



Methodology

General Insurance & Takaful Operator – Insurer Financial Strength (IFS) Rating

Table of Contents

Introduction	2
Profile	3
Ownership	3
Governance	5
Management	6
Business Risk.....	9
Financial Risk	12

Summary

PACRA's Insurer Financial Strength (IFS) rating is a representation of its opinion on insurance company with respect to its ability to meet its obligations to policyholders in accordance with the terms of their insurance contracts. The opinion is not specific to any particular insurance policy or contract. This opinion is arrived by evaluating the insurer's ownership, governance, management, business risk and financial risks. Overall, PACRA has a more favorable opinion on insurance companies which have a strong ERM, relative position, underwriting performance, investment, liquidity and reinsurance arrangements.

Effective Date: July 01, 2020

This methodology updates and replaces "General Insurance & Takaful Operator-Insurer Financial Strength (IFS)", last updated in June 2019.

Analyst Contacts

Jhangeer Hanif
(Unit Head - Rating)
+92 42 3586 9504 - 6
adnan@pacra.com

Usama Liaquat
(Assistant Manager - Criteria)
+92 42 3586 9504 - 6
usama.liquat@pacra.com

The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited

FB1 Awami Complex
Usman Block, New Garden Town
Lahore
Phone +92 42 3586 9504 - 6

Disclaimer: PACRA has used due care in preparation of this document. Our information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA shall owe no liability whatsoever to any loss or damage caused by or resulting from any error in such information. Contents of PACRA documents may be used, with due care and in the right context, with credit to PACRA. Our reports and ratings constitute opinions, not recommendations to buy or to sell.

0. INTRODUCTION

INTRODUCTION

- Scope
- Rating Framework

A sound financial ecosystem is critical for functioning of any economy. It is defined by interaction of providers of funds - savers, users of funds - borrowers, financial institutions, and regulators. This system ensures smooth flow of funds between savers and borrowers; wherein, financial institutions provide platform for their interaction. Regulatory oversight safeguards the sanctity of this system. Like all systems, financial system has its own set of challenges. The most prominent being “Risk”; the risk that some participant may not be able to meet its commitments. All participants do their best to manage this risk to maximize their return. This is not possible unless we have independent information on this risk. Here comes expertise of rating agencies, providing independent opinion on credit risk. Flow of funds is only possible when the provider of funds has confidence that user of funds will be able to return these in a timely manner and as committed. Ratings help build this confidence. A higher rating means higher likelihood of timely repayment compared to a low rating. Our ratings are forward-looking and reflect our expectations for future financial and operating performance. However, historical results are helpful in understanding patterns and trends of a company’s performance as well as for peer comparisons.

0.1 Scope: Insurer Financial Strength (IFS) rating of a non-general insurer assesses the financial strength of the company and its capacity to meet obligations to policyholders on a timely basis. The financial strength rating is assigned to the company itself, and no liabilities or obligations of the insurer are specifically rated unless otherwise stated. The financial strength rating does not address the willingness of management to honor company obligations, nor does the rating address the quality of a company’s claims handling services. In the context of the financial strength rating, the timeliness of payments is considered relative to both contract and/or policy terms and also recognizes the possibility of acceptable delays caused by circumstances unique to the insurance industry, including claims reviews, fraud investigations and coverage disputes.

0.1.1 PACRA is alive to the distinction that general insurance carries with respect to its risks and challenges despite its generic commonality with life insurance business. PACRA recognizes the need to document its approach towards rating the general insurance companies and general takaful operators. This methodology draws upon the international perspective and the local experience gained through interaction with the market players and other participants of the broad financial sector of Pakistan. The basic objective of this methodology is to enhance transparency of PACRA’s rating process by clearly specifying and discussing the relevant factors for the IFS rating of the insurers¹.

0.1.2 Although this methodology has distinct approach towards analysis and synthesis, the rating scale on which general insurers’ ratings are placed are the same as that for life insurance companies. The reason is that, despite differences, the ultimate obligations being covered are towards the policyholders. This is why both should have same notational values besides same definitions. Likewise, PACRA believes that insofar as obligations towards policyholders are considered, there is no difference between general insurance companies and general takaful operators. The latter would be employing Islamic principles for the structure of the business; however the efficacy of these principles and the operators’ adherence to them would remain the prerogative of the shari’ah advisors of the company. This entails that IFS rating assigned to the general takaful operator would not be an opinion on the accuracy of the principles and quality of operator’s adherence to these principles.

¹ The term “Insurers” denotes both general insurer and general takaful operators wherever these are not separately mentioned.

0.2 Rating Framework: PACRA’s framework for assessing credit quality makes use of both qualitative and quantitative analyses. Overall factors are categorized under these key areas: Profile, Ownership, Governance, Management, Business Risk and Financial Risk.

