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## Global | Overview

- In CY23, the global cement sector was estimated at USD~406bln (CY22: USD~341bln), increase of $\sim 19.1 \%$ YoY while it contributed $\sim 0.4 \%$ (CY22: $\sim 0.4 \%$ ) to global GDP. Global cement market size is expected to grow from USD~423bln in CY24 to USD~592bln by CY32 with a CAGR of $\sim 4.3 \%$. The sector also contributes $\sim 7.7 \%$ * to global employment.
- Global cement production stood at $\sim 4,140 \mathrm{mln}$ MT (CY22: $\sim 4,117 \mathrm{mln}$ MT) recording an increase of $\sim 0.6 \%$ YoY. Cement production volumes are highly dependent on clinkering capacity; the process is energy-intensive and clinker is the primary raw material of all cement blends.
- In CY23, global clinker production capacity grew by $\sim 20 \mathrm{mln}$ MT and stood at $\sim 3,795 \mathrm{mln}$ MT.
- Cement is a hydroscopic substance with a maximum shelf life not greater than 3 months, therefore cement consumption closely matches its production numbers.
- China is the largest producer and consumer of cement, contributing over half of the global cement production ( $\sim 52.7 \%$ ) in CY23.



## Cement

## Global | Production

- The $\sim 0.6 \%$ YoY increase in global cement production stated previously was attributed to a resurgence in China's cement production, which had experienced a significant decline of $\sim 12.5 \%$ in CY22 due to the financial crisis among major real estate developers in the country.
- With reference to clinker capacity, the top five countries accounted for $\sim 70.0 \%$ of global clinker production capacity ( $\sim 2.7 \mathrm{bln}$ MT in CY23).
- Being an energy-intensive process, cement manufacturing is the third largest industrial polluter. However, tightening government regulations and increasing environmental concerns are pushing adoption of green cement.
- The Green Cement concept refers to cement manufactured from emissions reducing processes and/or use of lower clinker substituted with fly-ash or blast-furnace slag and is expected to contribute towards decarbonatization and sustainability.

| Regional \| Capacity and Production |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sr. | Country | Clinker Capacity |  | Cement Production |  |
|  |  | CY22 | CY23 | CY22 | CY23 |
| 1 | China | 53.0\% | 52.7\% | 51.0\% | 50.7\% |
| 2 | India | 7.7\% | 7.9\% | 9.2\% | 9.9\% |
| 3 | Vietnam | 2.6\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% | 2.7\% |
| 4 | USA | 2.6\% | 2.6\% | 2.3\% | 2.2\% |
| 5 | Turkey | 2.4\% | 2.4\% | 1.8\% | 1.9\% |
| 6 | Iran | 2.1\% | 2.1\% | 1.4\% | 1.6\% |
| 7 | Russia | 2.1\% | 2.1\% | 1.5\% | 1.4\% |
| 8 | Indonesia | 2.1\% | 2.1\% | 1.6\% | 1.5\% |
| 9 | KSA | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.3\% | 1.3\% |
| 10 | Brazil | 1.6\% | 1.6\% | 1.6\% | 1.5\% |
| 11 | ROW* | 21.7\% | 21.6\% | 25.5\% | 25.4\% |
|  | Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

## Cement
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## Global | Top 10 Players

- Cement sector's importance can be underpinned by its massive standalone output as well as its significance in being an essential part of the supply chain for some major industries such as construction, chemicals and exploration of natural resources.
- Due to capital-intensive nature of the business, the sector tends to be oligopolistic as the market is usually controlled by a few firms across countries around the world.
- China is the world's largest producer and consumer of cement as it accounts for $\sim 52.7 \%$ of the total global production. Six of the top 10 global players (as depicted) are located in China.
- China's cement production is $\sim 5 \mathrm{x}$ that of the world's second largest producer, India ( $\sim 9.9 \%$ ) where only 1 of the top 10 players is located.

| Sr. | Company | Country | Capacity (MT) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | China National Building <br> Material Group (CNBM) | China | 530 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Anhui Conch | China | 388 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Lafarge Holcim | Switzerland | 300 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Heidelberg | Germany | 176 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Jidong Cement | China | 170 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Ultra Tech Cement | India | 132 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Shanshui | China | 100 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Huaxin Cement | China | 100 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Cemex | Mexico | 83 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Hongshi Cement | China | 80 |
|  |  |  | Total |

## Cement

## Global | Exports (CY22)

| Sr. | Exporting Countries | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exports } \\ & \text { (USD mln) } \end{aligned}$ | Share in Exports Volume (\%) | Clinker Exports (mln MT) | Cement Exports (mln MT) | Clinker Exports (USD/MT) | Cement Exports (USD/MT) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Vietnam | 1,281 | 18.3\% | 15.6 | 14.0 | 40.7 | 46.0 |
| 2 | Turkiye | 1,662 | 17.9\% | 9.1 | 19.9 | 46.8 | 62.1 |
| 3 | Japan | 373 | 6.1\% | 4.4 | 5.5 | 37.2 | 38.0 |
| 4 | Indonesia | 377 | 5.6\% | 7.6 | 1.5 | 40.0 | 49.9 |
| 5 | Egypt | 570 | 5.5\% | 6.8 | 2.1 | 53.8 | 96.6 |
| 6 | Thailand | 380 | 4.9\% | 4.8 | 3.2 | 40.5 | 58.3 |
| 7 | Germany | 595 | 3.9\% | 0.1 | 6.3 | 81.7 | 93.6 |
| 8 | Spain | 429 | 3.4\% | 1.5 | 4.1 | 48.6 | 87.2 |
| 9 | Canada | 513 | 3.0\% | 0.6 | 4.3 | 78.8 | 108.6 |
| 10 | Pakistan | 166 | 2.2\% | 1.3 | 2.1 | 36.5 | 53.5 |
|  | ROW | 5,655 | 29.2\% | 2.5 | 45.0 | 45.6 | 100.5 |
|  | Total | 12,002 | 100\% | 54.2 | 107.9 | 62.9 | 79.6 |

