



The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited

NISHAT MILLS LIMITED

RATING REPORT

	NEW [JUN-17]	PREVIOUS [SEP-16]
Entity		
Long Term	AA	AA
Short Term	A1+	A1+
Outlook	Stable	Stable

REPORT CONTENTS
1. RATING ANALYSES
2. FINANCIAL INFORMATION
3. RATING SCALE
4. REGULATORY AND SUPPLEMENTARY DISCLOSURE

JUNE 2017

Profile & Ownership

- NML, established in 1951, is the largest textile composite unit and a leading exporter of textile products in the country.
- The company is majority (~52%) owned by Mansha family members and group companies; flagship company of Nishat Group (NG).
- NG – a leading conglomerate – maintains substantial presence in the country’s financial sector, and strong foothold in textile, cement, and power sectors.
- Lately, the group has expanded its presence in the hospitality sector with the start of operations of Nishat Emporium mall. Also, partnering with local and international entities, the group has announced to enter Pakistan’s automobile assembly industry.

Governance & Management

- NML has a seven-member board of directors; two, including the CEO, represent sponsoring family, four are working for NG companies (including one NML executive), and one is an independent member.
- CEO, Mr. Umer Mansha associated with NML since 1994, carries extensive experience in textile industry. He is supported by an experienced team.

Operational Risk

- Sound operational infrastructure; mainly European technology
- Oracle-based ERP solution; comprehensive MIS reporting
- Captive power generation (~114MW) to meet energy requirements

Business Risk

- Sizeable revenue base; predominantly export oriented
- Sales mix dominated by Processing & Home textile (P&HT) segment (~45%), followed by weaving (~26%), spinning (~17%) and garment (~12%) segments.
- Moderately low geographic and top ten customer revenue concentration.
- During 9MFY17, the company’s topline increased slightly by ~3% YoY to ~PKR 37bln. However, a higher than proportionate increase in cost of sales resulting in a reduction in business margins; gross margin fell to 11.3% vs 13.6% SPLY, and operating margin fell to 4.1% from 6.8% SPLY. Dip in margins is primarily due to higher labour and energy cost, which are industry-wide phenomenon.
- Dividend income, comprising ~80% of the company’s bottomline, remained largely constant during the period (~PKR 2.5bln).
- A reduction (~18%) in interest expense owing to better financial management helped reduce the final impact on net profit which decreased by ~13% to report at PKR 3,089mln during 9MFY17.
- NML maintains a hefty investment portfolio – ~74% of equity at end-Mar17 – mainly comprising strategic holdings. With presence in diversified sectors, the company has prudently managed its overall risk profile.
- A new garment plant (capacity: 7.2mln pieces p.a.) became operational in Apr’16, which incurred a loss of ~PKR 258mln during 9MFY17. Additionally, the company is shifting one spinning unit to a special economic zone within the city; the process is expected to complete in Aug’17.

Financial Risk

- Working capital requirements, a function of its receivables and inventory, are met through a mix of internal generation and short term borrowings.
- Net cash cycle remained largely constant YoY.
- NML borrows short-term loans to provide working capital finance to subsidiary companies thereby creating short-term liquidity pressure.
- Strong debt service coverages backed by regular dividend inflows continue to augment the company’s financial risk profile.
- Low-leveraged capital structure (end-Mar17; ~19%); expected to remain range bound.

RATING RATIONALE

The ratings reflect the diversity of Nishat Mills’ (NML) underlying businesses, along with a conservative capital structure. This emanates from its implicit holdco structure within Nishat Group (NG). This helps in managing the impact of adversities in any industry, particularly textile business, on the overall profile of NML. NML’s significant as well as diverse strategic investment portfolio is generating a regular and growing dividend stream in addition to a potential of capital appreciation.