0.2.1 The general insurance rating assigned by PACRA is essentially an opinion of the rating agency on the ability of the respective company to repay policyholder liabilities. This rating is forward-looking in nature. This is so because the liabilities it covers belong necessarily to the future period. Therefore, it is utmost critical that the financial indicators which are depicting a certain risk profile of the insurer remain stable over the medium term. Consequently, the approach that PACRA has employed is a blend of qualitative and quantitative data. The quantification helps in achieving objectivity in the rating process while the qualitative side helps in establishing the sustainability of the relevant factors in the foreseeable future. Neither all factors can be quantified nor do quantitative values portray the whole story. PACRA, therefore, seeks to employ a best combination of both and would stick to it to ensure comparability on historical as well as synchronic basis.

0.2.2 PACRA’s analyses incorporate an evaluation of the rated company’s current financial position as well as an assessment of how the financial position may change in the future. Our ratings methodology includes an assessment of both quantitative and qualitative factors based on in-depth discussions with senior management. The evaluation of financial strength and credit quality centers on the ability of the company to meet all of its obligation towards policy holders.

1. PROFILE

- Background
- Operations

PROFILE

1.1 Background: PACRA reviews the background of the entity to understand its evolution from where it started to where it currently stands. We analyze how and through what means the entity has achieved the desired expansion. PACRA looks at the progress of the entity from its historical past. The progress of the entity helps PACRA in determining the ability of the entity to successfully realize its strategy. The significant factor here for PACRA is to assess whether the entity has achieved the desired expansion through organic growth or acquisitions. Meanwhile, the source of funding for desired growth is also critical.

1.2 Operations: The assessment of operations of an entity depends on the exposure of business segments and the stage the business is in. Here PACRA reviews the diversity, geographic spread of operations, risk management framework, product offering, size of the franchise/portfolio, track record of operations and adherence to standard operating procedures and policies and protocols. Size may be an important factor if it confers major advantages in terms of operating efficiency and competitive position.

2. OWNERSHIP

- Structure
- Stability
- Business Acumen
- Financial Strength

OWNERSHIP

2.1 Ownership Structure: The assessment of ownership begins by looking at the legal status of the entity. Legal status determines the level of expected stability of an entity. The level of perceived stability gradually increases from a sole proprietor to a listed entity. This is followed by an in-depth study of the shareholding mix in order to disentangle structure of ownership. Key factors that are considered for this purpose, inter-alia, include: i) shareholding structure which includes whether the individual(s) own the entity directly or indirectly, ii) foreign or local shareholders, iii) whether the entity is owned by a single group or through a combination of entities and individuals, and iv) whether it is part of a group or a standalone entity. All these deliberations are done to identify the man of the last mile. PACRA further considers how an entity is actually run, as, at times, entities are run as family concerns despite being legally structured as companies.

Complex shareholding/ownership structures: *In cases where an entity has a complex ownership structure, there are unique challenges in evaluating the decision making process, lines of hierarchy and financial obligations and liabilities. In analyzing these entity's the fundamental issue is to explore the underlying reason or motivation for the complexity of the structure.*

Insurance Institutions which are owned by private individuals and families: *On the one hand, the concentration of equity ownership might indicate that the majority shareholders have a strong vested interest in creating long-term value and closely monitoring management behavior. On the other hand, a potential concern in such cases is that the owners might rely heavily on extracting funds from the entity as source of income or to fund other business activities, potentially undermining the financial stability of the entity.*

2.2 Stability: In order to analyze the stability of ownership, a particularly important factor to be taken into account is succession planning. A very important part of our background analytical work is an attempt to assess whether, and under right of succession, the entity's prospects would be supported and by whom. This is particularly relevant in case of family owned businesses and joint ventures, whose failures could have a contagious effect on the sustainability of the entity. A stable ownership with clarity in succession, perhaps major stakes residing with one family or group, is considered positive for ratings. On the contrary, high free float (in case of listed concerns) leads to risk of take over and may anchor lower ratings.

2.3 Business Acumen: Here PACRA gauge the Sponsor's business skills. Having a strong business skill set has been critical for the sustainable success of the entity. PACRA analyze the business acumen through two primary areas; i) Industry-specific working knowledge and ii) Strategic thinking capability. Meanwhile, a deep and applicable understanding of the system is critical in order to determine how a business achieves its goals and objectives. The scope includes the assessment and understanding of how the apex of the entity think about and successfully make the right business decisions.