- In CY22, global clinker and cement export volumes stood at $\sim 162.1 \mathrm{mln}$ MT (CY21: $\sim 226.2 \mathrm{mln}$ MT), forming $\sim 3.9 \%$ of global cement production (CY21: $\sim 5.1 \%$ ). Total export volumes comprised $\sim 33.4 \%$ clinker (CY21: $\sim 43.0 \%$ ) and $\sim 66.6 \%$ various varieties of cement including Portland Grey \& White, Aluminous and others (CY21: ~57\%).
- In USD terms, clinker held $\sim 28.4 \%$ global market share (CY21: $\sim 31.0 \%$ ) while cement comprised $\sim 71.6 \%$ of total exports (CY21: $\sim 69.0 \%$ ). The latter registered $\sim 26.4 \%$ higher USD/MT export value as well as $\sim 99.0 \%$ higher export volumes. The top 10 exporting counties held $\sim 70.8 \%$ share in global exported volumes, while the top 7 exporting countries exported clinker at $\sim 29.2 \%$ and cement at $\sim 25.3 \%$ cheaper rates than the global average.
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## Cement

## Global | Imports (CY22)

| Sr. | Importing Countries | Imports (USD mln) | Share in Imports <br> Volume (\%) | Clinker Imports (mln MT) | Cement Imports (mln MT) | Clinker Imports (USD/MT) | Cement Imports (USD/MT) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | USA | 2,690 | 23.2\% | 1.2 | 25.3 | 83.9 | 102.1 |
| 2 | China | 600 | 7.5\% | 8.3 | 0.1 | 55.0 | 102.1 |
| 3 | Australia | 347 | 4.1\% | 4.5 | 0.1 | 56.5 | 76.9 |
| 4 | Israel | 439 | 4.0\% | 0.8 | 3.8 | 74.3 | 99.4 |
| 5 | Singapore | 276 | 3.8\% | 0 | 4.3 | 169.4 | 63.6 |
| 6 | Philippines | 638 | 3.2\% | 3.6 | 0.0 | 59.5 | 44.1 |
| 7 | Hong Kong | 234 | 3.1\% | 0.6 | 3 | 61.9 | 65.9 |
| 8 | Sri Lanka | 182 | 2.5\% | 1.5 | 1.4 | 63.71 | 62.6 |
| 9 | Kuwait | 235 | 2.5\% | 2.2 | 0.7 | 74.3 | 113.6 |
| 10 | Italy | 263 | 2.4\% | 1.1 | 1.7 | 70.0 | 111.0 |
|  | ROW | 7,477 | 43.7\% | 13.9 | 35.9 | 186.2 | 136.0 |
|  | Total | 13,383 | 100\% | 37.8 | 76.5 | 107.3 | 122.0 |

- Global import volumes of cement and clinker stood at $\sim 114 \mathrm{mln}$ MT in CY22 (CY21: $\sim 178 \mathrm{mlnMT}$ ), where $\sim 33.1 \%$ were composed of clinker (CY21: $\sim 44.0 \%$ ) and $\sim 66.9 \%$ of cement (CY21: $\sim 56.0 \%$ ). In USD terms, clinker held $\sim 30.3 \%$ share (CY21: $\sim 36.0 \%$ ) while cement held $\sim 69.7 \%$ (CY21: ~64.0\%).
- The top 10 importing countries held $\sim 56.3 \%$ share in global clinker imports (CY21: $\sim 58.0 \%$ ), with the USA holding $\sim 23.2 \%$ share. With respect to cement imports, the top 10 importers held $\sim 52.8 \%$ share (CY21: $\sim 48.0 \%$ ) with USA ( $\sim 33.0 \%$ share; CY21: $\sim 20 \%$ ). Meanwhile, average import prices for cement were $\sim 2.3 \%$ higher than those of clinker imports.
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## Cement

## Production Process



## Cement

## Local | Overview

- In FY23, Pakistan's GDP (nominal) stood at PKR~79.7trn (FY22: PKR~63.3trn), contracting, in real terms, by $\sim 0.17 \%$ YoY (FY22: $\sim 6.3 \%$ growth). Industrial activities in FY23 held $\sim 21.7 \%$ share in the GDP while the manufacturing activities made up $\sim 65 \%$ of the value addition. In 1QFY24, Pakistan's GDP (nominal) stood at PKR~22.6trn (1QFY23: PKR~18.4trn), rising in real terms by $\sim 2.5 \%$ (1QFY23: $\sim 0.99 \%$ ). However, the GDP growth rate of $\sim 1.0 \%$ in real terms for the 2QFY24 signals a deceleration in comparison to both 2QFY23 and 1QFY24 when real GDP growth rate stood at $\sim 2.2 \%$ and $\sim 2.5 \%$, respectively.
- Large Scale Manufacturing (LSM) in Pakistan is essential for the economic growth considering its linkages with other sectors, as it represented $\sim 75.6 \%$ value of manufacturing activities in FY23. The LSM fell by $\sim 10.3 \%$ in FY23 (FY22: $\sim 11.7 \%$ ) However, it increased by $\sim 0.51 \%$ YoY in 7MFY24 period.
- The Cement sector is classified as a Large Scale Manufacturing (LSM) industrial component within the industrial sector. In FY23, the cement sector's weight in the QIM was recorded at $\sim 4.7 \%$. During 7MFY24, the cement production witnessed an uptick of $\sim 0.1 \%$ YoY.



