



The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited

## Rating Report

### FINCA Microfinance Bank Limited

#### Report Contents

1. Rating Analysis
2. Financial Information
3. Rating Scale
4. Regulatory and Supplementary Disclosure

#### Rating History

Dissemination Date	Long Term Rating	Short Term Rating	Outlook	Action	Rating Watch
28-Apr-2021	A	A1	Stable	Maintain	YES
28-Apr-2020	A	A1	Stable	Maintain	YES
29-Oct-2019	A	A1	Stable	Maintain	-
29-Apr-2019	A	A1	Stable	Maintain	-
06-Nov-2018	A	A1	Stable	Maintain	-
30-Apr-2018	A	A1	Stable	Maintain	-
30-Dec-2017	A	A1	Stable	Maintain	-
30-Jun-2017	A	A1	Stable	Initial	-

#### Rating Rationale and Key Rating Drivers

The ratings reflect association of FINCA (“the bank”) with a global microfinance organization – FINCA International. This affiliation supports FINCA Microfinance Bank in terms of building a strategy and also in establishing robust systems and controls. FINCA is a mid-tier player in Pakistan’s microfinance sector (End-Dec’20: ~7% share in total gross loan portfolio (GLP) and ~7% share in total microfinance deposits). The bank intends to build a stable and diverse deposit base. However, given the current business scenario, FINCA has also availed borrowings from SBP, under the Financial Inclusion Infrastructure Program (FIIP), to underpin its funding needs. Despite the challenges faced amidst the COVID-19 pandemic, the Bank has managed to increase its net profit by 38% to PKR 905mln. On the back of decreased NPLs, the Bank’s infection ratio fell to 3.4% (CY19: 4.7%). The bank's GLP has witnessed a dip in its growth pace, owing to tightening market conditions, however the deposit base has continued to grow. Under the current scenario, continuity of COVID-19 pandemic (penetration of third wave in Pakistan) will poise challenge to business and asset quality. Though SBP’s Relief Packages have come handy to the sector in protecting the credit quality of the players during the first wave, the out-turn of the situation, and its relative impact on the risk profiles of industry players, including FINCA, is yet to unfold in the days to come.

The ratings are dependent upon the bank’s ability to aptly combat the emerging risks under the current scenario in order to keep its business and financial risk profile intact. Meanwhile, the Ratings are also placed under “Watch” which reflects the need to oversee the risk profile of the bank against unavoidable challenges, going forward.

#### Disclosure

<b>Name of Rated Entity</b>	FINCA Microfinance Bank Limited
<b>Type of Relationship</b>	Solicited
<b>Purpose of the Rating</b>	Entity Rating
<b>Applicable Criteria</b>	Methodology   MFI (Jun-20),Methodology   Correlation Between Long-Term And Short-Term Rating Scale(Jun-20),Criteria   Rating Modifier(Jun-20)
<b>Related Research</b>	Sector Study   Microfinance(Sep-20)
<b>Rating Analysts</b>	Ahmad Saad Siddiqi   ahmad.saad@pacra.com   +92-42-35869504



## Profile

**Structure** FINCA Microfinance Bank Limited (herein referred to as "FINCA" or "the bank") was incorporated as a public unlisted company in June, 2008, under the Companies Act, 2017. The bank operates with a nationwide network of 130 branches.

**Background** Originally, Kashf Holdings (Pvt.) Ltd. established the bank in 2008. FINCA International acquired majority stake in the bank, through dilution of Kashf Holdings' shareholding in the year 2013.

**Operations** The bank offers a diversified range of financial products and services to low income wage earners as well as the self-employed community. Micro-lending products include (i) FINCA Karobari Karza – the premier lending product (ii) FINCA Kashtkar Karza (for farmers) (iii) FINCA Maweshi Karza (Livestock) & (iv) FINCA Sunehari Karza (Gold-backed loans). The bank is also establishing its foothold in branchless banking operations.

