



The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited

# ENGRO POWERGEN THAR (PRIVATE) LIMITED

## RATING REPORT

	NEW [JUN-17]	INITIAL [DEC-16]
Long-Term	A	A
Short-Term	A1	A1
Outlook	Stable	Stable

REPORT CONTENTS
1. RATING ANALYSES
2. FINANCIAL INFORMATION
3. RATING SCALE
4. REGULATORY AND SUPPLEMENTARY DISCLOSURE

JUNE 2017

	RATING RATIONALE
<p><b>Profile &amp; Ownership</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A first (2 X 330 MW) Thar coal based power plant under the Power Policy 2015.</li> <li>Engro Powergen Thar Limited (EPTL) is planned to be commissioned in Oct-2019.</li> <li>Total estimated project cost is \$1,108mln with a Debt to Equity ratio of 75:25.</li> <li>EPTL's majority ordinary shares are owned by Engro Powergen Limited (EPL) (50.1%) and China Machinery Engineering Corporation (CMEC) (35%), while the remaining stake is owned by Habib Bank Limited (HBL) (9.5%) and Liberty Mills Limited (LML) (5.4%). In addition to ordinary shares, \$85mln preference shares are all subscribed by CMEC.</li> <li>The Power Purchase Agreement is with National Transmission &amp; Dispatch Company, and has a tenure of 30 years.</li> </ul> <p><b>Governance</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>BoD comprises nine members including the CEO; five represent EPL, three CMEC and one HBL.</li> <li>Mr. Shamsudin, Ex CEO is now the Chairman of the Board.</li> <li>Diverse experience of the Board Members over different industries is a comforting factor.</li> </ul> <p><b>Management</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mr. Ahsan Zafar Syed, CEO of EPTL, He has ample experience in engineering, procurement &amp; construction management, and project management. He was previously engaged with EPTL as Chief Operating Officer.</li> <li>Mr. Ahsan is accompanied by a lean but efficient management team.</li> </ul> <p><b>Completion Risk</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>EPTL has signed an Onshore EPC agreement with China East Resource Import &amp; Export Co. (CERIECO) and an offshore agreement with CMEC.</li> <li>CERIECO, established in 1993 is a Chinese state-owned company. It specializes in EPC contracts and engineering consulting services</li> <li>CMEC, since 1978, provides engineering consulting, project design, financing solutions, and plant logistic services locally and internationally.</li> <li>The RCOD of the Complex is June-2019 while contracted COD is Oct-2019. The delay LDs will be paid through shareholders' ROE.</li> <li>Coal Supply Agreement has been signed with Sindh Engro Coal Mining Company (SECMC) and the mine's contracted COD is Oct-2019.</li> <li>The successful commissioning and operations of the Complex, in addition to its own completion, is dependent on mine's COD and other affiliated infrastructure projects.</li> <li>Other affiliated infrastructure projects are; Water Supply System, Effluent Disposal Scheme, Transmission Line, Road Network, &amp; Airport. These projects are to be completed by Government of Sindh &amp; Government of Pakistan. Infrastructure project critical to successful plant commissioning are expected to be completed synchronization of 1<sup>st</sup> unit.</li> <li>Currently, as of May17, the project is 26.9% complete as against planned progress of 23.3%.</li> <li>EPTL has attained reasonable insurance cover for material damage, third party liability, and delay in startup affecting the profits. Additionally, Marine, Terrorism, and Excess Third Party Liability Insurances are also held.</li> </ul> <p><b>Performance Risk</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The O&amp;M contractor for EPTL is a JV between EPL &amp; CMEC. The contract is over a 10 year term.</li> <li>Contracted availability and efficiency is 85% and 37% respectively.</li> <li>The ROE provided to EPTL on use of Thar coal is 30.65% &amp; 24.5% on imported coal.</li> </ul> <p><b>Financial Risk</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Debt financing constitutes 75% of the project cost; \$831mln.</li> <li>USD facility of \$621mln and local facility of \$210mln have a 14 year door to door tenure, and are to be paid in 20 semi-annual payments over a period of 10 years after COD. The USD facility is at LIBOR + 4.2% and local at KIBOR + 3.5%.</li> <li>The yearly debt and mine capacity payments can be made at 67% availability (8 months of availability).</li> </ul>	<p>Engro Powergen Limited (EPL) along with China Machinery &amp; Engineering Corporation (CMEC) is setting up first Thar coal based (2 x 330 MW) power plant (Complex) - Engro Powergen Thar (Pvt.) Limited (EPTL). The project achieved financial close (FC) in Apr-16 and the project's contracted COD is in Oct-19. However, management is aiming for COD in Jun-19 on best efforts basis. RCOD is Jun-19 as per PPA and delay LDs will apply if this date is exceeded. Nevertheless, in case of any delays, delay LDs will be paid through shareholders' ROE. The primary fuel is Thar Coal; however, the plant can accommodate imported coal. A 30 year coal supply agreement is signed with Sindh Engro Coal Mining Company (SECMC), which is constructing a coal mine in Thar Block-II. The mine's contracted COD is Oct-19, though the management is targeting COD by June-19. As of May-17, the actual progress is 26.9% vs planned progress of 23.3%. The financial strength and experience in energy chain of the sponsoring companies – EPL and CMEC – is positive to the ratings. The onshore EPC contract is with CERIECO and offshore EPC contract is with CMEC. Comfort is drawn from the experience of these contractors and the involvement of Pakistan and Chinese governments, as this project comes under CPEC. The ratings incorporate the project's exposure to the completion risks of multiple affiliated infrastructure projects needed for the successful commissioning of the Complex. Once completed, the Company's main challenge would be to keep the plant operational. Off-take agreement is with NTDC, which will, upon plant's availability as per contract, provide capacity payments even if no purchase order is placed. The Government of Pakistan has given payment guarantee against dues from NTDC. The business risk of the company is exposed to the specifications of Thar Coal, which is being used for the first time. However, use of CFB Boiler by the Company largely covers the risk of varying lignite quality.</p> <p><b>KEY RATING DRIVERS</b></p> <p>The management's ability to effectively manage EPC risks provides comfort. Ensuring timely commissioning of the project would remain critical, which, once achieved, would be ratings positive. Moreover, timely completion of the affiliated infrastructure projects needed to make the plant operational and the viability of Thar Coal is critical. External factors such as any adverse changes in the regulatory framework or material delay in achieving CoD may impact the ratings.</p>

