

The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited

Rating Report

Mehran Sugar Mills Limited

Report Contents

Rating Analysis
 Financial Information

3. Rating Scale

4. Regulatory and Supplementary Disclosure

Rating History						
Dissemination Date	Long Term Rating	Short Term Rating	Outlook	Action	Rating Watch	
06-Apr-2023	A-	A2	Stable	Maintain	-	
06-Apr-2022	A-	A2	Stable	Maintain	-	
30-Sep-2021	A-	A2	Stable	Maintain	-	
30-Sep-2020	A-	A2	Stable	Maintain	-	
22-Oct-2019	A-	A2	Stable	Maintain	-	
03-May-2019	A-	A2	Stable	Maintain	-	
03-Dec-2018	A-	A2	Stable	Initial	-	

Rating Rationale and Key Rating Drivers

Pakistan's sugar industry is the country's 2nd largest agro-based industry, comprising 90 mills with an annual crushing capacity estimated ~ 80–90mln MT. The industry has overcome the raw material supply challenges, lately. However, support price of sugarcane, set by the Government considering the cost incurred by farmers, remains a constraint. During MY22, the support prices for sugar-cane in Punjab were fixed at PKR 230/maund and PKR 250/maund in Sindh. Actual realized sugarcane prices at the mill gate were even higher. During MY22, the overall sugar production increased by 9%, YoY, to 7.1msln MT (MY21: 6.5mln MT) due to better crop availability and an increase in area under cultivation. Subsequently, sugar prices witnessed ~12% decrease during MY22 compared to the preceding year. Furthermore, the Government has allowed exports of 0.5mln MT considering the surplus sugar production in the country. During the current crushing season (MY23), loss of area under cultivation of roughly 4.7% amidst flash floods; the forecast of sugar production is affected and is estimated to be ~7mln MT. The support prices have been fixed at PKR 300/maund for Punjab and PKR 302/maund for Sindh. Low sugar prices locally, and increased sugar-cane prices has led to the closure of several mills. However, sugar export, is anticipated to be favorable for millers.

The ratings reflect Mehran Sugar Mills Limited's ('Mehran Sugar' or 'the Company') strong business profile emanating from a diversified revenue stream, robust governance, and ability to post high recovery rates consistently, averaging in excess of 11% over the past five years. The Company was able to increase its sugar production during MY22 owing to the availability of cane. The margins also witnessed improvement amidst lower procurement costs. However, during the ongoing MY23, the procurement costs and pricing challenge in domestic prices could impact the financial performance. To mitigate risks associated with seasonality and volatility in the sugar industry, the Company's profitability is supported through a strategic joint venture investment in 'Unicol Limited', an ethanol production company. The management is optimistic that, going forward, the Company's profitability is expected to improve aided by consistent share of profit from Unicol Limited and capital gains/dividend income from short-term trading portfolio. The ratings draw comfort from Mehran Sugar's ability to maintain healthy topline and sizable short-term investment portfolio. The Company's financial risk profile is characterized by a moderately leveraged capital structure, adequate coverage ratios and adequate working capital management.

The ratings are dependent on the Company's ability to improve business margins, sustain adequate cashflows and coverages by adhering strict financial discipline, with an increased emphasis on working capital management. Any significant deterioration in margins and/or coverages will adversely impact the ratings.

Disclosure				
Name of Rated Entity	Mehran Sugar Mills Limited			
Type of Relationship	Solicited			
Purpose of the Rating	Entity Rating			
Applicable Criteria	Methodology Corporate Rating(Jun-22),Methodology Correlation Between Long-term & Short-term Rating Scales(Jun-22),Methodology Rating Modifiers(Jun-22)			
Related Research	Sector Study Sugar(Apr-22)			
Rating Analysts	Faiqa Qamar faiqa.qamar@pacra.com +92-42-35869504			



The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited

Profile

Legal Structure Mehran Sugar Mills Limited ('Mehran Sugar' or 'the Company') is a public limited company incorporated in December, 1965. The Company is listed on Pakistan Stock Exchange.

Background The Company commenced operations three years after incorporation in 1968 with a production capacity of 1,500 TCD (tons of cane crushed per day). Over the years, the Company managed to increase its crushing capacity to 12,500 TCD through capacity enhancement initiatives. Mehran Sugar entered in strategic partnership with other sugar companies in 2004 to establish a jointly operated distillery under the banner 'Unicol Limited' which has ethanol production capacity of 200,000 liters/day.