2.4 Financial Strength: PACRA analyzes the ability and willingness of the major shareholders to support the entity both on a continuing basis, and support in times of crisis. Here, PACRA gives due importance to: i) behavior of the major shareholders to provide timely and comprehensive support in times of need in the past, ii) prospective view of key shareholders, incase such need arises, iii) other businesses of sponsors, and iv) the level of commitment of the major shareholder with the entity in providing capital support. In case of no explicit commitment, PACRA attempts to form a view on availability of likely support. Support, in this context, refers strictly to financial support, rather than operational support. The scope for looking at other business of sponsors includes overall profiling of the key sponsors in the context of identifying the resources they have, outside the entity. If, in a group structure, the financial strength of the sponsor is deemed to be weaker than that of the entity, this may bode negatively for the entity's standalone rating given the possibility that the entity may at some point of time be bound to extend financial support to its weaker parent.

Table 1. Information Required on Ownership

- Shareholding pattern
- Details of sponsors' other businesses
- Sponsors' financial information
- Past pattern of sponsor support

3. GOVERNANCE

- Board Structure
- Members' Profile
- Board Effectiveness
- Transparency

GOVERNANCE

3.0 PACRA's assessment of governance involves both systematic analyses of governance data and information, and the more contextual reviews of an entity's governance practices. PACRA considers four main factors while assessing the board structure of an entity: (i) board structure, (ii) members profile, (iii) board effectiveness, and (iv) financial transparency.

3.1 Board Structure: This comprises assessment of board on various criteria including overall size, presence of independent members, and duration of board members' association with the entity, overall skill mix and structure of board committees. Size of the board may vary as per the scope and complexity of the operations of the entity. While a very small board is not considered good, similarly, reaching a decision in an effective and efficient manner may not be possible in case of a large board. A healthy composition of board includes the presence of independent/non-executive members having limited relationship with the sponsoring group of the entity. Meanwhile, same individual holding chairman and CEO positions is considered weak governance practice. The chairman is expected to have a non-executive role. Compliance with the code of corporate governance is also examined. PACRA also examines the independence of governance from major shareholders. Lastly, PACRA evaluates number of board committees, their structure, and how these committees provide support to the board. A board with higher number of members should have higher number of committees in place to assist in performing its role.

3.2 Members' Profile: PACRA collects information regarding profile and experience of each board member. This helps in forming an opinion about the quality of overall board. Moreover, diversification in terms of knowledge background and experience is considered positive. However, a fair number of board members should have related experience.

3.3 Board Effectiveness: In PACRA's view, the role of the board is to work with management in steering the entity to its performance objectives and to provide critical and impartial oversight of management performance. PACRA analyzes the type and extent of information shared with board members, and quality of discussions taking place at board and committee levels. Effective oversight requires frequent sharing of detailed information covering various aspects of business and market development. Meanwhile, PACRA also reviews the number of board meetings held during the year as these should be justified with the number of issues/matters arising. Board members' attendance and participation in meetings is important, and is gauged by viewing board meeting minutes.

3.4 Transparency: Quality of governance framework is also assessed by the procedures designed by the board to ensure transparent disclosures of financial and other information. This can be achieved through: i) ensuring independence of the audit committee, ii) strengthening the quality of internal audit function, which may be in-house or outsourced, and iii) improving quality of external audit by engaging auditors which are included in the State Bank of Pakistan's panel of auditors and/or have a satisfactory QCR rating.

***Accounting Quality:** PACRA reviews the quality of an entity's accounting policies as reflected in its notes to accounts, auditors' comments and other disclosures which are part of its financial statements. Adherence to accounting standards is assessed, particularly for unlisted concerns.*

***Quality of disclosures:** A well-established information system is required for adequate disclosures. The characteristics of quality information includes timeliness, disclosures beyond the minimum regulatory requirements to improve transparency and consistency of such disclosures.*

Table 2. Information Required on Governance

- Profile of BoD members
- Details of committees including TORs
- Minutes of the board meetings
- Information packs for the Board (MIS)
- External auditor detail

4. MANAGEMENT

- Organizational Structure
- Management Team
- Management Effectiveness
- Claim Management System
- Investment Management Function
- Risk Management framework

MANAGEMENT

4.0 Good quality management, effective systems and controls, and well-defined strategy are essential ingredients for a successful entity.

4.1 Organizational Structure: The assessment of management starts with PACRA conducting an in-depth analysis of organizational structure of the entity. On a standalone basis, PACRA looks into the hierarchal structure, reporting line, dependence of the management team on one or more persons, coherence of the team. However, PACRA also places the organizational structure in the entity's relative universe for comparison in order to form opinion of optimal structure within the sector in context of its complexity. Number of management committees are established to monitor performance and assure the adherence to the policies and procedures. PACRA measures the effectiveness of the entity by forming an opinion on the quality of management committees.

