



The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited

ALBARAKA BANK (PAKISTAN) LIMITED

	NEW [JUN-17]	PREVIOUS [JUN-16]
Sukuk	A-	A
Outlook	Stable	Stable

REPORT CONTENTS
1. RATING ANALYSES
2. FINANCIAL INFORMATION
3. RATING SCALE
4. REGULATORY AND SUPPLEMENTARY DISCLOSURE

JUNE 2017

Profile & Ownership

- AlBaraka Islamic Bank B.S.C. (c) (ABIB), through its Pakistan branches started its operations in Pakistan in 1991. However, after acquisition of ~50% shares of Emirates Global Islamic Bank Limited (EGIBL) by ABIB, Pakistan Branches of ABIB were merged with and into EGIBL during October 2010 to form ABPL.
- During CY16, ABPL acquired Ex-Burj Bank Limited (Burj) and merged entity started operations effective from November 1st, 2016.
- ABPL offers a wide range of Shariah compliant products and services.
- ABPL operates through a network of 206 branches as at end-March 17.
- Major shareholders of ABPL are ABIB (~57%), Islamic Corporation for the development of the Private Sector (ICD) (12%), Al Rajhi investment group (~12%), Emirates Investment Group (~8%) and Mr. Muhammad Umar Ijaz (3%).

Governance

- Currently the Board comprises ten-members including the CEO.
- Four Board members, including CEO, represent AlBaraka Banking Group, one ICD, and one Al Rajhi Investment Group, one minority shareholders representative, whereas three board members are independent.
- Mr. Adnan Ahmed Yousif, CEO ABG, is the Chairman of the Board.
- The Board comprises qualified and experienced professionals; majority from banking industry.

Management

- Mr. Shafqaat Ahmed; CEO is a professional banker with more than four decades of experience. He has been associated with AlBaraka Group since the start of ABIB's branch operations in Pakistan.
- Three members shariah Committee comprises leading scholars qualified from prestigious Islamic institutions.

Risk Management

- During CY16, total assets exhibited an increase of 37% on account of increased investments in govt. securities.
- Post-merger NPLs increased to PKR 7bln although majority is provided. NPLs to gross advances ratio exhibited an inclining trend (CY16: 10%, CY15: 8%).
- Investment portfolio consists of 95% government backed Sukuk.

Performance

- During CY16, ABPL posted an increase of 3.3% (CY15: 3.6%; CY14: 3.3%) in its spreads. Although decrease in asset yield is witnessed, but efficient cost management has nullified that effect.
- Marginal increase in other income and provisioning reversals was nullified by the increased administration cost and turned bottom line in to loss of PKR 156mln (CY15: PKR240mln; CY14: PKR 146mln).
- During 1QCY17, owing higher earning assets ABPL's net revenue experienced an increase. This resulted in pre-provision profit of PKR 25mln. However, provisioning expense of PKR24mln translated in to a nominal profit of PKR 1mln.
- Going forward, ABPL is focusing on improved spreads through targeting new segments and effective cost control. On the investment banking side, new devised strategies will be formulated to enhance non-fund based revenue as well.

Capital and Funding

- ABPL has maintained its focus on individual and corporate customer deposits 68% and 21% respectively. The share of CASA in the total deposits has decreased (Dec-16; 77%; Dec-15: 75%; Dec-14: 63%).
- Post-merger, ABPL is able to meet minimum capital requirement of PKR 10bln (end-Mar17: PKR 10.8bln; end-Dec16: PKR 10.8bln; end-Dec15: PKR 8bln), whereas CAR stands at 10.3% as at end-Dec16 (Dec15: 14.5%).
- Cushion to loss absorbency, currently adequate, may get squeezed if the bank's capital augmentation plan (through another Tier 2 Sukuk amounting PKR 2bln) takes longer. Issuance is pending upon regulatory approvals.

RATING RATIONALE

The ratings reflect ABPL's association with AlBaraka Banking Group – a strong Middle Eastern banking institution. The sponsor had committed with SBP to provide support in meeting regulatory capital requirement of its subsidiary in a phased manner. During CY16, acquisition of Ex-Burj Bank concluded favourably to bridge MCR gap. However the bank's CAR as of Mar17 stands at 10.1%, which is an indication of squeezed cushion to loss absorbency. The CAR is expected to improve post-issuance of Tier II Capital (Sukuk).