## Ownership

**Ownership Structure** The bank is majorly owned by FINCA Microfinance Cooperatief U.A. (86.4%), followed by Kashf Holdings (Pvt) Ltd. (5.2%), International Finance Corporation (IFC) (4.9%), Triodos Fair Share Fund (2.7%) and Acumen Fund Pakistan (0.8%).

**Stability** Simplified shareholding with one major shareholder represents a stable structure.

**Business Acumen** Based in Netherlands – FINCA Microfinance Cooperatief U.A. operates as a subsidiary of FINCA International, Inc. FINCA International, a not-for-profit organization located in Washington D.C., is a founder of 20 microfinance institutions across the globe.

**Financial Strength** Financial muscle of the sponsors is considered strong.

## Governance

**Board Structure** The Board comprises eight directors, including five representatives of FINCA Microfinance Cooperatief U.A. A representative of Kashf Holdings (Pvt.) Ltd. and two independent directors complete the composition of the Board.

**Members' Profile** The Board members have international exposure and carry diversified expertise. They have been associated with the microfinance industry, since long.

**Board Effectiveness** The Board of Directors has four committees for oversight of responsibilities namely (i) HR Committee (ii) Risk Management Committee (iii) Audit Committee & (iv) IT Committee is formed to oversee operations and progress in the digital banking domain.

**Transparency** The internal audit department directly reports to the Audit Committee ensuring independence from the rest of the organization. M/S KPMG Taseer Hadi & Co. Chartered Accountants, are the external auditors of the bank. An unqualified audit opinion was expressed on the financial statements for the year ended Dec'20.

## Management

**Organizational Structure** FINCA has a horizontally spread organizational structure comprising thirteen departments. A total of six department heads, other than the CFO and COO, report directly to the CEO, while performance of four departments is entirely overseen by the COO and three by the CFO.

**Management Team** Mr. Shahid Hosain Kazi has recently replaced Mr. Farid Ahmed Khan, as the acting CEO of the bank. He brings with him 22 years of experience, covering key banking functions such as Corporate and Retail Banking, Strategic Planning and Risk Management. He is assisted by an experienced management team.

**Effectiveness** Four management committees are in place, namely; i) Management Board, ii) Asset Liability Management (ALCO) iii) Risk and iv) IT Steering Committee, to ensure operational efficiency and efficient decision making.

**MIS** To support timely decision making of management, the MIS system generates detailed reports containing details regarding disbursement, repayment, recoveries, deposits and compliance.

**Risk Management Framework** The bank has in place a separate Risk Management department to oversee various risks including credit, operational, IT and market risks. Risk Management Committee meets on a regular basis to ensure that the risk profile of the bank remains within the Board's approved limit.

**Technology Infrastructure** The management has taken numerous steps towards digitizing its processes. The aim is to scale up the IT infrastructure for future business growth and network expansion. All branches have migrated to the new technology of Autosoft software.

## Business Risk

**Industry Dynamics** Pakistan's Microfinance Industry comprises 39 microfinance providers including 12 Microfinance Banks, 17 Microfinance Institutions (MFIs), 6 Rural Support Programmes and 4 other projects. As at end-Dec'20, the total active borrowers of the industry stood at 7.0mln (FY20: 6.9mln), which shows a marginal increase of 1.4%. However, the restrictions are being lifted only in a phased manner and the degree of relaxations vary across regions depending upon the severity of covid-19 pandemic. The Industry Gross Loan Portfolio (GLP) clocked in at PKR 324,155mln (FY20: PKR~299,948mln). The surge in active borrowers and GLP continues contributed by the MFB peer group, which added over 170,000 clients and over PKR 115,000mln of GLP. The PAR>30 days decreased from 4.8% to 3.7% as the infection ratio for MFBs declined from 5% to 3.3% whereas it increased for the MFIs from 4.2% to 4.9%.

**Relative Position** The bank catered to 3% of the borrowers of the industry (including MFIs, RSPs and other projects) as at End-CY20, grabbing a 7% market share in terms of GLP, which decreased to PKR 21,550mln (End-CY19: PKR22,480mln), in line with the industry, due to the above mentioned macro-economic factors. Moreover, the bank secured a share of 7% of total deposits in the industry, as at End-CY20.