## Cement

## Local | Snapshot

- The country's infrastructural developments and construction activities alongside multiple allied sectors (steel, wood, tiles etc.) are directly influenced by the performance of the cement sector.
- In FY23, the overall sector gross revenue grew by $\sim 17.2 \%$, although total dispatches dipped by $\sim 15.1 \%$. The $\sim 40.0 \%$ higher retention prices per bag drove the sector's topline.
- The sector comprises 16 companies (after Askari Cement merged with Fauji Cement) and is divided into two regions, North and South, with North covering areas of Punjab, KPK and AJK and South including areas of Sindh and Baluchistan.
- Cement sector is organized and is oligopolistic in nature, with most players listed on the PSX. Market capitalization of the sector was recorded around PKR~584bln in Mar'24 (SPLY: PKR~378bln). Overall economic growth and GoP spending on development projects are the main drivers of the sector's growth.
- During FY23, Pakistan's per capita cement consumption stood at $\sim 215 \mathrm{Kg}$ whereas world average per capita consumption is recorded at $\sim 550 \mathrm{Kg}$, reflecting an immense potential for growth in cement demand.

| Overview \| Local | FY21 | FY22 | FY23 | 1HFY23 | 1HFY24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross Revenue (PKR bln) | 542 | 687 | 805 | 202 | 338 |
| Contribution to GDP | 1.04\% | 1.08\% | 1.01\% | 0.5\%* | 0.7\%* |
| Sector Players | 17 | 16 |  |  |  |
| Market Structure | Oligopoly |  |  |  |  |
| Production Capacity (mln MT) | 69 | 70 | 77 | - | - |
|  | FY21 | FY22 | FY23 | 8MFY23 | 8MFY24 |
| Offtake (mln MT) | 48 | 48 | 40 | 27 | 26 |
| Exports (mln MT) | 9 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 4 |
| Total Offtake (mln MT) | 57 | 53 | 45 | 30 | 30 |
| North Region (Avg Price/50Kg Bag) | 613 | 764 | 1,064 | 1,041 | 1,205 |
| South Region (Avg <br> Price/50Kg Bag) | 631 | 777 | 1,081 | 1,038 | 1,192 |
| Regulator | Securities and Exchange Commission of Pakistan (SECP) |  |  |  |  |
| Associations | All Pakistan Cement Manufacturers Association (APCMA) |  |  |  |  |

## Cement

## Supply Side | Production

- Cement sector's production capacity was recorded at $\sim 77 \mathrm{mln}$ MT in FY23 ( $\sim 70 \mathrm{mln}$ MT in FY22), an increase of $\sim 10.0 \%$ YoY.
- $\sim 76 \%$ of the operational plants are located in the North Region, while remaining $\sim 24 \%$ capacity is located in the South Region.
- The sector held PKR~46.0bln worth of LTFF \& TERF financing facilities by End-Feb'24 (End-Feb'23: PKR~48.0bln).
- The LTFF facility serves to dilute the borrowing cost of projects, making it an attractive opportunity for the players to expand. However, LTFF discount rates were revised down to $\sim 3 \%$ in Dec'22, compared with $\sim 5 \%$ during the prior period.



## Cement

Production Capacity | ('000' MT)
Supply Side | Production

- The sector has 15 operational companies with 28 operational plants across the country. 10 companies have presence in North region while 3 have presence in the South region, whereas 3 companies have production plants in both regions.
- As of Jun'23, Lucky Cement Ltd. Is the largest player with a production capacity of $\sim 15.3 \mathrm{mln}$ MT (Jun'22: ~12.2mln MT) followed by Bestway Cement Ltd. and D.G Khan Cement Ltd., as depicted.
- Companies in the South Region incur lower transportation costs while transporting imported coal from port to their plants. Moreover, due to their close proximity to the port, their access to export markets through sea routes increases in comparison to their northern counter parts.
- Although the companies in the North Region have to incur higher transportation costs, these companies have access to Afghanistan and Indian market for exports.

| Production Capacity \| ('000' MT) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FY23 1HFY24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sr. | Company | No. of Plants | North | South | Total | No. of Plants | North | South | Total |
| 1 | Lucky Cement Ltd. | 2 | 10,216 | 5,084 | 15,300 | 2 | 9,645 | 5,310 | 14,955 |
| 2 | Bestway Cement Ltd. | 5 | 11,623 | - | 11,623 | 5 | 14799 | - | 14,799 |
| 3 | Fauji Cement Company Ltd. | 3 | 7,472 | - | 7,472 | 3 | 8355 | - | 8,355 |
| 4 | Maple Leaf Cement Factory Ltd. | 2 | 7,100 | - | 7,100 | 2 | 8190 | - | 8,190 |
| 5 | D.G. Khan Cement Company Ltd. | 3 | 2,010 | 4,710 | 6,720 | 3 | 4,221 | 2,835 | 7,056 |
| 6 | Pioneer Cement Ltd. | 1 | 5,195 | - | 5,195 | 1 | 5454 | - | 5,454 |
| 7 | Kohat Cement Company Ltd. | 2 | 4,913 | - | 4,913 | 2 | 5018 | - | 5,018 |
| 8 | Cherat Cement Company Ltd. | 1 | 4,536 | - | 4,536 | 1 | 4536 | - | 4,536 |
| 9 | Power Cement Ltd. | 1 | - | 3,371 | 3,371 | 1 | - | 3,371 | 3,371 |
| 10 | Attock Cement Pakistan Ltd. | 1 | - | 3,027 | 3,027 | 1 | - | 3,027 | 3,027 |
| 11 | Dewan Cement Ltd. | 2 | 1,080 | 1,860 | 2,940 | 2 | 1,134 | 1,953 | 3,087 |
| 12 | Gharibwal Cement Ltd. | 1 | 2,010 | - | 2,010 | 1 | 2111 | - | 2,111 |
| 13 | Fecto Cement Ltd. | 1 | 1,000 | - | 1,000 | 1 | 945 | - | 945 |
| 14 | Flying Cement Company Ltd. | 1 | 720 | - | 720 | 1 | 1197 | - | 1,197 |
| 15 | Thatta Cement Company Ltd. | 1 | - | 693 | 693 | 1 | 0 | 577 | 577 |
| 16 | Dandot Cement Ltd.* | 1 | 504 | - | 504 | 1 | 504 | - | 504 |
|  | Total | 28 | 58,379 | 18,745 | 77,124 | 28 | 66,109 | 17,073 | 83,182 |