4.2 Management Team: Analysis of management includes evaluating experience profile of key individuals, management's track record to date, in terms of building up sound business mix, maintaining operating efficiency and strengthening the entity's market position. Although judgment about management team is subjective, performance of the entity over time provides a more objective measure. PACRA analyses the quality and credibility of management's strategy, examining plans for internal or external growth. Loss of key personnel, particularly members of senior management, can have potentially adverse effects on overall standing of the entity relative to peers. Hence, HR turnover is reviewed to determine the stability of critical staff, with particular focus on key departments. Similarly, dependence of the management team on one or more persons is considered risky. In addition, the entity's human resource policies are also reviewed to gauge its emphasis on retaining and recruiting vital staff.

Field Staff: In case of General Insurance, the mid- and low-tier staff is critical in maintaining a strong asset quality as they hold the relationship with the policy holder and any misconduct on their part may cost deterioration to the entity's credit quality. Thus their ability to retain good field staff is considered important while assessing human resource management. Moreover, PACRA attempts to understand the client's staffing policies, local language ability of staff dealing with prospects and policy holders, and their training on social aspects, particularly important to the area of their operations.

Key-man Risk: Key-man risk occurs when an entity is heavily reliant on an individual, or a limited number of individuals, who are accepted as the key holder(s) of important intellectual capital, knowledge or relationships. While this type of risk is more commonly identified in small to medium-sized entities, it can also exist in larger entities and is relatively challenging to benchmark, and hence, mitigate. PACRA attempts to identify the extent to which an entity is dependent on the

expertise of such individual(s) and to ensure policies exist for managerial succession to limit the adverse impact of such a person unexpectedly leaving the entity.

4.3 Management Effectiveness: PACRA conducts a qualitative review of management systems and technology infrastructure to assess management effectiveness. A key measure of management effectiveness is its track record of delivering on past projections and sticking to strategies. One of the key tools available to management to effectively run an organization is the information provided to it. It is critical that information available to management be concise, clear and timely, so it can be interpreted and understood, and the management can respond accordingly. An important part of this analysis is looking at the entity's MIS. PACRA further assesses whether management has developed any critical success factors to evaluate performance of various business segments, and their efficacy. Management meeting minutes are also reviewed, wherever available, to assess the quality of discussion.

***MIS:** System generated – real-time based – MIS reports add more efficiency in decision making whether related to operational, financial or strategic issues. PACRA evaluates the quality and frequency of the MIS reports used by the management team to ascertain that decision-making within the entity is information-based.*

4.4 Claim Management System: The Claims department has to be independent of underwriting and marketing. It must be resourced fully both in terms of manpower and infrastructure including MIS. A senior, experienced and independent Head of Claims can ensure that the mandate of Claims department is fulfilled.

***Claim Settlement System:** Claim settlement begins with the recording of the claim. Claims need to be booked immediately and without discrimination in the books of account; related provisions to be created. Surveyor appointed. Claims need to be tracked along the settlement process. Efficient claim settlement process depends a lot on technology integration. With technology, all stakeholders may be fully aware as to the stage and time further required for the settlement. Turn-around time is important for a single claim and for the portfolio of claims; this can be monitored through detailed MIS.*

4.5 Investment Management Function: Investment management function comprises of four steps which include i) Structure of function ii) Experience iii) Investment policy and iv) MIS system. PACRA evaluates two the investment committee and investment manager and believes a good insurance company has both of these. The Investment Committee must include members who are savvy to investment decision making. Investment Manger must also be experienced and well entrenched into the equity and debt market depending upon the portfolio of the insurance company. In addition, Investment Policy Statement, duly approved by the Board, is the document that lays down the investment philosophy of the company. It should clearly cover areas such as i) proposal generation, ii) decision making, iii) investment allocation, iv) benchmarks, v) performance evaluation.

***Market Risk:** PACRA's analysis of market risk incorporates structural risks (such as interest-rate risk management) and/or trading risks when present. The vast majority of entities are subject to structural interest-rate risks due to the shorter nature of their liabilities compared with the duration of their assets. PACRA reviews the asset and liability management strategy to assess the risk appetite of the entity. Board and management policy limits are typically expressed as earnings at risk limits. These are usually evaluated along with reports from management systems. Market risk on its own may not*

be a rating driver; however, poor market risk management or aggressive market risk-taking without mitigants would likely pressure an entity's ratings.

4.6 Risk Management Framework: This includes an analysis of the entity's appetite for risks and the systems in place to manage these risks. PACRA examines the independence and effectiveness of the risk management function, the procedures and limits that have been implemented, limits setting authority and the degree to which these procedures are adhered to. PACRA endeavors to assess senior management's understanding of and involvement in risk management issues and examine the reporting lines in place. In recent years, there has been a noticeable upgradation in the risk management systems, in the face of increasing guidance and supervision from SECP.