Operations The Company primarily manufactures sugar and its allied products. The mill is located in District Tando Allahyar, having an installed capacity of 12,500 TCD. During the ongoing MY22, the Company crushed 856,944MT of sugarcane to produce 95,642MT (MY21: 73,092 MT) of sugar while yielding a sucrose recovery rate of 10.72% (MY20: 11.13%).

Ownership

Ownership Structure Majority shareholding rests with individuals of Hasham Family (75%) ('Hasham Group'). Shareholding is divided among families of three brothers, Mr. M. Kasim Hasham (16%) and Mr. Khurram Kasim (11%), Mr. M. Ebrahim Hasham (14%) and Mr. Ahmed Ebrahim Hasham (11%), and Mr. M. Hussain Hasham (15%). The remaining shareholding belongs to general public and other corporations.

Stability The Company's controlling interests vests with one group and each family within the group holds a defined share. The ownership of the Company is seen as stable.

Business Acumen Hasham Group started its first business enterprise in 1930s with Mr. Haji Hasham trading in commodities. Mehran Sugar was incorporated in 1965. Hasham Group ('the Group') has undergone forward integration and entered the Ethanol segment through Unicol Limited. The Group has lately ventured into FMCG sector through a joint venture 'UniFoods Limited'. The group has also vested in energy sector (Mehran Energy Limited and UniEnergy Limited). However, lately the Company has impaired its investments in UniFoods Limited and Mehran Energy Limited.

Financial Strength As at MY22, the Company had a consolidated asset base of PKR 6.6bln and consolidated equity base of PKR 2.8bln.

Governance

Board Structure The Board of Directors comprises of ten individuals, which include two executive directors, five non-executive directors, and three Independent Directors.

Members' Profile Sponsoring family has a strong presence on the Board. However, members have significant experience in the sugar industry which is balanced by an adequate mix of business, finance, and legal experts. Mr. Kasim Hasham, Chairman of the BoD, has significant experience in sugar and packaging industry.

Board Effectiveness In order to maintain effective oversight, the Board of Directors have formed two committees, namely, the Audit Committee and the Human Resource and Remuneration Committee. During MY22, four meetings of the Audit Committee and two meetings of the Human Resources and Remuneration Committee were conducted.

Financial Transparency M/s EY Ford Rodhes, classified in category 'A' by SBP, with a satisfactory QCR ratings. They have issued an unqualified opinion on Sep-22 financial statements.

Management

Organizational Structure The Company is headed by the Chief Executive Officer. The Company's Chief Financial Officer, Resident Director, and Director Cane report directly to the CEO. Internal Audit, HR & IT are headed by separate managers and they report functionally to CEO and CFO. However, the head of Internal Audit and HR functionally reports to the Board Audit Committee and Board HR & Remuneration Committee and the Company Secretary functionally reports to the Board's Chairman. **Management Team** Management has long association with Mehran Sugar adding the required experience in sugar industry and their respective fields. Mr. Ahmed Ebrahim Hasham acts as the CEO of the Company and has 21 years of practical experience in various sectors.

Effectiveness The Company has instituted an Executive Committee comprising all heads of departments. The Committee is headed by the CEO and meets on a monthly basis to review performance and enable short-term decision making.

MIS Mehran Sugar has deployed an in-house system which has a sugar cane management module, store management module and human resources module. These are all integrated with the accounting system which is also developed in-house.

Control Environment The Company has outsourced the internal audit function to Grant Thornton Anjum Rahman.

Business Risk

Industry Dynamics During MY22, the support prices for sugar-cane in Punjab were fixed at PKR 230/maund and PKR 250/maund in Sindh. Actual realized sugarcane prices at the mill gate were even higher. During MY22, the overall sugar production increased by 9%, YoY, to 7.1mln MT (MY21: 6.5mln MT) due to better crop availability and an increase in area under cultivation. Subsequently, sugar prices witnessed ~12% decrease. During the current crushing season (MY23), loss of area under cultivation of roughly 4.7% amidst flash floods; the forecast of sugar production is affected and is estimated to be ~7mln MT. The Government has allowed exports of 0.25mln MT on the basis of production during MY22. The support prices have been fixed at PKR 300/maund for Punjab and PKR 302/maund for Sindh. Although low sugar prices locally and increased sugarcane prices have prompted many sugar mills to close the crushing early in MY23, sugar exports are expected to be favorable for the industry, ensuring liquidity remains intact.