## Cement

## Supply Side | Cost Break up (FY23)

- Major raw materials used in cement manufacturing are limestone, clay and gypsum. Raw materials and packaging, however, constituted a smaller portion of the production cost $\sim 13.0 \%$ in FY23 (FY22: $\sim 14.0 \%$ ).
- Cement manufacturing is a highly energyintensive process as $\sim 1 \mathrm{MT}$ of clinker requires $\sim 4.6 \mathrm{mln}$ BTUs of energy equivalent to burning $\sim 160 \mathrm{Kg}$ of bituminous coal.
- Energy costs constituted $\sim 72.0 \%$ of the total cost of the production in FY23 (FY22: ~68.0\%). Coal, being a cheap source of energy, is extensively used by cement companies for the manufacturing process, therefore, it constitutes a major chunk of the energy cost.
- Majority of the cement manufacturers rely on imported coal to meet their energy needs, which implies their exposure to exchange rate movements as well as fluctuations in international coal prices.

| Sr. | Company | Raw Material | Packaging | Fuel | Power | Others |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Attock Cement Pakistan Ltd. | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Bestway Cement Ltd. | $7 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Cherat Cement Company Ltd. | $7 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | DG Khan Cement Company Ltd. | $2 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Dewan Cement Ltd. | $8 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Fauji Cement Company Ltd. | $9 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Flying Cement Company Ltd. | $4 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | FECTO Cement Ltd. | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Gharibwal Cement Ltd. | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Kohat Cement Company Ltd. | $6 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Pioneer Cement Ltd. | $6 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Maple Leaf Cement Factory Ltd. | $6 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Power Cement Ltd. | $11 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Thatta Cement Company Ltd. | $8 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Lucky Cement Ltd. | $6 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
|  | Sector Average | $\mathbf{6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5} \%$ |

## Cement

## Raw Material | Coal

- National coal imports in FY23 stood at $\sim 8.9 \mathrm{mln}$ MT, recording a massive decrease of $\sim 50.8 \%$ YoY. This resulted due to SBP's import ban (May'22Jun'23). In comparison, value of imports were down just $\sim 21.7 \%$ YoY to PKR $\sim 354$ bln due to $\sim 39.0 \%$ PKR devaluation against the USD.
- Energy commodity prices (coal and Brent crude) were at multi-year high levels throughout CY22, averaging USD~292.7/MT and USD~99.8/bbl, respectively. However, during CY23, the demand factors that caused a decline in oil prices (averaged USD~82.6/bbl) included concerns about global economic growth (especially slowdown in China). On the supply side, despite voluntary output cuts by OPEC+ countries and Russia-Ukraine war, oil supplies have remained higher than expected, increasing global supply and putting a downward pressure on crude oil prices.
- During CY22, global coal demand reached an all-time high. However, with an aim of zero carbon emission by CY30, a global shift towards renewable energy sources (wind and solar) was observed during CY23 that resulted in a downward pressure on the coal prices (averaged USD~145.9/MT).



## Cement

## Raw Material | Prices

- During FY23, global energy commodities as well as global freights remained low. The Baltic dry index was lowest in Jan'23 at $\sim 658$. At the same time international energy commodity Index was also low.
- South African and Australian average FOB coal prices have declined by $\sim 48.0 \%$ and $\sim 61.0 \%$ in 8 MFY 24 YoY and stood at USD~108/MT (Feb'23: USD~209/MT) and USD~139/MT (Feb'23: USD ~360/MT) in Feb'24.
- In FY23, South African bituminous coal held $\sim 60.3 \%$ share in the country's total coal imports (FY22: $\sim 59 \%$ ) with landing costs clocking in at PKR~45,725/MT (FY22: PKR~28,386/MT), up $\sim 61.0 \%$ YoY despite lower imports. Local manufacturers keep energy cost in check by importing Afghan coal.
- South African coal imports from Afghanistan held $\sim 11.5 \%$ share in the total coal imports and landing cost of bituminous variety clocked in at PKR~33,964/MT (FY22: PKR~17,255/MT), up $\sim 97.0 \%$ YoY due to massive PKR devaluation of $\sim 39.0 \%$. Meanwhile, non-bituminous quality clocked in at PKR~30,559/MT (FY22: PKR~15,636/MT), up ~93.8\% YoY.
- In general terms, hike in energy commodity and freight rate prices together with PKR devaluation imply major cost pressure on the local cement sector and puts pressure on the country's import bill.

Commodity \& Freight Indices


## 
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## Cement

## Local | Demand Side

- Demand for cement is correlated with construction and infrastructure development by both the Private and Public Sector Development Program (PSDP). Historically, (FY19-23) PSDP fund disbursements have been $\sim 10.0 \%$ lower than the budgeted amounts. Amid economic and socio-political vulnerabilities during FY23, PSDP spending was cut to PKR~714bln from PKR~900bln during FY22. During 7MFY24, $\sim 46.0 \%$ of the planned PKR~940bln PSDP spending got disbursed (7MFY23: ~49.0\%).
- Historically (FY19-22), construction sector, on average, held $\sim 2.8 \%$ share in the national GDP. During FY23, this stood at $\sim 2.7 \%$. The IMF cut Pakistan's real GDP FY24 growth forecast to $\sim 2.0 \%$ in Jan'24. However, the Staff-Level Agreement regarding second tranche of the total USD~3.0bln SBA program and factors such as declining inflation forecasts, steady interest rates and political stability in the country are expected to improve demand for construction materials.



## Cement

## Demand Side | Total Dispatches

- The country's south to north dispatch ratio stands at 1:3x ratio in terms of total volumes dispatched.
- In FY23, total dispatches stood at $\sim 45 \mathrm{mln}$ MT (FY22: $\sim 53 \mathrm{mln}$ MT) declining $\sim 15.1 \%$ YoY. Of these, export volumes held $\sim 10 \%$ while local dispatches held $\sim 90 \%$ share (same as SPLY).
- In 8MFY24, total dispatches increased by $\sim 2.0 \%$ YoY. Local dispatches declined $\sim 4.0 \%$ while export dispatches rose by $\sim 73.0 \%$ YoY during the same period due to heightened demand of cement during the period under review.
- Total dispatches for 8 MFY24 stood at $\sim 30 \mathrm{mln}$ MT of which $\sim 15.0 \%$ comprised exports while $\sim 85.0 \%$ volumes comprised local dispatches.



