



The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited

HUM NETWORK LIMITED

	NEW [JUN-16]	PREVIOUS [JUN-15]
Entity		
Long Term	A+	A+
Short Term	A1	A1
Outlook	Positive	Positive

REPORT CONTENTS
1. RATING ANALYSES
2. FINANCIAL INFORMATION
3. RATING SCALE
4. REGULATORY AND SUPPLEMENTARY DISCLOSURE

JUNE 2016

Profile & Ownership

- Hum Network Limited (HNL), commenced its operations in May 2004. The shares of the company were subsequently quoted on PSX in 2005.
- HNL received a license to operate an International Satellite Television from the Pakistan Electronic Media Regulatory Authority (PEMRA) in October 2004.
- Sponsoring family holds 31% of total shares.
- A group of foreign investors/funds, though unrelated, own a significant 44% stake in HNL; Ths Kingsway Fund (25%), Stitching Bermont Limited (10%), ACACIA Partners LP (10%).

Governance

- The board comprises eight members including five from sponsoring family, one nominee of JS group, one independent and one executive director.
- From Sponsoring family directors, three are non-executive while two – ED (Sultana Siddiqui) and CEO (Duraid Qureshi) – are executives.
- The board has presence of seasoned media personalities which ensures quality oversight of the company’s operations.
- Two committee namely Audit committee and human Resource & remuneration committee are formed by the board.

Management

- HNL has well-defined reporting lines and organizational structure.
- The Internal Audit function is outsourced to KPMG Taseer Hadi & Co. Chartered Accountants and reports to the Board Audit Committee.
- The CEO – Mr. Duraid Qureshi is a business graduate from LUMS.
- Majority of the senior management personnel have extensive experience working at senior positions with the state-owned Pakistan Television Corporation (PTV).

Systems & Controls

- HNL has multiple agreements with various satellite providers for transmission operation services.
- The company has two in house studios and an off-site studio along with eight editing suites.
- HNL has installed latest digital archiving system comprising LTO-6 tapes.
- HNL is planning to switch its transmission on HD technology in the near future.
- The company is integrating ERP system with modules of oracle financials to improve its internal control framework.

Business Risk

- Amidst declining advertisement revenue HNL increased its net revenue by ~7% mainly with the help of film distribution revenue (9MFY16: PKR 235mln; 9MFY15: Nil).
- HUM TV one of HNL’s premiere channel witnessed a decline in its share in number of minutes advertised (CY15: 6%; CY14: 8%).
- Increased cost of outsourced programs, led to decline in business margins (Gross Margin: 9MFY16: 35%; 9MFY15: 50%; Operating Margin: 9MFY16: 14%; 9MFY15: 25%) consequently, HNL booked lower profit in 9MFY16: PKR 332mln (9MFY15: PKR 554mln).
- Going forward, the management plans to strengthen its existing revenue streams. Meanwhile, to manage the cost of outsourced programs HNL intends to acquire Momina Duraid Productions – a leading production house. Furthermore, HNL expects further growth in the cinema industry also plans to increase its film distribution revenue under its brand - HUM FILMS.

Financial Risk

- HNL’s working capital requirement mainly arises due to its high receivables.
- HNL’s net cash cycle days increased YoY owing to higher inventory and receivable days (end-Mar16: 106 days; end-Mar15: 84days).
- During 9MFY16, HNL’s free cash flow from operations (FCFO) – declined mainly due to lower profitability. Thus, HNL’s coverages dropped yet remain strong (FCFO/Gross Interest: end-Mar16: 6.8x; end-Jun15: 29.6x; end-Mar15: 26.2x).
- The company continues to enjoy strong financial profile given low leveraging.