**Revenue** Interest/Mark up income of the bank clocked in at PKR 8,629mln during CY20 (CY19: PKR 8,312mln), depicting a modest growth of 4% compared to the corresponding period. The growth was hampered by the slump in fresh disbursements in 2QCY20, amid Covid-19. During CY20, the bank increased its relative proportion of Enterprise loans compared to Agri-Input and Livestock lending.

**Profitability** In line with the growth in top line, the Bank increased its net income to PKR 905mln during CY20 (CY19: PKR 653mln), indicating a sizable 38% jump from the corresponding period. A fall in non-markup expenses to PKR 3,824mln (CY19: PKR 4,168mln) benefited the bottom line of the Bank.

**Sustainability** Digital services remain to be the key driving force on the bank's growth. The bank's flagship product SimSim is growing steadily, with more than 580,000 customers, as at End-CY20. In light of the safety precautions taken during the global pandemic, the importance of branchless banking, has risen manifold. On the other hand, the effect of Covid-19 has and will put pressure on the growth prospects, liquidity and future infection of the loan book, of all industry players.

## Financial Risk

**Credit Risk** FINCA's loan book is primarily concentrated in non-collateralized loans while representing a fairly diversified product segmentation in Micro-Enterprise loans (35%), followed by Livestock loans (32%), and Agri-Input loans (32%). The bank's infection ratio decreased to 3.4% (End-CY19: 4.7%). It is to be noted that for the purpose of computing the Non-Performing Loans, the deferment as per the SBP Relief Scheme was incorporated. The bank's written off advances amounted to PKR 1,365mln during CY20 (CY19: PKR 937mln).

**Market Risk** Short term investments as at End-CY20 increased to PKR 6,449mln (End-CY19: PKR 6,244mln). The addition majorly consisted of further investment in government securities, which shall also prove to be beneficial with respect to the Capital Adequacy Ratio of FINCA.

**Funding** The bank's funding is majorly fueled through deposits (End-CY20: PKR 26,083mln, End-CY19: PKR 23,911mln) constituting 83% of the funding of the bank. Deposit base primarily consisted of time deposits (57%). The total borrowing stood at PKR 5,511mln (End-CY19: PKR 5,553mln). The bank's advances-to deposit ratio (ADR) fell to 82% (End-CY19: 92%), in line with the decrease in GLP, indicating a larger room for growth, in the future.

**Liquidity** Liquidity profile improved during the year, as the bank's liquid assets-to-deposits and borrowings ratio rose to 34% at End-CY20 (End-CY19: 32%). A rise in the investment in government securities and bank deposits, led to an increase in liquid assets on an overall basis. This coincided with a fall in the disbursement of new loans, in the wake of the recent economic uncertainty.

**Capital Adequacy** Capital Adequacy ratio (CAR) stood at 20.93% as at End-CY20 (End-CY19: 19.78%). The Bank is in compliance with the regulatory requirement of 15% CAR. Equity base increased to PKR 5,243mln (CY19: PKR 4,714mln).



PKR mln

**FINCA Microfinance Bank  
Private Limited**

Dec-20	Dec-19	Dec-18	Dec-17
12M	12M	12M	12M

**A BALANCE SHEET**

1 Total Finances - net	20,811	21,425	20,477	14,886
2 Investments	6,449	6,244	3,827	5,209
3 Other Earning Assets	2,688	1,446	2,489	1,557
4 Non-Earning Assets	9,468	7,582	5,382	3,513
5 Non-Performing Finances-net	435	614	104	(23)
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>39,851</b>	<b>37,312</b>	<b>32,280</b>	<b>25,142</b>
6 Deposits	26,083	23,911	23,742	19,184
7 Borrowings	5,511	5,553	3,319	1,669
8 Other Liabilities (Non-Interest Bearing)	3,014	3,129	1,187	1,006
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>34,607</b>	<b>32,594</b>	<b>28,247</b>	<b>21,859</b>
<b>Equity</b>	<b>5,243</b>	<b>4,716</b>	<b>4,030</b>	<b>3,275</b>