## Cement

## Demand Side | North Dispatches

- Historically (FY19-22), the North region represented $\sim 75.0 \%$ share in the overall national dispatches (FY23: ~76.0\%).
- In FY23, dispatches in the North stood at $\sim 34 \mathrm{mln}$ MT (FY22: $\sim 40 \mathrm{mln}$ MT) declining $\sim 15.0 \%$ YoY. Of these, export volumes held $\sim 3.0 \%$ while local dispatches held $\sim 97.0 \%$ share.
- During 8MFY24, total North dispatches receded by $\sim 2.5 \%$ YoY. Local dispatches declined $\sim 4.5 \%$ YoY, while export dispatches grew by $\sim 33.0 \%$ YoY during the same period.
- Of the $\sim 22.0 \mathrm{mln}$ MT dispatches in the North region during 8MFY24 (SPLY: ~23mln MT), $\sim 2.0 \%$ dispatches by volumes comprised exports while $\sim 98.0 \%$ volumes comprised local dispatches.


## Cement

## Demand Side | South Dispatches

- Historically (FY19-22), the South market represented $\sim 25.0 \%$ share in total national dispatches (FY23: ~24.0\%).
- In FY23, South dispatches stood at $\sim 11 \mathrm{mln}$ MT (FY22: $\sim 12 \mathrm{mln}$ MT) declining $\sim 8.3 \%$ YoY. Of these, export volumes held $\sim 30.0 \%$ while local dispatches held $\sim 70.0 \%$ share.
- During 8MFY24, South local dispatches declined by $\sim 7.0 \%$, while South export dispatches increased by $\sim 87 \%$ leading to a $\sim 19.3 \%$ increase in total South dispatches.
- During 8MFY24, South dispatches stood at $\sim 9 \mathrm{mln}$ MT of which $\sim 44.0 \%$ dispatches comprised exports while $\sim 56.0 \%$ volumes comprised local dispatches.


## Cement

## Demand Side | Export Mix

- Pakistan's cement exports in FY23 were valued at PKR~47.8bln (FY22: PKR~39.2bln), representing $\sim 0.7 \%$ of the country exports (FY22: ~0.8\%); while export volumes stood at $\sim 4.2 \mathrm{mln}$ MT (FY22: $\sim 5.7 \mathrm{mln}$ MT).
- Total cement exports during 8MFY24 by value stood at PKR~46.9bln (8MFY23: PKR $\sim 27.3 \mathrm{bln}$ ), down $\sim 71.8 \%$ YoY and represented $\sim 0.8 \%$ of national exports (8MFY23: $\sim 0.6 \%$ ); while cement export volumes stood at $\sim 4.2 \mathrm{mln}$ MT (8MFY23: $\sim 2.5 \mathrm{mln}$ MT) for the same period and depicted an increase of $\sim 73.0 \%$ YoY.
- In recent years (FY19-22), clinker exports have had a sizeable chunk in cement related product exports. In value terms, FY23 cement exports were $\sim 1.8 \mathrm{x}$ the value of clinker exports (FY22: $\sim 1: 1$ )



## Cement

## Demand Side | Export Partners (FY23)

- In FY23, clinker export value stood at PKR~466/50Kg (FY22: PKR~299/50Kg), while that for ordinary Portland cement export recorded at $\mathrm{PKR} \sim 634 / 50 \mathrm{Kg} \quad$ (FY22: PKR~401/50Kg), $\sim 36.1 \%(\sim 1.4 \mathrm{x})$ higher than that of clinker (FY22: ~34.1 \%)
- Although white cement fetched the most premium price among all categories, but hold almost negligible share in the overall export mix.
- Bangladesh held the highest share of $\sim 64.0 \%$ in Pakistan's clinker exports, as the local cement sector relies heavily on imported raw material due to non-availability of raw material for cement locally.
- Afghanistan, in FY23, held the highest share in Pakistan's ordinary Portland cement exports at $\sim 44.0 \%$. During 8MFY24, export value of cement increased by meagre $\sim 0.1 \%$ in USD terms (8MFY23: $\sim 26.0 \%$ ) and $\sim 71.7 \%$ (8MFY23: $\sim 70.0 \%$ ) in PKR terms.

| Clinker |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sr. | Export <br> Destinations | Exports <br> ('000' MT) | Exports <br> (PKR mln) | Share (Vol.) | Share (PKR) | PKR/MT |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Bangladesh | 1,192 | 10,829 | $65 \%$ | $63 \%$ | 9,082 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Sri Lanka | 375 | 3,632 | $20 \%$ | $21 \%$ | 9,692 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Tanzania | 99 | 948 | $5 \%$ | $6 \%$ | 9,579 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Others | 180 | 1,798 | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ | 10,015 |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{1 , 8 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 , 2 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 3 2 3}$ |
| Ordinary Portland Cement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Afghanistan | 1,058 | 12,910 | $44 \%$ | $43 \%$ | 12,200 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Madagascar | 414 | 5,307 | $17 \%$ | $18 \%$ | 12,819 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Sri Lanka | 299 | 4,692 | $13 \%$ | $15 \%$ | 15,679 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Others | 620 | 7,402 | $26 \%$ | $24 \%$ | 11,944 |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{2 , 3 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 , 3 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 6 7 6}$ |
| White Portland Cement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Afghanistan | 7 | 181 | $67 \%$ | $60 \%$ | 24,938 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Tanzania | 1 | 55 | $12 \%$ | $18 \%$ | 40,745 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Sri Lanka | 1 | 36 | $12 \%$ | $12 \%$ | 28,287 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Others | 1 | 28 | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ | 28,011 |

