



The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited

Rating Report

Sui Northern Gas Pipelines Limited

Report Contents

1. Rating Analysis
2. Financial Information
3. Rating Scale
4. Regulatory and Supplementary Disclosure

Rating History

Dissemination Date	Long Term Rating	Short Term Rating	Outlook	Action	Rating Watch
05-Nov-2021	AA-	A1	Stable	Maintain	-
06-Nov-2020	AA-	A1	Stable	Maintain	-
28-Dec-2019	AA-	A1	Stable	Maintain	Yes
28-Jun-2019	AA-	A1	Stable	Maintain	Yes
31-Dec-2018	AA-	A1	Stable	Maintain	Yes
30-Jun-2018	AA-	A1	Stable	Maintain	-
30-May-2017	AA-	A1	Stable	Maintain	-
04-May-2016	AA-	A1	Stable	Maintain	-

Rating Rationale and Key Rating Drivers

SNGPL enjoys high ratings due to its strategic importance as the country's largest gas utility company. The business profile of the company draws strength from its established franchise network and guaranteed return on its net operating assets. In the last few years, the company has pursued a multi-dimensional framework to sustainably reduce the absolute quantum of unaccounted for gas and percentage proportion, and results are reflected from reduced UFG volumes to 48.3Bcf from 52.5Bcf. SNGPL witnessed a slight increase in UFG volumes (FY20: 12.3%; FY19: 11.86%) which drove down the growth in revenues. Consequent to the UFG study conducted by OGRA and revision of UFG benchmark calculation, the allowed UFG percentage of the company was 6.98% for FY20; yet the company's delta (actual vs. allowed) followed the increasing trend over the period and resultant in increased disallowance figures (FY20: PKR 11.7bln; FY19: PKR 10.5bln). Further, the company, being part of circular debt, has significant receivables and payables on its balance sheet. Though working capital cycle is being managed through augmented short-term borrowings which might create liquidity challenges for the company in the future. SNGPL has published its financial statements for the year ending June-20, subsequent quarterly statements are recently released; however, delays in the finalization of financial statements for the year ending June 2021 are expected, attributable to time being taken finalization of Final Revenue Requirement (FRR) by OGRA. It is pertinent to mention that after OGRA's determination, the company will be able to complete the remaining formalities within 60 days including the statutory period of 21 days required to convene the Annual General Meeting. SNGPL is part of the Re-gasified Liquid Natural Gas (RLNG) project – which constitutes ~70% of gross sales in FY20, which also enabled the company to sustain its profit margins over the years (3QFY21: PKR 8.9bln; FY20: PKR 5.9bln; FY19: PKR 7.1bln). It is also pertinent to mention that as per management representations, auditors of the company presented emphasis on matters paragraph drawing attention towards the settlement of intercorporate balances and accumulation of circular debt. Several projects are currently under process, pertaining to expanding the transmission and distribution networks. OGRA has allowed guaranteed return on LNG pipeline infrastructure. Hence, incremental cashflows are supplement SNGPL's financial risk profile. Going forward, accumulating figures relating to differential margins, settlement of inter-corporate claims & circular debt issues will remain critical.

Meanwhile, ratings continue to draw comfort from sovereign ownership of the company. Ratings are dependent on the management's ability to prudently manage its financial risk profile.

Disclosure

Name of Rated Entity	Sui Northern Gas Pipelines Limited
Type of Relationship	Solicited
Purpose of the Rating	Entity Rating
Applicable Criteria	Methodology Corporate Rating(Jun-21),Criteria Correlation Between Long-term & Short-term Rating Scales(Jun-21),Criteria Rating Modifiers(Jun-21)
Related Research	Sector Study Distribution Gas(Jun-21)
Rating Analysts	Maryam Arshad maryam.arshad@pacra.com +92-42-35869504

Profile

Legal Structure Sui Northern Gas Pipelines Limited (SNGPL), established in 1963, is the largest integrated gas company in Pakistan. The company is engaged in the business of the purchase, transmission, distribution, and supply of natural gas.

Background SNGPL is currently catering to the gas requirements of ~7.22mln customers in the domestic, commercial, and industrial sectors with a transmission network of 9125.7km and a distribution network that spans 142,998km. The company has expanded its activities as an Engineering Procurement and Construction Contractor to undertake the planning, design, and construction of pipelines, both, for itself and other organizations.

Operations The Company is engaged in the business of the purchase, transmission, distribution, and supply of natural gas. SNGPL's system, based in Punjab, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (KPK), and Azad Jammu & Kashmir (AJK), caters to the natural gas requirements of the majority (~6.8mln customers) of the country's consumers.

Ownership

Ownership Structure The company is majority (57%) owned by the Government of Pakistan (GoP) including ~26% through public sector companies and Corporations.

Stability As the Company is majorly owned by GoP, the ownership structure is likely to remain the same in the foreseeable future.

Business Acumen The business acumen of the sponsor, being Government of Pakistan, is considered strong.

Financial Strength SNGPL being of strategic importance - the willingness and ability of the sponsor to support are likely to come in case of the need.