RATING RATIONALE

The ratings reflect HNL's strong market position in the domestic media industry. HNL enjoys sound financial profile supported by good coverages and low leveraging - though increased a bit lately. On performance side, stiff competition kept the business margin under check; thus lower profitability. To further strengthen and diversify its revenue stream, HNL is expanding its viewer ship in different geographies. Moreover, HNL is establishing income stream through (i) film distribution under the brand of "HUM Films" and (ii) ancillary domains including publications and holding events. The management expects improvement in performance as a result of these initiatives. However, these impacted the company's working capital cycle which witnessed further extension in the recent period. The same was funded through a combination of cashflow from operations and borrowings. The ratings recognize HNL's experienced management team having sound understanding of the media industry.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

The ratings are dependent on the company's ability to diversify its revenues while perpetuating margins at an adequate level. Meanwhile, any stress on financial profile either by decline in business margins, in turn, weakened operational cash flows or by further material increase in working capital cycle, would affect the ratings. At the same time, upholding governance standards would remain important.



Hum Network Limited

BALANCE SHEET

	31-Mar-16	30-Jun-15	30-Jun-14	PKR mln 30-Jun-13
	9M	Annual	Annual	Annual
Non-Current Assets	876	785	496	464
Investments (Others)	210	135	98	19
Current Assets	1,950	1,869	1,362	1,290
Inventory (Finished Goods)	489	526	311	291
Trade Receivables	1,241	1,037	776	682
Other Current Assets	217	293	168	161
Cash & Bank Balances	4	14	107	156
Total Assets	3,035	2,789	1,955	1,772
Debt	550	358	4	3
Short-term	495	300	-	-
Long-term (Incl. Current Maturity of long-term debt)	55	59	4	3
Trade Payables	633	355	286	172
Due to Associates	-	-	-	-
Provision for Taxation	-	100	4	-
Other Liabilities	14	305	265	141
Shareholder's Equity	1,838	1,671	1,396	1,456
Total Liabilities & Equity	3,035	2,789	1,955	1,772

INCOME STATEMENT

Turnover	2,836	3,721	2,870	2,291
Gross Profit	1,003	1,845	1,382	996
Operating Profit	395	968	740	518
Other Income	62	6	18	12
Financial Charges	(28)	(24)	(8)	(7)
Taxation	(98)	(285)	(215)	(177)
Net Income	332	747	592	388

CASH FLOW STATEMENT

Free Cashflow from Operations (FCFO)	188	696	648	480
Net Cash changes in Working Capital	162	684	658	479
Net Cash from Operating Activities	113	167	730	325
Net Cash from Investing Activities	(151)	(178)	(131)	(69)
Net Cash from Financing Activities	(364)	(681)	(648)	(50)
Net Cash generated during the period	(401)	(692)	(49)	206
Closing Balance of Cash & Equivalents	(491)	(286)	107	156

RATIO ANALYSIS

Performance

Turnover Growth	54.5%	29.6%	25.3%	31.5%
Gross Margin	35.4%	49.6%	48.1%	43.5%
EBITDA Margin	0.0%	28.2%	27.8%	24.5%
Net Margin	0.0%	28.2%	27.8%	24.5%
ROE	18.3%	52.6%	44.5%	27.5%

Coverages

Debt Service Coverage

1. (FCFO/Gross Interest+CMLTD) (X)	4.7	16.5	67.6	51.4
2. (FCFO/Gross Interest+CMLTD+Uncovered STB) (X)	4.7	16.5	67.6	51.4

Interest Coverage

1. (FCFO/Gross Interest) (X)	6.8	29.6	81.4	64.0
2. (EBITDA/Gross Interest) (X)	18.4	47.9	107.3	82.0

Liquidity and Cashflows

Current ratio (X)	1.7	1.8	3.6	5.7
Net Cash Cycle (Inventory Days + Receivable Days - Payable Days)	106.4	84.7	91.1	99.6

Capital Structure (Total Debt/Total Debt+Equity)

	23.0%	17.6%	0.3%	0.2%
--	-------	-------	------	------



STANDARD RATING SCALES & DEFINITIONS

Credit rating reflects forward-looking opinion on credit worthiness of underlying entity or instrument; more specifically it covers relative ability to honor financial obligations. The primary factor being captured on the rating scale is relative likelihood of default.