## Cement

## Demand Side | Price Dynamics

- Cement prices are a function of the market demand and supply dynamics. These are generally dependent upon the behavior of major cost components of cement production, including coal prices, exchange rates, fuel costs and freight charges.
- Since the beginning of FY23, local cement prices have exhibited steady growth, reaching PKR~1,130/50Kg bag and PKR~1,161/50Kg bag in North and South, respectively, as at EndJun'23 (SPLY: PKR~1,046/50Kg bag (North) and PKR~996/50Kg bag (South)).
- Local prices of cement rose by $\sim 39.0 \%$ YoY during FY23 due to increase in freight charges, whereas prices of South African coal were down $\sim 14.1 \%$ YoY. However, the PKR depreciated by $\sim 39.4 \%$ YoY for the same period, which resulted in revenue growing by just $\sim 17.2 \%$.
- Clinker is exported at low prices as compared to cement, therefore, is not very profitable for the companies on stand-alone basis but it comes with other additional benefits like increased capacity utilization and availability of export refinance facility at subsidized rates.
- Going forward coal prices are expected to follow a downward trend owing to a shift towards renewable sources as well due to a steady supply.


Comparison | Local vs. Export Prices

| Comparison \| Local vs. Export Prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Avg Prices <br> (PKR/50Kg <br> bag) | FY19 | FY20 | FY21 | FY22 | FY23 | 8MFY23* | 8MFY24* |  |
| North | 584 | 528 | 571 | 767 | 1,064 | 696 | 1,205 |  |
| South | 595 | 608 | 606 | 777 | 1,080 | 716 | 1,192 |  |
| Export <br> Clinker | 336 | 375 | 334 | 401 | 466 | - | - |  |
| Export <br> Cement | 454 | 519 | 442 | 540 | 638 | 552 | 651 |  |

## Cement

Local | Business Risk

| Financial Highlights (PKR mln) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Companies | FY19 | FY20 | FY21 | FY22 | FY23 | 1HFY23 | 1HFY24 |
| Gross Sales | 440,007 | 401,747 | 541,566 | 686,541 | 804,890 | 201,919 | 338,456 |
| Net Sales | 307,432 | 254,779 | 377,727 | 498,173 | 602,443 | 289,709 | 348,760 |
| Cost of Sales | 238,700 | 243,517 | 288,676 | 375,232 | 454,597 | 216,959 | 229,153 |
| Gross profit | 68,042 | 11,234 | 88,079 | 122,941 | 147,846 | 72,750 | 95,907 |
| Operating Expense | 12,380 | 9,865 | 9,922 | 52,740 | 61,597 | 31,501 | 38,041 |
| Operating Profit | 55,662 | 1,369 | 78,157 | 70,202 | 86,249 | 41,249 | 57,865 |
| Finance Cost | 10,077 | 18,866 | 14,407 | 16,279 | 31,688 | 13,690 | 20,637 |
| Profit/(Loss) before Tax | 49,480 | $(13,642)$ | 67,892 | 58,349 | 60,805 | 30,479 | 41,609 |
| Taxation | 12,349 | (513) | 16,231 | 5,824 | 11,435 | $(4,331)$ | $(1,356)$ |
| Profit/(Loss) after Tax | 37,131 | $(13,129)$ | 51,661 | 52,524 | 49,369 | 34,810 | 42,965 |

- In FY23, sector size grew by $\sim 17.2 \%$ YoY reaching to PKR~805bln (FY22: PKR~687bln), on the back of a massive $\sim 39 \%$ average increase in the local 50 Kg cement bag retention prices. Additionally, despite a decrease in both local and export dispatches of $\sim 16.0 \%$ and $\sim 13.0 \%$ respectively, the sector's net revenue grew by $\sim 20.9 \%$ YoY.
- The sector's cost of goods sold also registered major upward movement, growing $\sim 21.0 \%$ YoY, owing to $\sim 26.0 \%$ YoY higher energy and $\sim 14.0 \%$ YoY higher raw material \& packaging cost.


## Cement

## Local | Business Risk

- In FY23, the sector's average gross margins declined to $\sim 24.5 \%$ (FY22: $\sim 24.7 \%$ ) due to a larger increase in cost of sales ( $\sim 21.0 \%$ ) compared with $\sim 17.2 \%$ YoY increase in revenue.
- However, average operating margins slightly rose to $\sim 14.3 \%$ (FY22: $\sim 14.1 \%$ ) owing to a smaller increase in operating expenses $(\sim 16.8 \%)$ than increase in gross sales ( $\sim 17.2 \%$ YoY). Additionally, operating expenses stood at $\sim 10.2 \%$ of net revenues (FY22: ~10.5\%).
- However, with a massive increase in the finance cost and tax expense $\quad(\sim 94.7 \%$ and $\sim 96.3 \%$ YoY, respectively), net margins decreased by $\sim 21.9 \%$ and clocked in at $\sim 8.2 \%$ during FY23 (FY22: ~10.5\%).
- Local dispatches increased by $\sim 10.0 \%$ YoY in 1 HFY24, while retention price per bag increased by $\sim 14.8 \%$ contemporaneously, whereas, prices of South African coal followed a downward trend and dipped by $\sim 49.0 \%$. Improvement in retention prices maintained the sector margins during 1HFY24.
- Average gross margins stood at $\sim 27.5 \%$ in 1HFY24, operating margins at $\sim 16.6 \%$ and net margins at $\sim 12.3 \%$.


## Cement

## Local | Business Risk

- Being a primary construction material, cement offtake is highly dependent upon infrastructure development and construction activities in the country.
- Federal PSDP budget for FY23 was set at PKR~714bln*, of which $\sim 110.0 \%$ was authorized/disbursed, providing a base line support for the construction activities in the country, amidst hawkish monetary policy, high levels of inflation and forex reserve crisis, leading to lower levels of dispatches.
- While PSDP budget for FY24 was set at PKR~940bln, $\sim 54.0 \%$ of the federal PSDP funds were authorized/disbursed during 7MFY24 (7MFY23: ~51.0\%).
- Quarterly dispatches have been on a rise since 4QFY23 due to a stable political and economic situation, appreciation currency, increasing foreign reserves, IMF's SBA, reducing inflation, stable though high interest rates.