**B INCOME STATEMENT**

1 Mark Up Earned	8,629	8,312	6,531	4,809
2 Mark Up Expensed	(3,039)	(2,998)	(1,828)	(1,256)
3 Non Mark Up Income	845	954	802	693
<b>Total Income</b>	<b>6,435</b>	<b>6,269</b>	<b>5,505</b>	<b>4,246</b>
4 Non-Mark Up Expenses	(3,824)	(4,168)	(3,490)	(2,461)
5 Provisions/Write offs/Reversals	(1,228)	(1,090)	(435)	(407)
<b>Pre-Tax Profit</b>	<b>1,383</b>	<b>1,012</b>	<b>1,580</b>	<b>1,378</b>
6 Taxes	(478)	(358)	(623)	(523)
<b>Profit After Tax</b>	<b>905</b>	<b>653</b>	<b>956</b>	<b>855</b>

**C RATIO ANALYSIS**

**1 Performance**

Net Mark Up Income / Avg. Assets	14.5%	15.3%	16.4%	14.1%
Non-Mark Up Expenses / Total Income	59.4%	66.5%	63.4%	58.0%
ROE	18.2%	14.9%	26.2%	26.1%

**2 Capital Adequacy**

Equity / Total Assets	13.2%	12.6%	12.5%	13.0%
Capital Adequacy Ratio	20.9%	19.8%	15.9%	18.6%

**3 Funding & Liquidity**

Liquid Assets / (Deposits + Borrowings Net of Repo)	34.4%	32.2%	29.4%	37.1%
(Advances + Net Non-Performing Advances) / Deposits	81.5%	92.2%	86.7%	77.5%
Current Deposits / Deposits	10.6%	9.3%	8.4%	11.0%
SA Deposits / Deposits	32.3%	22.0%	21.3%	18.8%

**4 Credit Risk**

Non-Performing Advances / Gross Advances	3.4%	4.7%	1.9%	1.5%
Non-Performing Finances-net / Equity	8.3%	13.0%	2.6%	-0.7%

**Credit Rating**

Credit rating reflects forward-looking opinion on credit worthiness of underlying entity or instrument; more specifically it covers relative ability to honor financial obligations. The primary factor being captured on the rating scale is relative likelihood of default.

Scale	Long-term Rating Definition
AAA	<b>Highest credit quality.</b> Lowest expectation of credit risk. Indicate exceptionally strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments
AA+	
AA	<b>Very high credit quality.</b> Very low expectation of credit risk. Indicate very strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments. This capacity is not significantly vulnerable to foreseeable events.
AA-	
A+	
A	<b>High credit quality.</b> Low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered strong. This capacity may, nevertheless, be vulnerable to changes in circumstances or in economic conditions.
A-	
BBB+	
BBB	<b>Good credit quality.</b> Currently a low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered adequate, but adverse changes in circumstances and in economic conditions are more likely to impair this capacity.
BBB-	
BB+	<b>Moderate risk.</b> Possibility of credit risk developing. There is a possibility of credit risk developing, particularly as a result of adverse economic or business changes over time; however, business or financial alternatives may be available to allow financial commitments to be met.
BB	
BB-	
B+	
B	<b>High credit risk.</b> A limited margin of safety remains against credit risk. Financial commitments are currently being met; however, capacity for continued payment is contingent upon a sustained, favorable business and economic environment.
B-	
CCC	<b>Very high credit risk.</b> Substantial credit risk “CCC” Default is a real possibility. Capacity for meeting financial commitments is solely reliant upon sustained, favorable business or economic developments. “CC” Rating indicates that default of some kind appears probable. “C” Ratings signal imminent default.
CC	
C	
D	Obligations are currently in default.

Scale	Short-term Rating Definition
A1+	The highest capacity for timely repayment.
A1	A strong capacity for timely repayment.
A2	A satisfactory capacity for timely repayment. This may be susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.
A3	An adequate capacity for timely repayment. Such capacity is susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.
A4	The capacity for timely repayment is more susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions. Liquidity may not be sufficient.