NML has an established business profile in textile industry. The company continues to maintain its position as a sizeable, export-oriented composite unit in the country’s textile sector. NML has adequate diversification levels, in terms of both geography and customer base. The ongoing year has seen relatively subdued operational performance relative to last year on the back of industry-wide margin squeeze. The management intends to focus on enhancing existing facilities in order to achieve overall cost efficiencies.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

The ratings continue to depend on the management’s ability to maintain its business profile while sustaining its market position. Sustenance of business margins at a reasonable level remains important. Moreover, a formal holding company structure to monitor a large investment portfolio would bring structural efficiencies with focused decision making.



Nishat Mills Limited

BALANCE SHEET

	31-Mar-17	30-Jun-16	30-Jun-15	30-Jun-14
	9M	FY	FY	FY
Non-Current Assets	26,226	24,877	24,510	23,115
Investments (Incl. associates)	74,374	61,661	58,400	50,943
Equity	69,181	57,464	54,150	47,999
Debt	4,725	3,724	3,771	2,557
Investment property	468	473	479	387
Current Assets	24,112	20,061	18,230	22,991
Inventory	14,199	9,934	10,350	12,752
Trade Receivables	2,453	2,253	3,014	2,929
Others	7,460	7,874	4,865	7,309
Total Assets	124,712	106,599	101,140	97,049
Debt/Borrowings	21,954	17,086	18,890	22,495
Short-term	15,375	10,476	11,524	14,468
Long-term (Incl. Current Maturity of Long-Term Debt)	6,579	6,610	7,365	8,027
Other short-term liabilities	7,525	7,097	5,860	5,489
Other long-term liabilities	1,256	262	247	475
Shareholder's Equity	93,978	82,155	76,143	68,589
Total Liabilities & Equity	124,712	106,599	101,140	97,049

INCOME STATEMENT

Turnover	37,287	47,999	51,178	54,444
Gross Profit	4,198	6,264	6,024	7,864
Net Other Income	2,734	3,762	3,639	3,309
Financial Charges	(670)	(1,046)	(1,745)	(1,610)
Net Income	3,089	4,923	3,912	5,513

Cashflow Statement

Free Cashflow from Operations (FCFO)	2,698	4,163	4,373	4,942
Net Cash changes in Working Capital	(4,379)	1,696	2,744	1,561
Net Cash from Operating Activities	204	8,517	8,470	8,003
Net Cash from Investing Activities	(5,357)	(3,077)	(6,215)	(11,024)
Net Cash from Financing Activities	3,119	(3,378)	(5,006)	4,695

Ratio Analysis

Performance

Turnover Growth (vs. SPLY)	3%	-6%	-6%	4%
Gross Margin	11%	13%	12%	14%
Net Margin	8%	10%	8%	10%

Coverages

Interest Coverage (FCFO/Gross Interest)	4.0	4.0	2.5	3.1
Core: (FCFO/Gross Interest+CMLTD+Uncovered Total STB)	1.2	1.4	1.2	1.5
Total: (TCF) / (Gross Interest+CMLTD+Uncovered Total STB)	2.3	2.6	2.1	2.5
Debt Payback (Total LT Debt Including UnCovered Total STBs) / (FCFO- Gross Interest)	2.4	2.1	2.8	2.4

Liquidity

Net Cash Cycle (Inventory Days + Receivable Days - Payable Days)	76.9	74.0	88.9	97.4
--	------	------	------	------

Capital Structure (Total Debt/Total Debt+Equity)	19%	17%	20%	25%
---	-----	-----	-----	-----

Nishat Mills Limited (NML)

June 2017



STANDARD RATING SCALE & DEFINITIONS

Credit rating reflects forward-looking opinion on credit worthiness of underlying entity or instrument; more specifically it covers relative ability to honor financial obligations. The primary factor being captured on the rating scale is relative likelihood of default.