ABPL witnessed improvement in net spread on account of lower cost of deposit as ABPL shed high-cost deposits. Post-merger increased operational cost led ABPL to report negative bottom-line. At the same time, non-performing portfolio inherited from Ex-Burj yet created a drag on asset quality with potential impact on equity. A sizeable book of GoP securities in the investment portfolio helped maintaining adequate liquidity. Going forward, the management aims low cost deposit mix and cautious credit growth, in turn, better profits. Success in planned initiatives is crucial.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

Effective implementation of business strategy, particularly in the back drop of challenging operating environment and competitive banking landscape, is important. Moreover, improving diversification in revenue streams, particularly from non-fund based avenues and maintaining healthy asset quality are important for bottom-line performance. The bank needs to improve its Tier I capital.

SUKUK

ABPL has issued an unsecured, subordinated and privately placed sukuk of PKR 2,000mln. The sukuk (issued in Sep14) has tenor of 7 years, with profit shared semiannually on 6MK+125bps basis. Sukuk carries right to redeem prior to maturity with SBP approval, a lock-in clause and is also subject to loss absorbency. Cushion to loss absorbency, currently adequate, may get squeezed if the bank's capital augmentation plan (either through merger or fresh injections) takes longer.



BALANCE SHEET	31-Mar-17 3MCY17	31-Dec-16 CY16	31-Dec-15 CY15	31-Dec-14 CY14
Earning Assets				
Advances	65,999	63,944	46,506	45,658
Debt Instruments	2,223	2,227	1,192	1,258
Total Finances	68,222	66,171	47,697	46,916
Investments	25,832	22,375	16,166	17,945
Others	355	3,677	3,392	13,747
	94,409	92,223	67,256	78,608
Non Earning Assets				
Non-Earning Cash	7,014	18,725	10,847	6,465
Deferred Tax	2,970	2,873	1,392	1,541
Net Non-Performing Finances	2,974	2,841	1,139	1,723
Fixed Assets & Others	9,844	10,137	6,298	6,012
	22,803	34,576	19,676	15,741
TOTAL ASSETS	117,212	126,799	86,932	94,348
Interest Bearing Liabilities				
Deposits	92,007	105,843	71,644	80,223
Borrowings	8,860	4,652	5,865	5,317
	100,866	110,495	77,509	85,539
Non Interest Bearing Liabilities	5,099	4,914	3,150	2,908
TOTAL LIABILITIES	105,965	115,409	80,659	88,447
EQUITY (including revaluation surplus)	11,247	11,390	6,273	5,901
Total Liabilities & Equity	117,212	126,799	86,932	94,348
INCOME STATEMENT	31-Mar-17 3MCY17	31-Dec-16 CY16	31-Dec-15 CY15	31-Dec-14 CY14
Profit / Return Earned	1,645	5,158	6,269	6,683
Return Expensed	(744)	(2,795)	(3,791)	(4,513)
Net Revenue	901	2,363	2,478	2,170
Other Income	359	874	656	727
Total Revenue	1,260	3,237	3,135	2,896
Admin and Other Expenses	(1,234)	(3,502)	(2,854)	(2,594)
Pre-provision Operating Profit	25	(265)	281	302
Provisions	(24)	164	125	(85)
Pre-tax profit	1	(101)	407	217
Taxes	(0)	(55)	(166)	(71)
Net Income / (Loss)	1	(156)	240	146
Ratio Analysis	31-Mar-17 3MCY17	31-Dec-16 CY16	31-Dec-15 CY15	31-Dec-14 CY14
Performance				
ROE	0.0%	-1.8%	3.9%	2.5%
Cost-to-Total Net Revenue	100.8%	108.3%	91.6%	89.7%
Provision Expense / Pre Provision Profit	96.2%	62.0%	-44.6%	28.2%
Capital Adequacy				
Equity/Total Assets	9.4%	8.7%	7.2%	6.4%
Capital Adequacy Ratio as per SBP	10.1%	10.3%	14.5%	14.2%
Funding & Liquidity				
Liquid Assets / Deposits and Borrowings	35.8%	43.6%	38.9%	37.3%
Advances / Deposits	75.0%	63.1%	66.5%	58.6%
CASA deposits / Total Customer Deposits	77.1%	74.7%	75.3%	62.6%
Intermediation Efficiency				
Asset Yield	8.0%	6.6%	8.6%	8.8%
Cost of Funds	3.3%	3.0%	4.6%	5.5%
Spread	4.7%	3.6%	4.0%	3.4%
Outreach				
Branches	206	224	135	130

CREDIT RATING SCALE & DEFINITIONS

Credit rating reflects forward-looking opinion on credit worthiness of underlying entity or instrument; more specifically it covers relative ability to honor financial obligations. The primary factor being captured on the rating scale is relative likelihood of default.