4.6.1 Enterprise Risk Management: PACRA evaluates the ERM to assess whether an insurer executes risk management practices across the enterprise in a systematic and consistent manner. Our primary focus is to assess whether an entity addresses risk through silos i.e. each risk area is conducted as narrowly focused and fragmented activities or instead adopts an integrated approach across all functions. PACRA also assesses the extent to which an entity effectively limits key risks within its appetite to optimally achieve its business goals and objectives. The ERM assessment consists of four sections: role of the board, risk culture, risk exposure management, and risk optimization.

***Operational Risk:** Operational risk has historically been defined as all other risks other than market, credit and liquidity risk. In the context of Basel II and Basel III, definition of operational risk is: "the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems or external events". This definition includes legal risk but excludes strategic and reputation risk.*

Our analysis of operational risk focuses on a number of issues, including (a) an entity's definition of such risk (b) the quality of its organizational structure (c) operational risk culture (c) the development of its approach to the identification and assessment of key risks (d) data collection efforts; and (e) overall approach to operational risk quantification and management.

***Reputation and Other Risks:** Reputation risk may emanate from operational problems or failure in any risk management systems. It may be difficult to evaluate but could adversely affect an entity's rating in cases where it is significant. In addition to reputation risk, any regulatory non-compliance may lead to legal risk with potential ramifications as well.*

Table 3. Information Required on Management

- Latest organogram
- Profile of senior management
- Redundancy pattern
- MIS reports
- Management meeting minutes
- Entity's policies and SOP
- A brief write up on investment management framework
- A brief write up on claim management system

5. BUSINESS RISK

- Industry Dynamics
- Relative Position
- Revenue
- Profitability
- Investment
- Performance
- Sustainability

BUSINESS RISK

5.1 Industry Dynamics: The process for IFS rating of the general insurers builds on PACRA's understanding of the general/takaful industry dynamics. This understanding, following an in-depth research approach, is documented. The analysis captures the placement of the local industry in the international context to see the points of identity and distinction. In points of identity, the risks and challenges identified for the international players are re-evaluated for the local players, with a view to see whether the local players have established effective mitigants against those risks and taken due measures to meet the challenges. At the same time, we identify the risks and challenges specific to the local context of the industry. While conducting the analysis, PACRA takes a view on the industry alone, independent of the market players. This exercise helps PACRA to form a view on industry's significance in the economic environment of the country, its attractiveness to entrepreneurs, barriers to entry, and the power of suppliers and customers.

Economic Risk: While analyzing economy, PACRA evaluates economic policies, GDP growth, performance of important sectors in the economy and potential credit demand. An important part of economic analysis is positioning of industry and impact assessment of economic risk factors on the industry.

Regulatory Environment: A well-regulated and supervised system is pivotal for credibility and stability of entity even when the operating environment is unfavorable. PACRA's evaluation of the regulatory system involves evaluation of criterion related to capital and other countercyclical measures to absorb risk and the extent of regulatory supervision and changes in response to the macro environment and prospective regulatory changes.

5.2 Relative Position: Market position reflects the standing of the general insurer in the related market. The stronger this standing is, the stronger is the company's ability to sustain pressures on its business volumes and profit margins. This standing takes support from various factors including a) market size, b) growth trend, and c) franchise value/brand value.

Market Share: Market size represents the company's penetration in the chosen market. Size is advantageous as it provides ability to acquire larger business, pricing power and better expense management. There is a positive correlation between an insurer's absolute and relative size and its market position and brand value. The large companies exercise greater power over the pricing, while ensuring commensurate profits. Small companies struggle to obtain business; and with less flexibility in the cost structure, their profits remain low. While absolute size is important, it is basically the relative proportion which provides a clear yardstick to analyze the comparative strength of the market players. The more distant a player is from the average on the positive side, the stronger is its ability to reflect the characteristics just mentioned. In a dynamic industry, which is not characterized by concentration, PACRA believes that relative size would better capture the strength of the company's standing in the related market. Having said that, size for the sake of size is not worth it, if the insurer is unable to adhere to underwriting discipline and pricing superiority. The quality of risk management guidelines and their invariable implementation is the key to ensuring sustainability in the market position. Aggressive expansion at the expense of underwriting quality is considered negative.

Growth Trend: While evaluating the size, PACRA looks at the rate of growth. Growth is important as it ensures that the insurer continues to have the ability to meet (or beat) the industry's benchmarks. As the industry grows, it uplifts the scale of its operational context. This reflects in the

ability of the players to invest in human resource, upgrade the control environment, enhance the product slate, increase the outreach, and improve the quality of service. To lag the industry's growth trend means to remain short on these avenues, putting pressure on the market position.