LONG TERM RATINGS		SHORT TERM RATINGS
AAA	<p>Highest credit quality. Lowest expectation of credit risk.</p> <p>Indicate exceptionally strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments.</p>	<p>A1+: The highest capacity for timely repayment.</p> <p>A1: A strong capacity for timely repayment.</p> <p>A2: A satisfactory capacity for timely repayment. This may be susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.</p> <p>A3: An adequate capacity for timely repayment. Such capacity is susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.</p> <p>B: The capacity for timely repayment is more susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.</p> <p>C: An inadequate capacity to ensure timely repayment.</p>
AA+ AA AA-	<p>Very high credit quality. Very low expectation of credit risk.</p> <p>Indicate very strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments. This capacity is not significantly vulnerable to foreseeable events.</p>	
A+ A A-	<p>High credit quality. Low expectation of credit risk.</p> <p>The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered strong. This capacity may, nevertheless, be vulnerable to changes in circumstances or in economic conditions.</p>	
BBB+ BBB BBB-	<p>Good credit quality. Currently a low expectation of credit risk.</p> <p>The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered adequate, but adverse changes in circumstances or economic conditions are more likely to impair this capacity.</p>	
BB+ BB BB-	<p>Moderate risk. Possibility of credit risk developing.</p> <p>There is a possibility of credit risk developing, particularly as a result of adverse economic or business changes over time; however, business or financial alternatives may be available to allow financial commitments to be met.</p>	
B+ B B-	<p>High credit risk.</p> <p>A limited margin of safety remains against credit risk. Financial commitments are currently being met; however, capacity for continued payment is contingent upon a sustained, favorable business, and economic environment.</p>	
CCC CC C	<p>Very high credit risk.</p> <p>“CCC” Default is a real possibility. Capacity for meeting financial commitments is solely reliant upon sustained, favorable business or economic developments. “CC” Rating indicates that default of some kind appears probable. “C” Ratings signal imminent default.</p>	
D	<p>Obligations are currently in default.</p>	

Rating Watch

Alerts to the possibility of a rating change subsequent to, or in anticipation of, a) some material identifiable event and/or b) deviation from expected trend. But it does not mean that a rating change is inevitable. Rating Watch may carry designation – Positive (rating may be raised, negative (lowered), or developing (direction is unclear). A watch should be resolved within foreseeable future, but may continue if underlying circumstances are not settled.

Outlook (Stable, Positive, Negative, Developing)

Indicates the potential and direction of a rating over the intermediate term in response to trends in economic and/or fundamental business/financial conditions. It is not necessarily a precursor to a rating change. ‘Stable’ outlook means a rating is not likely to change. ‘Positive’ means it may be raised. ‘Negative’ means it may be lowered. Where the trends have conflicting elements, the outlook may be described as ‘Developing’.

Suspension

It is not possible to update an opinion due to lack of requisite information. Opinion should be resumed in foreseeable future. However, if this does not happen within six (6) months, a suspended rating should be considered withdrawn.

Withdrawn

A rating is withdrawn on a) termination of rating mandate, b) cessation of underlying entity, c) the debt instrument is redeemed, d) the rating remains suspended for six months, or e) the entity/issuer defaults.

Disclaimer: PACRA's rating is an assessment of the credit standing of an entity/issue in Pakistan. They do not take into account the potential transfer / convertibility risk that may exist for foreign currency creditors. PACRA's opinion is not a recommendation to purchase, sell or hold a security, in as much as it does not comment on the security's market price or suitability for a particular investor.



Regulatory and Supplementary Disclosure

Rated Entity

Name of Rated Entity
Sector
Type of Relationship

Hum Network Limited
Media
Solicited

Purpose of the Rating

Independent Risk Assessment

Rating History

Dissemination Date	Long Term	Short Term	Outlook	Rating Watch
30-Jun-15	A+	A1	Positive	-
30-Jun-14	A+	A1	Stable	-
16-May-13	A+	A1	Stable	-
12-Jul-12	A+	A1	Stable	-
16-Jul-11	A	A1	Stable	-

Related Criteria and Research

Electronic Media - Viewpoint | Jun-16

Methodology:

Corporate Rating Methodology

Rating Analysts

Aisha khalid aisha@pacra.com (92-42-35869504)	Rana Nadeem nadeem@pacra.com (92-42-35869504)
--	---

Rating Team Statement

Rating Procedure

Rating is an opinion on relative credit worthiness of an entity or debt instrument. It does not constitute recommendation to buy, hold or sell any security. The rating team for this assignment does not have any beneficial interest, direct or indirect in the rated entity/instrument.