LONG TERM RATINGS		SHORT TERM RATINGS
AAA	Highest credit quality. Lowest expectation of credit risk. Indicate exceptionally strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments.	<p>A1+: The highest capacity for timely repayment.</p> <p>A1: A strong capacity for timely repayment.</p> <p>A2: A satisfactory capacity for timely repayment. This may be susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.</p> <p>A3: An adequate capacity for timely repayment. Such capacity is susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.</p> <p>B: The capacity for timely repayment is more susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.</p> <p>C: An inadequate capacity to ensure timely repayment.</p>
AA+	Very high credit quality. Very low expectation of credit risk.	
AA	Indicate very strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments. This capacity is not significantly vulnerable to foreseeable events.	
AA-		
A+	High credit quality. Low expectation of credit risk.	
A	The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered strong. This capacity may, nevertheless, be vulnerable to changes in circumstances or in economic conditions.	
A-		
BBB+	Good credit quality. Currently a low expectation of credit risk.	
BBB	The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered adequate, but adverse changes in circumstances and in economic conditions are more likely to impair this capacity.	
BBB-		
BB+	Moderate risk. Possibility of credit risk developing.	
BB	There is a possibility of credit risk developing, particularly as a result of adverse economic or business changes over time; however, business or financial alternatives may be available to allow financial commitments to be met.	
BB-		
B+	High credit risk.	
B	A limited margin of safety remains against credit risk. Financial commitments are currently being met; however, capacity for continued payment is contingent upon a sustained, favorable business and economic environment.	
B-		
CCC	Very high credit risk. Substantial credit risk	
CC	“CCC” Default is a real possibility. Capacity for meeting financial commitments is solely reliant upon sustained, favorable business or economic developments. “CC” Rating indicates that default of some kind appears probable. “C” Ratings signal imminent default.	
C		
D	Obligations are currently in default.	

Outlook (Stable, Positive, Negative, Developing)
Indicates the potential and direction of a rating over the intermediate term in response to trends in economic and/or fundamental business/financial conditions. It is not necessarily a precursor to a rating change. ‘Stable’ outlook means a rating is not likely to change. ‘Positive’ means it may be raised. ‘Negative’ means it may be lowered. Where the trends have conflicting elements, the outlook may be described as ‘Developing’.

Rating Watch
Alerts to the possibility of a rating change subsequent to, or in anticipation of, a) some material identifiable event and/or b) deviation from expected trend. But it does not mean that a rating change is inevitable. A watch should be resolved within foreseeable future, but may continue if underlying circumstances are not settled. Rating Watch may accompany Outlook of the respective opinion.

Suspension
It is not possible to update an opinion due to lack of requisite information. Opinion should be resumed in foreseeable future. However, if this does not happen within six (6) months, the rating should be considered withdrawn.

Withdrawn
A rating is withdrawn on a) termination of rating mandate, b) cessation of underlying entity, c) the debt instrument is redeemed, d) the rating remains suspended for six months, e) the entity/issuer defaults, or/and f) PACRA finds it impractical to surveil the opinion due to lack of requisite information

Disclaimer: PACRA's ratings are an assessment of the credit standing of entities/issue in Pakistan. They do not take into account the potential transfer / convertibility risk that may exist for foreign currency creditors. PACRA's opinion is not a recommendation to purchase, sell or hold a security, in as much as it does not comment on the security's market price or suitability for a particular investor.



Regulatory and Supplementary Disclosure

[Name of Issuer](#)

AlBaraka Bank (Pakistan) Limited

[Name of Issue](#)

AlBaraka Bank (Pakistan) Limited | Sukuk | Sep -14

[Sector](#)

Banking

[Type of Relationship](#)

Solicited

[Purpose of the Rating](#)

Regulatory Requirement
Independent Risk Assessment

[Rating History](#)

Dissemination Date	Long Term	Outlook	Action
22-Sep-16	A	Stable	Rating Watch
25-Jun-16	A	Stable	Maintain
26-Jun-15	A	Stable	Maintain
25-Sep-14	A	Stable	Initial
13-Jun-14	A	Stable	Preliminary

[Instrument Detail](#)

Nature of Instrument	Size of Issue (PKR mln)	Tenor (yrs)	Security Details	Trustee
Sukuk	200,000	7	Subordinate Unsecured privately placed Sukuk	Pak Brunei Investment Company

[Redemption Schedule](#)

Attached Annexure

[Applicable Criteria and](#)

Banking Rating Methodology

[Rekated Reseach](#)

Islamic Banking - Viewpoint | Feb-17

[Rating Analysts](#)

Sanna Khan
sanna.khan@pacra.com
(92-42-35869504)

Jhangeer Hanif
jhangeer@pacra.com
(92-42-35869504)

[Rating Team Statement](#)

Rating Procedure

Rating is an opinion on relative credit worthiness of an entity or debt instrument. It does not constitute recommendation to buy, hold or sell any security. The rating team for this assignment does not have any beneficial interest, direct or indirect in the rated entity/instrument.