Relative Position The Company contributed approximately ~2% to the total sugar production in the country.

Revenues The Company generates most of its revenue from the sale of refined sugar (~86%). However, sale of molasses (~11%) and bagasse (~3%) also contribute to the Company's turnover. During MY22, the Company's topline witnessed ~14% increase and stood at PKR 6.9bln (MY21: PKR 6.0bln) mainly due to higher volumetric sales amidst higher sugar-cane production and procurement. Going forward, during MY23, the Company's revenues are anticipated to remain similar to the preceding, however, the export of sugar is expected to aid the Company's topline.

Margins During MY22, the Company posted an increased gross margin of $\sim 11.7\%$, as compared to $\sim 10.5\%$ during MY21 due to lower procurement costs YoY amidst higher sugar cane production. Similarly, operating margin stood at 7.0% (MY21: 5.4%). At net level, the Company's bottom-line witnessed significant increase and stood at PKR 289mln (MY21: Net Loss PKR 24mln). Subsequently, net profit margin increased to to 4.2% (MY21: -0.4%). Going forward, during MY23, the Company's margins are expected to dip slightly owing to the increase in the procurement costs and relatively lower sugar prices, locally.

Sustainability High prices in the international market and export of sugar is expected to bode well for the company in future. However, the recent supply chain challenges during MY23 could the financial performance of several sugar mills.

Financial Risk

Working Capital In MY22, the Company's net working capital days witnessed increase (MY22: 53 days, MY21: 12 days) on the back of higher inventory days. Inventory days in MY22 increased to 56 days as compared to 19 days in MY21. Receivable days witnessed slight increase and stood at 5 days (MY21: 3 days), and Payable days stood at 7 days (MY21: 9 days). Short-term trade leverage improved but still remains stretched and negative as at MY22.

Coverages Free cash flows witnessed ~35% increase amidst higher profitability and stood at ~PKR 625mln in MY22 (MY21: ~PKR 463mln) and finance cost almost doubled and stood at PKR 526mln in MY22 (MY21: PKR 265mln) amidst interest rate hike. Subsequently, interest coverage dipped slightly to 1.2x (MY20: 1.8x) and debt coverage ratio remained stable at 0.7x. Debt Payback period increased to 10.2x. The coverages are expected to remain adequate, going forward.

Capitalization The Company has a moderately leveraged capital structure, represented by a debt-to-equity ratio of ~46% as at MY22. Total debt is inclined towards short-term borrowings which represented ~54% of total borrowings. As at MY22, total borrowings stood at ~PKR 2,333mln (MY20: PKR 2,406mln). Going forward, the Company's capital structure is anticipated to have increased short-term borrowings to finance working capital requirement