## Cement

## Local | Business Risk

- During FY23, although the sector experienced $\sim 39.0 \%$ increase YoY prices per 50 Kg bag, there was a decline of $\sim 14.1 \%$ in South African coal prices (South African coal holds $\sim 46.1 \%$ share on average in Pakistan's coal imports), while PKR lost $\sim 39.0 \%$ value against the USD. The sector has been relying on imported Afghan coal which is cheaper, although, both Australian and South African coal prices are tracing a downward trajectory. These dynamics increased energy cost component to $\sim 71.0 \%$ (FY22: $\sim 68.0 \%$; FY21: $\sim 57 \%$ ) in the sector's COGS mix.
- During 2QFY24, cement bag prices were up $\sim 17.0 \%$ YoY whereas prices of South African coal fell by $\sim 39.0 \%$ YoY. Meanwhile, the PKR simultaneously appreciated by $\sim 2.0 \%$ against the USD. All three factors contributing positively to the sector. Going forward, in case PKR appreciation and the declining trend in average energy input prices continues, sector gross margins are expected to improve and hence consumers of cement will likely benefit from this development.


Cement | Major Input Price (QoQ Trend)


## Cement

## Financial Risk | Borrowings

- By End-Feb'24, total borrowings of the sector stood at PKR~257bln (End-Feb'23: PKR~289bln), declining by $\sim 12.4 \%$ YoY.
- Long-term borrowings as at End-Feb'24 comprised ~68.8\% of the total borrowings (End-Feb'23: ~65.4\%), while short-term borrowings represented $\sim 31.2 \%$ (End-Feb'23: $\sim 34.6 \%$ ).
- Short-term borrowings at normal rates as at End-Feb'24 decreased by $\sim 44.3 \%$ and reached PKR~57.0bln (End-Feb'23: PKR~82.6bln).
- Long-term borrowings at normal rates as at End-Feb'24 stood at PKR~128.9bln (End-Feb'23: PKR~138.4bln), down $\sim 7.4 \%$ YoY.
- Discounted borrowings comprising LTFF and EFS represented $\sim 25.0 \%$ of total borrowings and stood at PKR~63.2bln as at EndFeb'24 (End-Feb'23: PKR~65.8bln), registering a decline of $\sim 2.8 \%$ YoY.
- Import financing held $\sim 2.3 \%$ share in total borrowing and stood at PKR~6.0bln (End-Feb'23: PKR~2.5bln), up $\sim 57.8 \%$ YoY as SBP withdrew import restrictions in Jun'23.

Borrowing Mix | Feb'23 (Inner), Feb'24 (Outer)


## Cement

## Financial Risk | Coverage \& Cost of Debt

- In FY23, the sector's debt-to-equity ratio stood at $\sim 32.0 \%$ (FY22: $\sim 32.0 \%$ ), as the increase in total debt of $\sim 9.9 \%$ YoY offset growth in equity by the same percentage. During 1HFY24, the sector's gearing stood at $\sim 30.0 \%$ (1HFY23: $\sim 35.0 \%$ ) as total debt was down $\sim 12.3 \%$ YoY while the equity grew by $\sim 9.9 \%$ YoY.
- The Sector's average debt coverage reduced to $\sim 3.7 \mathrm{x}$ in FY23 (FY22: $\sim 5.9 \mathrm{x}$ ) as average EBITDA increased by $\sim 20.2 \%$ while average finance cost increased by $\sim 94.7 \%$ YoY owing to hawkish monetary stance by the SBP. During 1HFY24, coverage dipped to $\sim 3.6 \mathrm{x}$, as EBITDA grew by $\sim 33.0 \%$ YoY while finance cost grew by $\sim 51.0 \%$
- Owing to increase in sector's borrowings as well as SBP's hawkish policy in FY23, the sector's effective average cost of debt increased to $\sim 11.5 \%$ (FY22: $\sim 6.5 \%$ ). During 1HFY24, this further grew to $\sim 16.4 \%$ (SPLY: ~9.4\%).



## Cement

## Financial Risk | Working Capital Management

- In FY23, the sector's average working capital days were recorded at $\sim 28$ days (FY22: $\sim 23$ days), as inventory days increased to $\sim 44$ (FY22: $\sim 40$ days) owing largely to growth in inventories by $\sim 32.0 \%$ YoY as well due to an increase in receivable by $\sim 27.0 \%$ YoY. However, the sector's payable days declined by $\sim 1$ day to $\sim 29$ days in FY23 (FY22: $\sim 30$ days) due to an increase in trade payables $\sim 18.0 \%$ YoY, while the sector's net revenues grew by $\sim 21.0 \%$ YoY.
- 1HFY24 working capital (WC) days declined to $\sim 28$ days (1HFY23: $\sim 33$ days) , as inventory days decreased to to $\sim 46$ days (1HFY23: $\sim 48$ days) while receivable days remained steady at $\sim 14$ days. Payable days increased to $\sim 33$ days (1HFY23: $\sim 29$ days).



## Cement

## Rating Curve

- PACRA rates 9 clients in the cement sector, with the rating bandwidth of A- to AA-. Collectively, PACRA-rated clients make up $\sim 56 \%$ of the total sector in terms of production capacity.