Brand Value: *An insurer's brand reflects the strength of its image and reputation in the market, recognition and perception of its products by the distributors and ultimate clients. The brand also commands the clients' loyalty, ability of the insurer to cross-sell, while bringing down its cost of distribution. Typically, higher and sustainable price trends would highlight the strength of the brand and/or franchise value. This would help the company to strengthen its market share, ensure comparative growth rate and enjoy healthy margins. While a stronger combination of these enable the insurer to withstand prolonged difficult market conditions, these also enable it to carve out new niches and tap emerging opportunities better than peers. Consequently, the strength of the competitive position would have a direct bearing on the rating of the insurer.*

5.3 Revenues: PACRA's analytical approach starts with an assessment of product-wise underwriting contribution, which provides a good indication of the returns generated by the insurer's business segments. In measuring earning's quality of an entity, diversification and stability are very important factors. An entity with a diverse product slate with more than one revenue streams is considered better than an entity with a concentrated earning profile. However, in case of mono-line business, PACRA evaluates their expertise and track record. PACRA sees concentration at both product and customer levels. In addition, the analysis of target markets to which an entity serves forms a part of the assessment. Stability is measured through historical trend analysis of the entity's revenues. Total revenues of a general insurance company is a combination of its underwriting result and its investment income.

5.3.1 Diversification: Diversification is a holistic perspective. It encompasses many aspects which govern the business model of an insurer. Diversification is utmost desirable. The rationale for this is that diversification enhances the ability of the insurer to meet challenges, both present and upcoming. The lack of diversification gives rise to concentration risk, reflecting vulnerability of the insurer to few elements. Concentration is considered a major negative because it limits the insurer's ability to ensure sustainability in its business let alone expand it. At the same time, it enhances the risk of disruption in the operations if the area of concentration goes wrong. Based on this understanding, PACRA places high emphasis on diversification of premium across Fire, Marine, Motor and Misc. segments. Within Misc. segment, a balanced mix of all contributing elements would be appreciated. This does not entail that a company specializing in a certain product/segment would be put at a disadvantage. The disadvantage would only arise, if the company's business gives rise to concentration risk.

5.4 Investment Performance: Profits derived from investments can take the form of interest, dividends and capital gains. The level of investment earnings is dictated by the investment allocation strategy and the quality of management. Like underwriting income, investment returns and their volatility are also correlated with the level of risk assumed. PACRA measures overall profitability (underwriting and investing) by calculating the company's operating ratio. To further understand the quality of earnings, PACRA evaluates the diversification of earnings, as earnings that are well diversified tend to be less volatile.

Quality of Investment Book: *Quality of investment Book is gauged through analysis of the investments from risk viewpoint: credit, market and liquid risks are the prime ones. Low rated investments are risky, highly volatile and illiquid investments are risky. The quality of the investment*

book is assessed to form an opinion that the investments are not concentrated in high risk avenues. Apart from the equity investments, which are otherwise viewed in the context of the overall risk appetite of the insurer, the remaining investments are evaluated from the perspective of the credit profile of the investee.

Investment Income Contribution: Investment income is the alternative revenue stream. It supplements a company's profitability. This is the profit which an insurance company makes over and above the underwriting income or loss, measured through combined ratio. Investment income contribution is computed by comparing the investment income against the underwriting income. Stronger companies make more money from investments; good companies match investment income to underwriting income.

Yield: PACRA evaluates the performance of the investment portfolio. It shows whether the company is underperforming, meeting or exceeding the relevant benchmarks.

Strategic Investments: Strategic Investments are considered good when these are cash producing; when these are cash consuming these provide pending pressure on the liquidity. PACRA assigns score in terms of percentage of cash producing investment to cash consuming investment.

5.5 Cost Structure: Cost structure is analyzed for the amount of flexibility provided when market conditions are less favorable. In this regard, PACRA considers how much of the cost base is variable. PACRA also evaluates the entity's performance ratios relative to those of its peers to understand whether costs have been contained while growing assets and revenue. If expense ratios are high, it could be an indicator that the entity has a significant fixed cost burden. In this context, key measure that PACRA looks at is the expense ratio. $[(\text{Net commission and other acquisition costs} + \text{Management expenses}) / \text{Net insurance premium}]$. Performance measures are not assessed in isolation as there may be variations that are caused by business model differences and the importance of ongoing investment in the entity's franchise. A low-cost base relative to peers offers the entity greater flexibility to deal with competitive pricing pressures.

Margins: The focus of PACRA's analysis of profitability is to understand the sources of profits, the level of profits on both an absolute and relative basis, and potential variability in profitability. Profits for non-life insurers are sourced from two primary functional areas 1) underwriting and 2) investment income. As indicated above, profits from underwriting are generated when operating revenues (generally premiums) exceed the sum of losses and cost of acquisition (including management and admin expenses). The underwriting margin, and its volatility, generally correlates with the level of risk that is being assumed. The profitability from underwriting is measured through combined ratio.

5.6 Sustainability: Earning prospects are also monitored, based on budgets and forecast prepared by the Insurer. A reality check is performed while analyzing underlying assumption taken by the management as well as management's track record in providing reliable budgets and forecasts.