Sugar

he Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited			F	inancial Summary PKR mlr
Mehran Sugar Mills	Sep-22	Sep-21	Sep-20	Sep-19
Sugar	12M	12M	12M	12M
BALANCE SHEET				
1 Non-Current Assets	2,708	2,630	2,273	2,192
2 Investments	732	1,317	1,108	995
3 Related Party Exposure	1,167	1,053	1,236	1,363
4 Current Assets a Inventories	1,989 <i>1,553</i>	893 562	584 61	2,413 1,06
b Trade Receivables	1,555	502 70	18	79
5 Total Assets	6,596	5,893	5,201	6,963
6 Current Liabilities	1,309	666	687	1,334
a Trade Payables	126	155	157	31
7 Borrowings	2,333	2,406	1,579	2,83
8 Related Party Exposure	-	-	-	
9 Non-Current Liabilities	204	325	327	382
10 Net Assets	2,750	2,496	2,608	2,41
11 Shareholders' Equity	2,750	2,496	2,608	2,41
	,	,	,	, .
INCOME STATEMENT	6.000		(10.5	
1 Sales	6,898	6,064	6,425	5,312
a Cost of Good Sold	(6,091)	(5,427)	(5,960)	(4,49
2 Gross Profit	807	637	465	82
a Operating Expenses	(328)	(307)	(266)	(31:
3 Operating Profit	479	330	199	500
a Non Operating Income or (Expense)	<u>441</u> 920	(47)	<u> </u>	39
4 Profit or (Loss) before Interest and Tax a Total Finance Cost				89'
b Taxation	(526) (104)	(265) (42)	(342) (116)	(38)
6 Net Income Or (Loss)	289	(42)	47	(108 404
CASH FLOW STATEMENT				
a Free Cash Flows from Operations (FCFO)	625	463	324	647
b Net Cash from Operating Activities before Working Capital Changes	173	458	156	338
c Changes in Working Capital	(483)	(587)	560	81.
1 Net Cash provided by Operating Activities	(311)	(129)	716	1,14
2 Net Cash (Used in) or Available From Investing Activities	430	(660)	1	(16
3 Net Cash (Used in) or Available From Financing Activities	(97)	790	(1,380)	(324
4 Net Cash generated or (Used) during the period	22	1	(663)	65
RATIO ANALYSIS				
1 Performance				
a Sales Growth (for the period)	13.8%	-5.6%	21.0%	
b Gross Profit Margin	11.7%	10.5%	7.2%	15.4%
c Net Profit Margin	4.2%	-0.4%	0.7%	7.6%
d Cash Conversion Efficiency (FCFO adjusted for Working Capital/Sales)	2.1%	-2.0%	13.8%	27.4%
 e Return on Equity [Net Profit Margin * Asset Turnover * (Total Assets/Sh 2 Working Capital Management 	11.0%	-0.9%	1.9%	16.7%
a Gross Working Capital (Average Days)	60	21	35	78
b Net Working Capital (Average Days)	53	12	21	57
<i>c Current Ratio (Current Assets / Current Liabilities)</i> 3 Coverages	1.5	1.3	0.8	1.8
a EBITDA / Finance Cost	1.5	2.4	1.4	1.9
b FCF0 / Finance Cost b FCF0 / Finance Cost+CMLTB+Excess STB	0.7	2.4 0.7	0.7	0.9
c Debt Payback (Total Borrowings+Excess STB) / (FCFO-Finance Cost)	10.2	6.7	-87.4	0.9 4.1
4 Capital Structure				
a Total Borrowings / (Total Borrowings+Shareholders' Equity)	45.9%	49.1%	37.7%	54.0%
a Total Borrowings / (Total Borrowings+Shareholders' Equity) b Interest or Markup Payable (Days) c Entity Average Borrowing Rate	45.9% 67.8 13.1%	49.1% 70.6 8.9%	37.7% 21.9 12.5%	54.0% 120.6 13.4%

Corporate Rating Criteria

Scale

Short-term Rating

Definition The highest capacity for timely repayment.

A strong capacity for timely

repayment. A satisfactory capacity for timely repayment. This may be susceptible to

adverse changes in business. economic, or financial conditions An adequate capacity for timely repayment.

Such capacity is susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial The capacity for timely repayment is more susceptible to adverse changes in business,

economic, or financial conditions. Liquidity may not be sufficient. Short-term Rating **A1**

A1+

AAA AA+AA AA- \mathbf{A} + A

A-BBB-BBB BBB-BB+ BB BB \mathbf{R} + В B-CCC CC С

A2

A3

Credit Rating

Credit rating reflects forward-looking opinion on credit worthiness of underlying entity or instrument; more specifically it covers relative ability to honor financial obligations. The primary factor being captured on the rating scale is relative likelihood of default.