**Engro Powergen Thar (Pvt.) Limited**

<b>BALANCE SHEET</b>	<b>31-Mar-17</b>	<b>31-Dec-16</b>	<b>31-Dec-15</b>	<b>31-Dec-14</b>
	<b>3M</b>	<b>Annual</b>	<b>Annual</b>	<b>Annual</b>
<b>Non-Current Assets</b>	<b>32,967</b>	<b>31,497</b>	<b>1,576</b>	<b>91</b>
<b>Investments (Others)</b>	-	-	-	-
<b>Current Assets</b>	<b>770</b>	<b>1,336</b>	<b>294</b>	<b>124</b>
Trade Receivables	-	-	-	-
Other Current Assets	46	55	6	7
Cash & Bank Balances	724	1,282	288	117
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>33,737</b>	<b>32,833</b>	<b>1,870</b>	<b>214</b>
<b>Debt</b>	<b>16,743</b>	<b>14,440</b>	-	-
Short-term	-	-	-	-
Long-term (Incl. Current Maturity of long-term debt)	16,743	14,440	-	-
Other Short term liabilities (inclusive of trade payables)	769	2,165	91	19
Other Long term Liabilities	-	-	-	-
<b>Shareholder's Equity</b>	<b>16,226</b>	<b>16,228</b>	<b>1,778</b>	<b>196</b>
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES &amp; EQUITY</b>	<b>33,737</b>	<b>32,833</b>	<b>1,870</b>	<b>214</b>

**INCOME STATEMENT**

Admin Expenses	(2)	(36)	(14)	(4)
Other Income	-	21	10	-
Financial Charges	(0)	(0)	-	-
Taxation	(0)	(1)	(0)	0
<b>Net Income</b>	<b>(3)</b>	<b>(16)</b>	<b>(4)</b>	<b>(4)</b>

**Cashflow Statement**

Free Cashflow from Operations (FCFO)	15	(5)	(13)	(4)
Net Cash changes in Working Capital	(1,699)	1,804	77	12
Net Cash from Operating Activities	(1,685)	1,800	64	7
Net Cash from Investing Activities	(1,453)	(22,950)	(462)	(91)
Net Cash from Financing Activities	2,580	22,145	565	200
Net Cash generated during the period	(558)	994	171	117

**Ratio Analysis**

<b>Capital Structure</b> (Total Debt/Total Debt+Equity)	50.7%	47.0%	0.0%	0.0%
---	-------	-------	------	------

**Engro PowerGen Thar (Private) limited**

**Jun-17**

## CREDIT RATING SCALE & DEFINITIONS

Credit rating reflects forward-looking opinion on credit worthiness of underlying entity or instrument; more specifically it covers relative ability to honor financial obligations. The primary factor being captured on the rating scale is relative likelihood of default.