Event Risk: Incorporating the risk of unforeseen events into an entity's rating opinion is challenging, given their unpredictable nature. These events may be external (e.g. M&As, regulatory changes or a natural disaster) or may be internally driven (e.g. unrelated diversification or strategic restructuring) and can lead to substantial rating changes. PACRA applies its analytical judgment in assessing the likelihood of such occurrences, insofar as may be possible, and assesses the entity's track record, expertise of management team and level of financial discipline to incorporate the same into its ratings.

Table 4. Information Required on Business Risk

- Detail of gross premium written from 10 largest customers for each line of business
- Details of 10 largest claims intimated for each class of business separately
- Total sum insured consolidated and for each category separately, and the net share of the company after reinsurance
- The company's medium-term business plan
- Rates of commission received from re-insurers and contracted commission rates
- Financial projections for next two years

6. FINANCIAL RISK

- Claim Efficiency
- Re-Insurance
- Liquidity
- Capital Adequacy

FINANCIAL RISK

6.0 The underlying objective of financial risk analysis is to assess the general insurer's ability to meet its financial obligation particularly those towards the policy holders.

6.1 Claims Efficiency: The underlying risk that the insurer financial strength rating covers is the risk of claims not being met by the insurer. Timely and accurate repayment of claims carries utmost importance in the rating methodology. Claims efficiency represents the pattern in which claims are being settled by the general insurer operator. PACRA believes that insurers having higher rating would be carrying lower amount of outstanding claims in general circumstances (adjusted for one-off events). While this ensures ultimate satisfaction of the policy holder, it denotes spread-out of cash outflows over a number of period instead of their accumulation to a single year. This safeguards an insurer from building an undue pressure on the liquidity of the company in any specific period.

6.2 Re-Insurance: The re-insurance is the risk coverage obtained by the insurer against insurance claims. Herein, business philosophy of the insurer with reference to risk retention comes into play. A high quantum of risk retention means higher exposure to claims though profits would be higher as well.

6.2.1 PACRA looks deeply into the receivables to be recovered from the reinsurers. The analysis of the amount of a company's reinsurance recoverable, its concentrated reliance on a few reinsurers, and the credit quality of the individual reinsurers is important because write-offs of the recoverable as uncollectible could impact the insurer's income and capital, and because the loss of reinsurance capacity could require the insurer to modify its market/product focus.

PACRA looks at what kind of rating the re-insurer enjoys, their experience in the Pakistani market, their historical relationship with the insurer, treaty terms, and their respective share in the reinsurance pool.

6.3 Liquidity: The liquidity profile of the insurer is the ultimate cover that the company has against claims. The general insurer operator may carry multiple shields against the claims. The first shield being the operational cash flows coming in the form of premium and return on investments. An effective structure deployed in the operational framework would ensure that a significant portion of claims is being met through the operational cash flows. The second shield is the liquid investment book. The investment book may represent investment in a mix of fixed income and equity securities. Equity securities are adjusted for those scrips wherein volumes are insignificant. PACRA believes that the mix of the investment book is critical in assessing the overall comfort which may be placed on the liquidity of the company. While exposure towards the equity market may be determined by the investment philosophy of the company, PACRA relates the extent of exposure with the overall risk profile of the insurer and hence its IFS rating. The third shield of protection is the strategic investment book, if any. PACRA assesses the quality of the strategic book and its size in the light of the insurers' liquidity requirement and attractiveness of the book for disposal.

Admissible Assets: *Admissible assets are calculated under SECP guidelines. These assets provide risk absorption capacity. These assets are compared to total liabilities, to determine solvency of the company.*

6.4 Capitalization: At the heart of PACRA’s financial risk assessment lies the adequacy of the capital for the insurer’s business. Capital is pivotal for organizational sustainability, growth drive and as a last cushion against adverse circumstances. PACRA evaluates the capital in the context of the company’s business model. This understanding stems from the realization that a company following a high risk and high growth business strategy would need entirely different capital requirement as against a company following a conservative business model. While computing and analyzing the capital, PACRA considers the regulatory regime applicable to the general insurer/general takaful operators, and their internal models, if any, for judging the adequacy of capital.

6.4.1 For a viable business, PACRA understands that the capital has to be serviced as well. Therefore, analysis of the return on capital and its consistency is another importance aspect of capital adequacy assessment. While a company is generating returns, it may have a varied policy with reference to the payout to the shareholders. PACRA believes that this policy should take due account of the existing and future needs of the company’s business. Capital formation rate, the rate at which the company adds to the capital after dividends, would determine accumulation of strength that the company demonstrates on a relative scale.