LONG TERM RATINGS		SHORT TERM RATINGS
AAA AA+ AA AA- A+ A A-	<p>Highest credit quality. Lowest expectation of credit risk. Indicate exceptionally strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments. This capacity is highly unlikely to be adversely affected by foreseeable events.</p> <p>Very high credit quality. Very low expectation of credit risk. Indicate very strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments. This capacity is not significantly vulnerable to foreseeable events.</p> <p>High credit quality. Low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered strong. This capacity may, nevertheless, be vulnerable to changes in circumstances or in economic conditions.</p>	<p>A1+: The highest capacity for timely repayment.</p> <p>A1: A strong capacity for timely repayment.</p> <p>A2: A satisfactory capacity for timely repayment. This may be susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.</p> <p>A3: An adequate capacity for timely repayment. Such capacity is susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.</p> <p>B: The capacity for timely repayment is more susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.</p> <p>C: An inadequate capacity to ensure timely repayment.</p>
BBB+ BBB BBB-	<p>Good credit quality. Currently a low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered adequate, but adverse changes in circumstances and in economic conditions are more likely to impair this capacity.</p>	
BB+ BB BB-	<p>Speculative. Possibility of credit risk developing. There is a possibility of credit risk developing, particularly as a result of adverse economic change over time; however, business or financial alternatives may be available to allow financial commitments to be met.</p>	
B+ B B-	<p>Highly speculative. Significant credit risk. A limited margin of safety remains against credit risk. Financial commitments are currently being met; however, capacity for continued payment is contingent upon a sustained, favorable business and economic environment.</p>	
CCC CC C	<p>High default risk. Substantial credit risk “CCC” Default is a real possibility. Capacity for meeting financial commitments is solely reliant upon sustained, favorable business or economic developments. “CC” Rating indicates that default of some kind appears probable. “C” Ratings signal imminent default.</p>	
D	<p>Obligations are currently in default.</p>	

<p>Rating Watch Alerts to the possibility of a rating change subsequent to, or in anticipation of, a) some material identifiable event and/or b) deviation from expected trend. But it does not mean that a rating change is inevitable. Rating Watch may carry designation – Positive (rating may be raised, negative (lowered), or developing (direction is unclear). A watch should be resolved with in foreseeable future, but may continue if underlying circumstances are not settled.</p>	<p>Outlook (Stable, Positive, Negative, Developing) Indicates the potential and direction of a rating over the intermediate term in response to trends in economic and/or fundamental business/financial conditions. It is not necessarily a precursor to a rating change. ‘Stable’ outlook means a rating is not likely to change. ‘Positive’ means it may be raised. ‘Negative’ means it may be lowered. Where the trends have conflicting elements, the outlook may be described as ‘Developing’.</p>	<p>Suspension It is not possible to update an opinion due to lack of requisite information. Opinion should be resumed in foreseeable future. However, if this does not happen within six (6) months, the rating should be considered withdrawn.</p>	<p>Withdrawn A rating is withdrawn on a) termination of rating mandate, b) cessation of underlying entity, c) the debt instrument is redeemed, d) the rating remains suspended for six months, or e) the entity/issuer defaults.</p>
---	---	--	---

Disclaimer: PACRA's ratings are an assessment of the credit standing of entities/issues in Pakistan. They do not take into account the potential transfer / convertibility risk that may exist for foreign currency creditors. PACRA's opinion is not a recommendation to purchase, sell or hold a security, in as much as it does not comment on the security's market price or suitability for a particular investor.



Name of Issuer
Sector
Type of Relationship
Purpose of the Rating

Nishat Mills Limited
 Textile
 Solicited
 Independent Risk Assessment

Rating History

Dissemination Date	Long Term	Short Term	Outlook	Action
30-Jun-17	AA	A1+	Stable	Maintain
27-Sep-16	AA	A1+	Stable	Maintain
27-Oct-15	AA	A1+	Stable	Maintain
10-Jan-15	AA	A1+	Stable	Upgrade
10-Jan-14	AA-	A1+	Stable	Stable

Related Criteria and Research

Rating Methodology
 Sector Research

Corporate Rating Methodology
 Textile Sector Overview - 2016

Rating Analysts

Haider Imran Rai Umar Zafar
haider.imran@pacra.com rai.umar@pacra.com
 (92-42-35869504) (92-42-35869504)

[Rating Team Statement](#)

Rating Procedure

Rating is an opinion on relative credit worthiness of an entity or debt instrument. It does not constitute recommendation to buy, hold or sell any security. The rating team for this assignment does not have any beneficial interest, direct or indirect in the rated entity/instrument.