*\*The correlation shown is indicative and, in certain cases, may not hold.*

**Outlook (Stable, Positive, Negative, Developing)** Indicates the potential and direction of a rating over the intermediate term in response to trends in economic and/or fundamental business/financial conditions. It is not necessarily a precursor to a rating change. ‘Stable’ outlook means a rating is not likely to change. ‘Positive’ means it may be raised. ‘Negative’ means it may be lowered. Where the trends have conflicting elements, the outlook may be described as ‘Developing’.

**Rating Watch** Alerts to the possibility of a rating change subsequent to, or, in anticipation of some material identifiable event with indeterminable rating implications. But it does not mean that a rating change is inevitable. A watch should be resolved within foreseeable future, but may continue if underlying circumstances are not settled. Rating watch may accompany rating outlook of the respective opinion.

**Suspension** It is not possible to update an opinion due to lack of requisite information. Opinion should be resumed in foreseeable future. However, if this does not happen within six (6) months, the rating should be considered withdrawn.

**Withdrawn** A rating is withdrawn on a) termination of rating mandate, b) the debt instrument is redeemed, c) the rating remains suspended for six months, d) the entity/issuer defaults., or/and e) PACRA finds it impractical to surveil the opinion due to lack of requisite information.

**Harmonization** A change in rating due to revision in applicable methodology or underlying scale.

**Surveillance.** Surveillance on a publicly disseminated rating opinion is carried out on an ongoing basis till it is formally suspended or withdrawn. A comprehensive surveillance of rating opinion is carried out at least once every six months. However, a rating opinion may be reviewed in the intervening period if it is necessitated by any material happening.

**Note.** This scale is applicable to the following methodology(s):

<p><b>Entities</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Broker Entity Rating</li> <li>b) Corporate Rating</li> <li>c) Financial Institution Rating</li> <li>d) Holding Company Rating</li> <li>e) Independent Power Producer Rating</li> <li>f) Microfinance Institution Rating</li> <li>g) Non-Banking Finance Companies (NBFCs) Rating</li> </ul>	<p><b>Instruments</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Basel III Compliant Debt Instrument Rating</li> <li>b) Debt Instrument Rating</li> <li>c) Sukuk Rating</li> </ul>
--	---

**Disclaimer:** PACRA has used due care in preparation of this document. Our information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA shall owe no liability whatsoever to any loss or damage caused by or resulting from any error in such information. Contents of PACRA documents may be used, with due care and in the right context, with credit to PACRA. Our reports and ratings constitute opinions, not recommendations to buy or to sell.

### **Rating Team Statements**

(1) Rating is just an opinion about the creditworthiness of the entity and does not constitute recommendation to buy, hold or sell any security of the entity rated or to buy, hold or sell the security rated, as the case may be | Chapter III; 14-3-(x)

### **2) Conflict of Interest**

- i. The Rating Team or any of their family members have no interest in this rating | Chapter III; 12-2-(j)
- ii. PACRA, the analysts involved in the rating process and members of its rating committee, and their family members, do not have any conflict of interest relating to the rating done by them | Chapter III; 12-2-(e) & (k)
- iii. The analyst is not a substantial shareholder of the customer being rated by PACRA [Annexure F; d-(ii)] Explanation: for the purpose of above clause, the term "family members" shall include only those family members who are dependent on the analyst and members of the rating committee

### **Restrictions**

- (3) No director, officer or employee of PACRA communicates the information, acquired by him for use for rating purposes, to any other person except where required under law to do so. | Chapter III; 10-(5)
- (4) PACRA does not disclose or discuss with outside parties or make improper use of the non-public information which has come to its knowledge during business relationship with the customer | Chapter III; 10-7-(d)
- (5) PACRA does not make proposals or recommendations regarding the activities of rated entities that could impact a credit rating of entity subject to rating | Chapter III; 10-7-(k)