Credit Enhancement: *The entity that carry third party commitment to make good an amount obligated to the lenders may provide additional support to its financial risk profile. In this case, in determining the impact on rating, key factors to assess are the financial profile of the third party and the extent of coverage – quantum and duration – it provides.*

Table 5. Information Required on Financial Risk

- Re-insurance arrangements and policies
- List of "Treaties" along with the retention limits and details of surplus lines
- Number of policies above the retention limit and average amount of policy thereof
- Ageing analysis of a) premiums due but unpaid, b) reinsurance recoveries against outstanding claims, c) provision for outstanding claims, and d) amount due to agents.
- Details of 10 largest claims intimated for each class of business separately
- Total sum insured consolidated and for each category separately, and the net share of the company after reinsurance.
- Details of 10 largest claims outstanding at period end, identifying period since outstanding and the reason for delay
- Amounts pertaining to disputed claims, while giving details of major disputed claims along with reasons

Insurer Financial Strength (IFS) Rating

Insurer Financial Strength (IFS) rating reflects forward-looking opinion on relative ability of the insurance company to meet policyholders and contractual obligations.

Scale	Definition
AAA	Exceptionally Strong. Exceptionally strong capacity to meet policyholder and contract obligations. Risk factors are minimal and the impact of any adverse business and economic factors is expected to be extremely small.
AA+ AA AA-	Very Strong. Very strong capacity to meet policyholder and contract obligations. Risk factors are modest, and the impact of any adverse business and economic factors is expected to be very small.
A+ A A-	Strong. Strong capacity to meet policyholder and contract obligations. Risk factors are moderate, and the impact of any adverse business and economic factors is expected to be small.
BBB+ BBB BBB-	Good. Good capacity to meet policyholder and contract obligations. Although risk factors are somewhat high, and the impact of any adverse business and economic factors is expected to be manageable.
BB+ BB BB-	Weak. Weak capacity to meet policyholder and contract obligations. Risk factors are very high, and the impact of any adverse business and economic factors is expected to be very significant.
B+ B B-	Very Weak. Very weak with a very poor capacity to meet policyholder and contract obligations. 'CCC': Risk factors are extremely high, and the impact of any adverse business and economic factors is expected to be insurmountable. 'CC': Some form of insolvency or liquidity impairment appears probable. 'C': Insolvency or liquidity impairment appears imminent.
CCC CC C	Very high credit risk. Substantial credit risk "CCC" Default is a real possibility. Capacity for meeting financial commitments is solely reliant upon sustained, favorable business or economic developments. "CC" Rating indicates that default of some kind appears probable. "C" Ratings signal imminent default.
D	Distressed. Extremely weak capacity with limited liquid assets to meet policyholders and contractual obligations, or subjected to some form of regulatory intervention and declared insolvent by the regulator.

<p>Outlook (Stable, Positive, Negative, Developing) Indicates the potential and direction of a rating over the intermediate term in response to trends in economic and/or fundamental business/financial conditions. It is not necessarily a precursor to a rating change. 'Stable' outlook means a rating is not likely to change. 'Positive' means it may be raised. 'Negative' means it may be lowered. Where the trends have conflicting elements, the outlook may be described as 'Developing'.</p>	<p>Rating Watch Alerts to the possibility of a rating change subsequent to, or, in anticipation of some material identifiable event with indeterminable rating implications. But it does not mean that a rating change is inevitable. A watch should be resolved within foreseeable future, but may continue if underlying circumstances are not settled. Rating watch may accompany rating outlook of the respective opinion.</p>	<p>Suspension It is not possible to update an opinion due to lack of requisite information. Opinion should be resumed in foreseeable future. However, if this does not happen within six (6) months, the rating should be considered withdrawn.</p>	<p>Withdrawn A rating is withdrawn on a) termination of rating mandate, b) cessation of underlying entity, c) the debt instrument is redeemed, d) the rating remains suspended for six months, e) the entity/issuer defaults., or/and f) PACRA finds it impractical to surveil the opinion due to lack of requisite information.</p>	<p>Harmonization A change in rating due to revision in applicable methodology or underlying scale.</p>
---	---	--	---	---

Surveillance. Surveillance on a publicly disseminated rating opinion is carried out on an ongoing basis till it is formally suspended or withdrawn. A comprehensive surveillance of rating opinion is carried out at least once every six months. However, a rating opinion may be reviewed in the intervening period if it is necessitated by any material happening.

Note. This scale is applicable to the following methodology(s): General Insurance & Takaful Operator, Life Insurance & Family Takaful Operator.

Disclaimer: PACRA has used due care in preparation of this document. Our information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA shall owe no liability whatsoever to any loss or damage caused by or resulting from any error in such information. Contents of PACRA documents may be used, with due care and in the right context, with credit to PACRA. Our reports and ratings constitute opinions, not recommendations to buy or to sell.