<b>LONG TERM RATINGS</b>		<b>SHORT TERM RATINGS</b>
<b>AAA</b>	<b>Highest credit quality.</b> Lowest expectation of credit risk. Indicate exceptionally strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments.	<b>A1+:</b> The highest capacity for timely repayment.  <b>A1:</b> A strong capacity for timely repayment.  <b>A2:</b> A satisfactory capacity for timely repayment. This may be susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.  <b>A3:</b> An adequate capacity for timely repayment. Such capacity is susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.  <b>B:</b> The capacity for timely repayment is more susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.  <b>C:</b> An inadequate capacity to ensure timely repayment.
<b>AA+</b> <b>AA</b> <b>AA-</b>	<b>Very high credit quality.</b> Very low expectation of credit risk. Indicate very strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments. This capacity is not significantly vulnerable to foreseeable events.	
<b>A+</b> <b>A</b> <b>A-</b>	<b>High credit quality.</b> Low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered strong. This capacity may, nevertheless, be vulnerable to changes in circumstances or in economic conditions.	
<b>BBB+</b> <b>BBB</b> <b>BBB-</b>	<b>Good credit quality.</b> Currently a low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered adequate, but adverse changes in circumstances and in economic conditions are more likely to impair this capacity.	
<b>BB+</b> <b>BB</b> <b>BB-</b>	<b>Moderate risk.</b> Possibility of credit risk developing. There is a possibility of credit risk developing, particularly as a result of adverse economic or business changes over time; however, business or financial alternatives may be available to allow financial commitments to be met.	
<b>B+</b> <b>B</b> <b>B-</b>	<b>High credit risk.</b> A limited margin of safety remains against credit risk. Financial commitments are currently being met; however, capacity for continued payment is contingent upon a sustained, favorable business and economic environment.	
<b>CCC</b> <b>CC</b> <b>C</b>	<b>Very high credit risk.</b> Substantial credit risk “CCC” Default is a real possibility. Capacity for meeting financial commitments is solely reliant upon sustained, favorable business or economic developments. “CC” Rating indicates that default of some kind appears probable. “C” Ratings signal imminent default.	
<b>D</b>	Obligations are currently in default.	

**Outlook (Stable, Positive, Negative, Developing)**  
Indicates the potential and direction of a rating over the intermediate term in response to trends in economic and/or fundamental business/financial conditions. It is not necessarily a precursor to a rating change. ‘Stable’ outlook means a rating is not likely to change. ‘Positive’ means it may be raised. ‘Negative’ means it may be lowered. Where the trends have conflicting elements, the outlook may be described as ‘Developing’.

**Rating Watch**  
Alerts to the possibility of a rating change subsequent to, or in anticipation of, a) some material identifiable event and/or b) deviation from expected trend. But it does not mean that a rating change is inevitable. A watch should be resolved within foreseeable future, but may continue if underlying circumstances are not settled. Rating Watch may accompany Outlook of the respective opinion.

**Suspension**  
It is not possible to update an opinion due to lack of requisite information. Opinion should be resumed in foreseeable future. However, if this does not happen within six (6) months, the rating should be considered withdrawn.

**Withdrawn**  
A rating is withdrawn on a) termination of rating mandate, b) cessation of underlying entity, c) the debt instrument is redeemed, d) the rating remains suspended for six months, e) the entity/issuer defaults, or/and f) PACRA finds it impractical to surveil the opinion due to lack of requisite information

**Disclaimer:** PACRA's ratings are an assessment of the credit standing of entities/issue in Pakistan. They do not take into account the potential transfer / convertibility risk that may exist for foreign currency creditors. PACRA's opinion is not a recommendation to purchase, sell or hold a security, in as much as it does not comment on the security's market price or suitability for a particular investor.