### **Conduct of Business**

- (6) PACRA fulfills its obligations in a fair, efficient, transparent and ethical manner and renders high standards of services in performing its functions and obligations; | Chapter III; 11-A-(a)
- (7) PACRA uses due care in preparation of this Rating Report. Our information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA does not, in every instance, independently verifies or validates information received in the rating process or in preparing this Rating Report.
- (8) PACRA prohibits its employees and analysts from soliciting money, gifts or favors from anyone with whom PACRA conducts business | Chapter III; 11-A-(q)
- (9) PACRA ensures before commencement of the rating process that an analyst or employee has not had a recent employment or other significant business or personal relationship with the rated entity that may cause or may be perceived as causing a conflict of interest; | Chapter III; 11-A-(r)
- (10) PACRA maintains principal of integrity in seeking rating business | Chapter III; 11-A-(u)
- (11) PACRA promptly investigates, in the event of a misconduct or a breach of the policies, procedures and controls, and takes appropriate steps to rectify any weaknesses to prevent any recurrence along with suitable punitive action against the responsible employee(s) | Chapter III; 11-B-(m)

### **Independence & Conflict of interest**

- (12) PACRA receives compensation from the entity being rated or any third party for the rating services it offers. The receipt of this compensation has no influence on PACRA's opinions or other analytical processes. In all instances, PACRA is committed to preserving the objectivity, integrity and independence of its ratings. Our relationship is governed by two distinct mandates i) rating mandate - signed with the entity being rated or issuer of the debt instrument, and fee mandate - signed with the payer, which can be different from the entity
- (13) PACRA does not provide consultancy/advisory services or other services to any of its customers or to any of its customers' associated companies and associated undertakings that is being rated or has been rated by it during the preceding three years unless it has adequate mechanism in place ensuring that provision of such services does not lead to a conflict of interest situation with its rating activities; | Chapter III; 12-2-(d)
- (14) PACRA discloses that no shareholder directly or indirectly holding 10% or more of the share capital of PACRA also holds directly or indirectly 10% or more of the share capital of the entity which is subject to rating or the entity which issued the instrument subject to rating by PACRA; | Reference Chapter III; 12-2-(f)
- (15) PACRA ensures that the rating assigned to an entity or instrument is not be affected by the existence of a business relationship between PACRA and the entity or any other party, or the non-existence of such a relationship | Chapter III; 12-2-(i)
- (16) PACRA ensures that the analysts or any of their family members shall not buy or sell or engage in any transaction in any security which falls in the analyst's area of primary analytical responsibility. This clause shall, however, not be applicable on investment in securities through collective investment schemes. | Chapter III; 12-2-(l)
- (17) PACRA has established policies and procedure governing investments and trading in securities by its employees and for monitoring the same to prevent insider trading, market manipulation or any other market abuse | Chapter III; 11-B-(g)

### **Monitoring and review**

- (18) PACRA monitors all the outstanding ratings continuously and any potential change therein due to any event associated with the issuer, the security arrangement, the industry etc., is disseminated to the market, immediately and in effective manner, after appropriate consultation with the entity/issuer; | Chapter III | 18-(a)
- (19) PACRA reviews all the outstanding ratings on semi-annual basis or as and when required by any creditor or upon the occurrence of such an event which requires to do so; | Chapter III | 18-(b)
- (20) PACRA initiates immediate review of the outstanding rating upon becoming aware of any information that may reasonably be expected to result in downgrading of the rating; | Chapter III | 18-(c)
- (21) PACRA engages with the issuer and the debt securities trustee, to remain updated on all information pertaining to the rating of the entity/instrument; | Chapter III | 18-(d)

### **Probability of Default**

(22) PACRA's Rating Scale reflects the expectation of credit risk. The highest rating has the lowest relative likelihood of default (i.e, probability). PACRA's transition studies capture the historical performance behavior of a specific rating notch. Transition behavior of the assigned rating can be obtained from PACRA's Transition Study available at our website. (www.pacra.com). However, actual transition of rating may not follow the pattern observed in the past | Chapter III | 14-(F-VII)

### **Proprietary Information**

(23) All information contained herein is considered proprietary by PACRA. Hence, none of the information in this document can be copied or, otherwise reproduced, stored or disseminated in whole or in part in any form or by any means whatsoever by any person without PACRA's prior written consent