	Long-term Rating		
cale	Definition		
AAA	Highest credit quality. Lowest expectation of credit risk. Indicate exceptionally strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments		
A +			
AA	Very high credit quality. Very low expectation of credit risk. Indicate very strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments. This capacity is not significantly vulnerable to foreseeable events.		
AA-			
A+			
A	High credit quality. Low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered strong. This capacity may, nevertheless, be vulnerable to changes in circumstances or in economic conditions.		
A-			
BB+			
BBB	Good credit quality. Currently a low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered adequate, but adverse changes in circumstances and in economic conditions are more likely to impair this capacity.		
BBB-			
BB+	Moderate risk. Possibility of credit risk developing. There is a possibility of credit risk		
BB	developing, particularly as a result of adverse economic or business changes over time; however, business or financial alternatives may be available to allow financial commitments to be met.		
BB-			
B+			
В	High credit risk. A limited margin of safety remains against credit risk. Financial commitments are currently being met; however, capacity for continued payment is contingent upon a sustained, favorable business and economic environment.		
B-			
CCC	Very high credit risk. Substantial credit risk "CCC" Default is a real possibility.		
CC	Capacity for meeting financial commitments is solely reliant upon sustained, favorable business or economic developments. "CC" Rating indicates that default of some kind		
С	appears probable. "C" Ratings signal imminent default.		
D	Obligations are currently in default.		

CRA

*The correlation shown is indicative and, in certain cases, may not hold.

Outlook (Stable, Positive, Negative, Developing) Indicates the potential and direction of a rating over the intermediate term in response to trends in economic and/or fundamental business/financial conditions. It is not necessarily a precursor to a rating change. 'Stable' outlook means a rating is not likely to change. 'Positive' means it may be raised. 'Negative' means it may be lowered. Where the trends have conflicting elements, the outlook may be described as 'Developing'.	Rating Watch Alerts to the possibility of a rating change subsequent to, or, in anticipation of some material identifiable event with indeterminable rating implications. But it does not mean that a rating change is inevitable. A watch should be resolved within foreseeable future, but may continue if underlying circumstances are not settled. Rating watch may accompany rating outlook of the respective opinion.	Suspension It is not possible to update an opinion due to lack of requisite information. Opinion should be resumed in foreseeable future. However, if this does not happen within six (6) months, the rating should be considered withdrawn.	Withdrawn A rating is withdrawn on a) termination of rating mandate, b) the debt instrument is redeemed, c) the rating remains suspended for six months, d) the entity/issuer defaults., or/and e) PACRA finds it impractical to surveill the opinion due to lack of requisite information.	Harmonization A change in rating due to revision in applicable methodology or underlying scale.
---	---	--	--	---

Surveillance. Surveillance on a publicly disseminated rating opinion is carried out on an ongoing basis till it is formally suspended or withdrawn. A comprehensive surveillance of rating opinion is carried out at least once every six months. However, a rating opinion may be reviewed in the intervening period if it is necessitated by any material happening.

Note. This scale is applicable to the following methodology(s):

- a) Broker Entity Rating
- b) Corporate Rating
 - c) Debt Instrument Rating d) Financial Institution Rating
- e) Holding Company Rating
- f) Independent Power Producer Rating
- g) Microfinance Institution Rating h) Non-Banking Finance Companies Rating

Disclaimer: PACRA has used due care in preparation of this document. Our information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA shall owe no liability whatsoever to any loss or damage caused by or resulting from any error in such information. Contents of PACRA documents may be used, with due care and in the right context, with credit to PACRA. Our reports and ratings constitute opinions, not recommendations to buy or to sell.

Rating Team Statements

(1) Rating is just an opinion about the creditworthiness of the entity and does not constitute recommendation to buy, hold or sell any security of the entity rated or to buy, hold or sell the security rated, as the case may be | Chapter III; 14-3-(x)

2) Conflict of Interest

i. The Rating Team or any of their family members have no interest in this rating | Chapter III; 12-2-(j)

ii. PACRA, the analysts involved in the rating process and members of its rating committee, and their family members, do not have any conflict of interest relating to the rating done by them | Chapter III; 12-2-(e) & (k)

iii. The analyst is not a substantial shareholder of the customer being rated by PACRA [Annexure F; d-(ii)] Explanation: for the purpose of above clause, the term "family members" shall include only those family members who are dependent on the analyst and members of the rating committee

Restrictions

(3) No director, officer or employee of PACRA communicates the information, acquired by him for use for rating purposes, to any other person except where required under law to do so. | Chapter III; 10-(5)

(4) PACRA does not disclose or discuss with outside parties or make improper use of the non-public information which has come to its knowledge during business relationship with the customer | Chapter III; 10-7-(d)

(5) PACRA does not make proposals or recommendations regarding the activities of rated entities that could impact a credit rating of entity subject to rating | Chapter III; 10-7-(k)

Conduct of Business

(6) PACRA fulfills its obligations in a fair, efficient, transparent and ethical manner and renders high standards of services in performing its functions and obligations; | Chapter III; 11-A-(a)

(7) PACRA uses due care in preparation of this Rating Report. Our information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA does not, in every instance, independently verifies or validates information received in the rating process or in preparing this Rating Report | Clause 11-(A)(p).

