered. Where the trends have conflicting elements, the outlook may be described as 'Developing'.</p>	<p><b>Rating Watch</b> Alerts to the possibility of a rating change subsequent to, or, in anticipation of some material identifiable event with indeterminable rating implications. But it does not mean that a rating change is inevitable. A watch should be resolved within foreseeable future, but may continue if underlying circumstances are not settled. Rating watch may accompany rating outlook of the respective opinion.</p>	<p><b>Suspension</b> It is not possible to update an opinion due to lack of requisite information. Opinion should be resumed in foreseeable future. However, if this does not happen within six (6) months, the rating should be considered withdrawn.</p>	<p><b>Withdrawn</b> A rating is withdrawn on a) termination of rating mandate, b) the debt instrument is redeemed, c) the rating remains suspended for six months, d) the entity/issuer defaults., or/and e) PACRA finds it impractical to surveill the opinion due to lack of requisite information.</p>	<p><b>Harmonization</b> A change in rating due to revision in applicable methodology or underlying scale.</p>
---	---	--	---	---

**Surveillance.** Surveillance on a publicly disseminated grading opinion is carried out on an ongoing basis till it is formally suspended or withdrawn. A comprehensive surveillance of grading opinion is carried out at least once every six months. However, a grading opinion may be reviewed in the intervening period if it is necessitated by any material happening.

**Disclaimer:** PACRA has used due care in preparation of this document. Our information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA shall owe no liability whatsoever to any loss or damage caused by or resulting from any error in such information. Contents of PACRA documents may be used, with due care and in the right context, with credit to PACRA. Our reports and ratings constitute opinions, not recommendations to buy or to sell.