Disclaimer

Rating Shopping

PACRA maintains principle of integrity in seeking rating business.

PACRA has used due care in preparation of this document. Our information has been obtained directly from the underlying entity and public sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA shall owe no liability whatsoever to any loss or damage caused by or resulting from any error in such information.

Conflict of Interest

PACRA, the analysts involved in the rating process, and members of its rating committee do not have any conflict of interest relating to the credit rating done by them.

The analysts or any of its family members do not have any interest in a credit rating or any of its family members has any such interest.

The analysts and members of the rating committees including the external member members have disclosed all the conflict of interest, including those of their family members, if any, to the Compliance Office PACRA.

The analysts or any of its family members do not buy or sell or engage in any transaction in any security which falls in the analyst's area of primary analytical responsibility. This is, however, not applicable on investment in securities through collective investment schemes. PACRA has established appropriate policies governing investments and trading in securities by its employees

PACRA may provide consultancy/advisory services or other services to any of its clients or to any of its clients' associated companies and associated undertakings that is being rated or has been rated by it. In such cases, PACRA has adequate mechanism in place ensuring that provision of such services does not lead to a conflict of interest situation with its rating activities.

PACRA receives compensation from the entity being rated or any third party for the rating services it offers. The receipt of this compensation has no influence on PACRA's opinions or other analytical processes. In all instances, PACRA is committed to preserving the objectivity, integrity and independence of its ratings. Our relationship is governed by two distinct mandates i) rating mandate - signed with the entity being rated or issuer of the debt instrument, and ii) fee mandate - signed with the payer, which can be different from the entity.

PACRA ensures that the credit rating assigned to an entity or instrument should not be affected by the existence of a business relationship between PACRA and the entity or any other party, or the non-existence of such a relationship

Surveillance

PACRA monitors all the outstanding ratings continuously and any potential change therein due to any event associated with the rated entity/ issuer, the security

arrangement, the industry etc, is disseminated to the market, in a timely and effective manner, after appropriate consultation with the entity/issuer.

PACRA reviews all the outstanding ratings on annual basis or as and when required by any stakeholder (including creditor) or upon the occurrence of such an event which requires to do so.

PACRA initiates immediate review of the outstanding rating(s) upon becoming aware of any information that may be reasonable be expected to result in any change (including downgrade) in the rating.

Reporting of Misconduct

PACRA has framed and implemented whistle-blower policy encouraging all employees to intimate the compliance officer any unethical practice or misconduct

relating to the credit rating by another employees of the company that came to his/her knowledge. The Compliance Officer reports to the BoD and SECP.

Confidentiality

PACRA has framed a confidentiality policy to prevent; abuse of the non-public information by its employees and other persons involved in the rating process, sharing and dissemination of the non-public information by such persons to outside parties.

Where feasible and appropriate, prior to issuing or revising a rating, PACRA informs the issuer of the critical information and principal considerations upon which a rating will be based and provide the opportunity to clarify any likely factual misperception or other matter that PACRA would wish to be made aware of in order to produce a fair rating. PACRA duly evaluates the response. Where in a particular circumstance PACRA has not informed the entity/issuer prior to issuing or revising a rating, it informs the entity/issuer as soon as practical thereafter.

Prohibition

None of the information in this document may be copied or otherwise reproduced, stored or disseminated in whole or in part in any form or by any means

whatsoever by any person without PACRA's written consent. PACRA reports and ratings constitute opinions, not recommendations to buy or to sell.

Probability of Default (PD)

PACRA's Rating Scale reflects the expectation of credit risk. The highest rating has the lowest relative likelihood of default (i.e, probability). PACRA's transition studies capture the historical performance behavior of a specific rating notch. Transition behavior of the assigned rating can be obtained from PACRA's Transition Study available at our website. (www.pacra.com). However, actual transition of rating may not follow the pattern observed in the past.