(8) PACRA prohibits its employees and analysts from soliciting money, gifts or favors from anyone with whom PACRA conducts business | Chapter III; 11-A-(q)

(9) PACRA ensures before commencement of the rating process that an analyst or employee has not had a recent employment or other significant business or personal relationship with the rated entity that may cause or may be perceived as causing a conflict of interest; | Chapter III; 11-A-(r) (10) PACRA maintains principal of integrity in seeking rating business | Chapter III; 11-A-(u)

(11) PACRA promptly investigates, in the event of a misconduct or a breach of the policies, procedures and controls, and takes appropriate steps to rectify any weaknesses to prevent any recurrence along with suitable punitive action against the responsible employee(s) | Chapter III; 11-B-(m)

Independence & Conflict of interest

(12) PACRA receives compensation from the entity being rated or any third party for the rating services it offers. The receipt of this compensation has no influence on PACRA's opinions or other analytical processes. In all instances, PACRA is committed to preserving the objectivity, integrity and independence of its ratings. Our relationship is governed by two distinct mandates i) rating mandate - signed with the entity being rated or issuer of the debt instrument, and fee mandate - signed with the payer, which can be different from the entity

(13) PACRA does not provide consultancy/advisory services or other services to any of its customers or to any of its customers' associated companies and associated undertakings that is being rated or has been rated by it during the preceding three years unless it has adequate mechanism in place ensuring that provision of such services does not lead to a conflict of interest situation with its rating activities; | Chapter III; 12-2-(d)

(14) PACRA discloses that no shareholder directly or indirectly holding 10% or more of the share capital of PACRA also holds directly or indirectly 10% or more of the share capital of the entity which is subject to rating or the entity which issued the instrument subject to rating by PACRA; | Reference Chapter III; 12-2-(f)

(15) PACRA ensures that the rating assigned to an entity or instrument is not be affected by the existence of a business relationship between PACRA and the entity or any other party, or the non-existence of such a relationship | Chapter III; 12-2-(i)

(16) PACRA ensures that the analysts or any of their family members shall not buy or sell or engage in any transaction in any security which falls in the analyst's area of primary analytical responsibility. This clause shall, however, not be applicable on investment in securities through collective investment schemes. | Chapter III; 12-2-(1)

(17) PACRA has established policies and procedure governing investments and trading in securities by its employees and for monitoring the same to prevent insider trading, market manipulation or any other market abuse | Chapter III; 11-B-(g)

Monitoring and review

(18) PACRA monitors all the outstanding ratings continuously and any potential change therein due to any event associated with the issuer, the security arrangement, the industry etc., is disseminated to the market, immediately and in effective manner, after appropriate consultation with the entity/issuer; | Chapter III | 18-(a)

(19) PACRA reviews all the outstanding ratings on semi-annual basis or as and when required by any creditor or upon the occurrence of such an event which requires to do so; | Chapter III | 18-(b)

(20) PACRA initiates immediate review of the outstanding rating upon becoming aware of any information that may reasonably be expected to result in downgrading of the rating; | Chapter III | 18-(c)

(21) PACRA engages with the issuer and the debt securities trustee, to remain updated on all information pertaining to the rating of the entity/instrument; | Chapter III | 18-(d)

Probability of Default

(22) PACRA's Rating Scale reflects the expectation of credit risk. The highest rating has the lowest relative likelihood of default (i.e, probability). PACRA's transition studies capture the historical performance behavior of a specific rating notch. Transition behavior of the assigned rating can be obtained from PACRA's Transition Study available at our website. (www.pacra.com). However, actual transition of rating may not follow the pattern observed in the past | Chapter III | 14-(f-VII)

Proprietary Information

(23) All information contained herein is considered proprietary by PACRA. Hence, none of the information in this document can be copied or, otherwise reproduced, stored or disseminated in whole or in part in any form or by any means whatsoever by any person without PACRA's prior written consent