[Disclaimer](#)

Rating Shopping

PACRA maintains principle of integrity in seeking rating business.

PACRA has used due care in preparation of this document. Our information has been obtained directly from the underlying entity and public sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA shall owe no liability whatsoever to any loss or damage caused by or resulting from any error in such information.

Conflict of Interest

PACRA, the analysts involved in the rating process, and members of its rating committee do not have any conflict of interest relating to the credit rating done by them.

The analysts involved in the rating process do not have any interest in a credit rating or any of its family members has any such interest

The analysts and members of the rating committees including the external member members have disclosed all the conflict of interest, including those of their family members, if any, to the Compliance Officer PACRA

The analysts or any of its family members do not buy or sell or engage in any transaction in any security which falls in the analyst's area of primary analytical responsibility. This is, however, not applicable on investment in securities through collective investment schemes. PACRA has established appropriate policies governing investments and trading in securities by its employees

PACRA may provide consultancy/advisory services or other services to any of its clients or to any of its clients' associated companies and associated undertakings that is being rated or has been rated by it. In

such cases, PACRA has adequate mechanism in place ensuring that provision of such services does not lead to a conflict of interest situation with its rating activities

PACRA receives compensation from the entity being rated or any third party for the rating services it offers. The receipt of this compensation has no influence on PACRA's opinions or other analytical

processes. In all instances, PACRA is committed to preserving the objectivity, integrity and independence of its ratings. Our relationship is governed by two distinct mandates i) rating mandate - signed with

the entity being rated or issuer of the debt instrument, and ii) fee mandate - signed with the payer, which can be different from the entity

PACRA ensures that the credit rating assigned to an entity or instrument should not be affected by the existence of a business relationship between PACRA and the entity or any other party, or the non-

existence of such a relationship

Surveillance

PACRA monitors all the outstanding ratings continuously and any potential change therein due to any event associated with the rated entity/ issuer, the security arrangement, the industry etc, is disseminated

to the market, in a timely and effective manner, after appropriate consultation with the entity/issuer

PACRA reviews all the outstanding ratings on annual basis or as and when required by any stakeholder (including creditor) or upon the occurrence of such an event which requires to do so

PACRA initiates immediate review of the outstanding rating(s) upon becoming aware of any information that may be reasonable be expected to result in any change (including downgrade) in the rating

Reporting of Misconduct

PACRA has framed and implemented whistle-blower policy encouraging all employees to intimate the compliance officer any unethical practice or misconduct relating to the credit rating by another

employees of the company that came to his/her knowledge. The Compliance Officer reports to the BoD and SECP

Confidentiality

PACRA has framed a confidentiality policy to prevent abuse of the non-public information by its employees and other persons involved in the rating process, sharing and dissemination of the non-public

information by such persons to outside parties

Where feasible and appropriate, prior to issuing or revising a rating, PACRA informs the issuer of the critical information and principal considerations upon which a rating will be based and provide the

opportunity to clarify any likely factual misperception or other matter that PACRA would wish to be made aware of in order to produce a fair rating. PACRA duly evaluates the response. Where in a

particular circumstance PACRA has not informed the entity/issuer prior to issuing or revising a rating, it informs the entity/issuer as soon as practical thereafter

Prohibition

None of the information in this document may be copied or otherwise reproduced, stored or disseminated in whole or in part in any form or by any means whatsoever by any person without PACRA's written

consent. PACRA reports and ratings constitute opinions, not recommendations to buy or to sell

[Probability of Default \(PD\)](#)

PACRA's Rating Scale reflects the expectation of credit risk. The highest rating has the lowest relative likelihood of default (i.e. probability). PACRA's transition studies capture the historical performance behavior of a specific rating notch. Transition behavior of the assigned rating can be obtained from PACRA's Transition Study available at our website. (www.pacra.com). However, actual transition of rating may not follow the pattern observed in the past.