Rated Entity

Name of Rated Entity

Sector

Type of Relationship

Purpose of the Rating

Rating History

Methodology:

Sector Research:

Rating Analysts

Rating Team Statement

Disclaimer

Engro Powergen Thar (Private) Limited

IPPs

Solicited

Independent Risk Assessment

Dissemination Date	Long Term	Short Term	Outlook	Action
30-Dec-16	A	A1	Stable	Initial

IPP Rating Methodology

Power Generation - Mar2017

Muhammad Hassan

[muhammad.hassan@pacra.com](mailto:muhammad.hassan@pacra.com)

(92-42-35869504)

Rai Umar Zafar

[rai.umar@pacra.com](mailto:rai.umar@pacra.com)

(92-42-35869504)

**Rating Procedure**

Rating is an opinion on relative credit worthiness of an entity or debt instrument. It does not constitute recommendation to buy, hold or sell any security. The rating team for this assignment does not have any beneficial interest, direct or indirect in the rated entity/instrument.

**Rating Shopping**

PACRA maintains principle of integrity in seeking rating business.

PACRA has used due care in preparation of this document. Our information has been obtained directly from the underlying entity and public sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA shall owe no liability whatsoever to any loss or damage caused by or resulting from any error in such information.

**Conflict of Interest**

PACRA, the analysts involved in the rating process, and members of its rating committee do not have any conflict of interest relating to the credit rating done by them.

The analysts involved in the rating process do not have any interest in a credit rating or any of its family members has any such interest

The analysts and members of the rating committees including the external member members have disclosed all the conflict of interest, including those of their family members, if any, to the Compliance Officer PACRA

The analysts or any of its family members do not buy or sell or engage in any transaction in any security which falls in the analyst's area of primary analytical responsibility. This is, however, not applicable on investment in securities through collective investment schemes. PACRA has established appropriate policies governing investments and trading in securities by its employees

PACRA may provide consultancy/advisory services or other services to any of its clients or to any of its clients' associated companies and associated undertakings that is being rated or has been rated by it. In such cases, PACRA has adequate mechanism in place ensuring that provision of such services does not lead to a conflict of interest situation with its rating activities

PACRA receives compensation from the entity being rated or any third party for the rating services it offers. The receipt of this compensation has no influence on PACRA's opinions or other analytical processes. In all instances, PACRA is committed to preserving the objectivity, integrity and independence of its ratings. Our relationship is governed by two distinct mandates i) rating mandate - signed with the entity being rated or issuer of the debt instrument, and ii) fee mandate - signed with the payer, which can be different from the entity

PACRA ensures that the credit rating assigned to an entity or instrument should not be affected by the existence of a business relationship between PACRA and the entity or any other party, or the non-existence of such a relationship

**Surveillance**

PACRA monitors all the outstanding ratings continuously and any potential change therein due to any event associated with the rated entity/ issuer, the security

arrangement, the industry etc, is disseminated to the market, in a timely and effective manner, after appropriate consultation with the entity/issuer

PACRA reviews all the outstanding ratings on annual basis or as and when required by any stakeholder (including creditor) or upon the occurrence of such an event which requires to do so

PACRA initiates immediate review of the outstanding rating(s) upon becoming aware of any information that may be reasonable be expected to result in any change (including downgrade) in the rating

**Reporting of Misconduct**

PACRA has framed and implemented whistle-blower policy encouraging all employees to intimate the compliance officer any unethical practice or misconduct

relating to the credit rating by another employees of the company that came to his/her knowledge. The Compliance Officer reports to the BoD and SECP

**Confidentiality**

PACRA has framed a confidentiality policy to prevent abuse of the non-public information by its employees and other persons involved in the rating process, sharing and dissemination of the non-public information by such persons to outside parties

Where feasible and appropriate, prior to issuing or revising a rating, PACRA informs the issuer of the critical information and principal considerations upon which a rating will be based and provide the opportunity to clarify any likely factual misperception or other matter that PACRA would wish to be made aware of in order to produce a fair rating. PACRA duly evaluates the response. Where in a particular circumstance PACRA has not informed the entity/issuer prior to issuing or revising a rating, it informs the entity/issuer as soon as practical thereafter

**Prohibition**

None of the information in this document may be copied or otherwise reproduced, stored or disseminated in whole or in part in any form or by any means

whatsoever by any person without PACRA's written consent. PACRA reports and ratings constitute opinions, not recommendations to buy or to sell

Probability of Default (PD)

PACRA's Rating Scale reflects the expectation of credit risk. The highest rating has the lowest relative likelihood of default (i.e, probability). PACRA's

transition studies capture the historical performance behavior of a specific rating notch. Transition behavior of the assigned rating can be obtained from

PACRA's Transition Study available at our website. ([www.pacra.com](http://www.pacra.com)). However, actual transition of rating may not follow the pattern observed in the past.