[Disclaimer](#)

Rating Shopping

PACRA maintains principle of integrity in seeking rating business. PACRA has used due care in preparation of this document. Our information has been obtained directly from the underlying entity and public sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA shall owe no liability whatsoever to any loss or damage caused by or resulting from any error in such information.

Conflict of Interest

PACRA, the analysts involved in the rating process, and members of its rating committee do not have any conflict of interest relating to the credit rating done by them. The analysts involved in the rating process do not have any interest in a credit rating or any of its family members has any such interest. The analysts and members of the rating committees including the external members have disclosed all the conflict of interest, including those of their family members, if any, to the Compliance Officer PACRA. The analysts or any of its family members do not buy or sell or engage in any transaction in any security which falls in the analyst's area of primary analytical responsibility. This is, however, not applicable on investment in securities through collective investment schemes. PACRA has established appropriate policies governing investments and trading in securities by its employees. PACRA may provide consultancy/advisory services or other services to any of its clients or to any of its clients' associated companies and associated undertakings that is being rated or has been rated by it. In such cases, PACRA has adequate mechanism in place ensuring that provision of such services does not lead to a conflict of interest situation with its rating activities. PACRA receives compensation from the entity being rated or any third party for the rating services it offers. The receipt of this compensation has no influence on PACRA's opinions or other analytical processes. In all instances, PACRA is committed to preserving the objectivity, integrity and independence of its ratings. Our relationship is governed by two distinct mandates i) rating mandate - signed with the entity being rated or issuer of the debt instrument, and ii) fee mandate - signed with the payer, which can be different from the entity. PACRA ensures that the credit rating assigned to an entity or instrument should not be affected by the existence of a business relationship between PACRA and the entity or any other party, or the non-existence of such a relationship.

Surveillance

PACRA monitors all the outstanding ratings continuously and any potential change therein due to any event associated with the rated entity/ issuer, the security arrangement, the industry etc, is disseminated to the market, in a timely and effective manner, after appropriate consultation with the entity/issuer. PACRA reviews all the outstanding ratings on annual basis or as and when required by any stakeholder (including creditor) or upon the occurrence of such an event which requires to do so. PACRA initiates immediate review of the outstanding rating(s) upon becoming aware of any information that may be reasonable be expected to result in any change (including downgrade) in the rating.

Reporting of Misconduct

PACRA has framed and implemented whistle-blower policy encouraging all employees to intimate the compliance officer any unethical practice or misconduct relating to the credit rating by another employees of the company that came to his/her knowledge. The Compliance Officer reports to the BoD and SECP.

Confidentiality

PACRA has framed a confidentiality policy to prevent abuse of the non-public information by its employees and other persons involved in the rating process, sharing and dissemination of the non-public information by such persons to outside parties. Where feasible and appropriate, prior to issuing or revising a rating, PACRA informs the issuer of the critical information and principal considerations upon which a rating will be based and provide the opportunity to clarify any likely factual misperception or other matter that PACRA would wish to be made aware of in order to produce a fair rating. PACRA duly evaluates the response. Where in a particular circumstance PACRA has not informed the entity/issuer prior to issuing or revising a rating, it informs the entity/issuer as soon as practical thereafter.

Prohibition

None of the information in this document may be copied or otherwise reproduced, stored or disseminated in whole or in part in any form or by any means whatsoever by any person without PACRA's written consent. PACRA reports and ratings constitute opinions, not recommendations to buy or to sell.

[Probability of Default \(PD\)](#)

PACRA's Rating Scale reflects the expectation of credit risk. The highest rating has the lowest relative likelihood of default (i.e, probability). PACRA's transition studies capture the historical performance behavior of a specific rating notch. Transition behavior of the assigned rating can be obtained from PACRA's Transition Study available at our website. (www.pacra.com). However, actual transition of rating may not follow the pattern observed in the past.