## Cement

## Duty Structure

| PCT Code | Description | Custom Duty |  | Additional Custom Duty |  | Federal Excise Duty |  | Sales Tax |  | Income Tax |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Raw Material for Cement | FY23 | FY24 | FY23 | FY24 | FY23 | FY24 | FY23 | FY24 | FY23 | FY24 |
| 2701.12 | Bituminous Coal | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | - | - | 18\% | 18\% | 11\% | 12\% |
| 2701.19 | Other Coal | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | - | - | 18\% | 18\% | 11\% | 12\% |
| 2523.1 | Cement Clinker | 11\% | 11\% | 2\% | 2\% | PKR 2/Kg | PKR 2 per 1 tariff unit | 18\% | 18\% | 11\% | 12\% |
|  | Finished Goods | FY23 | FY24 | FY23 | FY24 | FY23 | FY24 | FY23 | FY24 | FY23 | FY24 |
| 6810.11 | Building Block and Bricks | 20\% | 20\% | 6\% | 6\% | - | - | 18\% | 18\% | 11\% | 12\% |
| 2523.21 | White Cement | 20\% | 20\% | 6\% | 6\% | PKR 2/Kg | PKR 2 per 1 tariff unit | 18\% | 18\% | 11\% | 12\% |
| 2523.29 | Other Cement | 20\% | 20\% | 6\% | 6\% | PKR 2/Kg | PKR 2 per 1 tariff unit | 18\% | 18\% | 11\% | 12\% |

## Cement

## Porter's 5 Forces Model



## Cement

## SWOT

- Local availability of basic raw material
- Low-cost skilled and unskilled labor
- Capital-intensive sector
- Good margins in period of robust demand
- Demand Potential
- Strong dealership and distribution network
- Non-availability of substitute
- Increasing cost of energy
- High Production capacity - supply surplus
- Low demand in export markets
- Rising environmental concerns related to production of cement
- Competition in export markets with cheaper Iranian cement
- Quick perishability of finished product
- Heavy reliance on imported coal
- Exposure to exchange rate volatility
- Extensive regulatory requirements
- Low per capita consumption
- Improving infrastructure under PSDP \& CPEC related projects


## Cement

## Outlook: Stable

- In FY23, Pakistan's economy posted a real GDP contraction of $\sim 0.17 \%$ (FY22: $\sim 6.1 \%$ growth). Meanwhile, the LSM shrunk by $\sim 10.3 \%$ (FY22 $\sim 11.8 \%$ ), owing majorly to supply-chain disruptions which resulted from SBP-imposed import restrictions, along with the flash floods of Aug'22 and consequent sluggish demand across major industrial sectors of the country. In 2QFY24, however, the real GDP growth stood at $\sim 1.0 \%$ (SPLY: $\sim 2.2 \%$ ).
- Meanwhile, the SBP estimates the GDP growth at $\sim 2-3 \%$ for FY24, while IMF reduced Pakistan's GDP forecast to $\sim 2.0 \%$. FY23 was also marred by significantly high levels of inflation with average national CPI recording at $\sim 29.4 \%$ (SPLY: $\sim 21.3 \%$ ). However, inflation has eased and stood at $\sim 23.1 \%$ as at Feb'24 (Feb'23: ~31.5\%). During FY23, PKR experienced depreciation of $\sim 39.0 \%$ against USD. However, PKR appreciated by $\sim 8.6 \%$ during 8MFY24.
- The local cement sector is classified as a Large Scale Manufacturing (LSM) industrial component within the industrial sector. In FY23, the cement sector's weight in the QIM was recorded at $\sim 4.7 \%$. The LSM fell by $\sim 10.3 \%$ in FY23 (FY22: $\sim 11.7 \%$ ). However it increased by $\sim 0.5 \%$ YoY in 7 MFY 24 period. In FY23, the sector contributed $\sim 1.0 \%$ to GDP (FY22: $\sim 1.07 \%$ ) and $\sim 9.4 \%$ to LSM (FY22: $\sim 9.8 \%$ ).
- In FY23, the sector's average gross margins declined to $\sim 24.5 \%$ ( $\mathrm{FY} 22: \sim 24.7 \%$ ) due to a larger increase in cost of sales ( $\sim 21.0 \%$ ) compared with $\sim 17.2 \%$ YoY increase in revenue. However, average operating margins marginally rose to $\sim 14.3 \%$ (FY22: $\sim 14.1 \%$ ) owing to a smaller increase in operating expenses ( $\sim 16.8 \%$ ). Additionally, operating expenses stood at $\sim 10.2 \%$ of net revenues (FY22: $\sim 10.5 \%$ ). However, despite of a massive increase in finance cost and tax expense ( $\sim 94.7 \%$ ) and $\sim 96.3 \%$ YoY respectively, net margins decreased by just $\sim 21.9 \%$ and clocked in at $\sim 8.2 \%$ during FY23 (FY22: ~10.5\%).
- By End-Feb'24, sector borrowings stood at PKR~257.0bln (End-Feb'23: PKR~289.0bln), down $\sim 12.4 \%$ YoY. Long-term borrowings formed $\sim 68.8 \%$ of the total borrowings (End-Feb'23: $\sim 65.4 \%$ ), while short term borrowings represented $\sim 31.2 \%$ of the total borrowings (End-Feb'23: ~34.6\%)
- Since the price of cement is rising, the sector can be expected to maintain its pricing power. This may help the sector to sustain its margins. Given the sector's prudent shift towards Afghan and local coal; investment in renewable and heat recovery plants is also expected to keep the cost side in check.
- Going forward, lower input costs, increased cement prices, stable offtakes, socio-economic stability, IMF SBA staff level agreement of $2^{\text {nd }}$ tranche of USD~1.1bln, lower inflation levels and increasing foreign reserves is expected to keep sector players' performance intact.
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## DISCLAIMER

PACRA has used due care in preparation of this document. Our information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. The information in this document may be copied or otherwise reproduced, in whole or in part, provided the source is duly acknowledged. The presentation should not be relied upon as professional advice


[^0]:    Note: Global Import and export values have a mismatch due to multiple factors including but not limited to estimations, different reporting periods, freight charges, insurance charges, tariffs and re-exports and reporting by Country.

[^1]:    Note: Global Import and export values have a mismatch due to multiple factors including but not limited to reporting errors, estimations, different reporting periods, freight charges, insurance charges, tariffs and re-exports and reporting by Country.