Governance

Board Structure The overall control of the company vests in the twelve-member board. The board comprises the Managing Director (MD) and ten non-executive directors including five independent members. The board has witnessed frequent changes owing to political re-shuffling; the risk remains. Ms. Roohi Raees Khan, Chairman of the board, joined the company on 20th November 2019.

Members' Profile The board members have a good mix of government and private sector exposure. However, the majority of BoD members have industry-related experience.

Board Effectiveness The board has constituted five committees, namely (i) Human Resource and Remuneration, (ii) Audit, (iii) Finance and Procurement, (iv) Unaccounted for Gas (UFG) Control/ Risk Management, (v) Nomination. This ensures effective oversight of the company's affairs through conducting regular meetings.

Financial Transparency M/s. Yousuf Adil- Chartered Accountants, are the newly appointed external auditor of the company to conduct the audit for the period ending Jun-20. Auditors have provided unqualified opinions along with emphasis para to draw attention towards a few pending issues. The Company has issued its subsequent quarterly statements, whilst the financial statements for the year ended Jun-21 are under-processing.

Management

Organizational Structure The Company has a well-defined and tall organizational structure ensuring clear segregation of duties and relatively low reliance on a single individual. The organizational structure of the company is currently divided into twelve functional departments including an internal audit.

Management Team Mr. Ali J. Hamdani –Managing Director – took charge of the position on Dec-20. He holds a diversified experience of more than 30 years and moving forward, stability in senior management is considered important.

Effectiveness Operational infrastructure requires regular capital expenditure.

MIS SNGPL deploys Oracle-based Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) system since 2006; it provides an integrated view of business processes.

Control Environment

Business Risk

Industry Dynamics Currently, there are two gas distribution companies – SNGPL and SSGC – operating in Pakistan. Pakistan has an extensive gas network of over 13,315km transmission, 149,715km distribution and 39,612 services gas pipelines to cater to the needs of more than ~10.3mln consumers countrywide as of end-Jun21. Each distribution company is a sole supplier of gas in the jurisdiction it serves thus enjoying a monopoly over gas distribution. Since this sector is a supply deficit, the companies are not exposed to demand risk. The tariff is determined by OGRA on annual basis. While determining tariff, ORGA allows i) guaranteed return, and ii) operational cost. Gas utilities operate in a highly regulated environment.

Relative Position Since Apr16, OGRA allowed LNG projects to be part of the net operating asset base; this has translated into increased operating assets base of the company and returns thereof (Average operating assets: end-Mar21: PKR 150.02bln; end-Jun20: PKR 154.9bln).

Revenues During FY20, revenues within the observed range since past few years (3QFY21: PKR 534bln; FY20: 745bln; FY19: 781.9bln); a factor of inclusion of RLNG into the system on the back of reduction in net gas production. During FY20, volumetric decline of -13.9% on YoY basis was reported (FY20: 649,946mmcf; FY19: 755,098mmcf; FY18: 670.644mmcf) owing to closure of industries amid pandemic situation of Covid-19. However, demand shot up since lockdown has been lifted after 3 months that led to a growing demand-supply gap. To counter this growing gap, the company has continued to tilt the supply towards RLNG (FY20: 70% of total revenue). SNGPL has a diverse consumer base comprising domestic and commercial sectors including power utilities, fertilizer, cement, and other industries.

Margins During FY20, SNGPL's margin depicted a growing trend over the years. Gross Profit margin reported as (3QFY21: 6.8%, FY20: 7.3%, FY19: 4.7%), Operating Profit reported aligned results on account of growth in other operating income and stood at (3QFY21: 4.8%, FY20: 5.2%, FY19: 2.8%). However, due to the hike in interest rates, finance costs grew sharply thus Net Profit margins were dragged down and reported as (3QFY21: 7.8%, FY20: 7.7%, FY19: 0.9%).

Sustainability Going forward, the finalization of financial statements for the year-end June 21 is of vital importance. It is also pertinent to mention OGRA determines the company's final revenue requirement and the company's final accounts are subject to finalize by OGRA for publication. It is expected that after OGRA's determination, the company will be able to complete the remaining formalities within 60 days including a statutory period of 21 days required to convene the Annual General Meeting.

Financial Risk

Working Capital In recent years, the circular debt issue has weakened the liquidity position. During FY20, the company managed the circular debt issue by delaying its payments to gas suppliers resulting in an increase in trade payables. Resultantly, the net cash cycle increased to (153) days at end-Mar21 from (108) days at end-Jun20. SNGPL liquidity position at end-Jun20 improved slightly on the back of differential margin recoverable however, liquidity profile remained stretched. The company has a continued feature of excess short-term borrowings (STBs) however remained manageable when compared with net current assets (end-Mar21: ~PKR 28bln, end-Jun20: ~PKR 30bln, end-Jun19: ~PKR 19bln, end-Jun18: ~PKR 2bln).

Coverages During FY20, operating cashflows (FCFO) increased by ~30% on account of increased EBITDA. Finance cost witnessed significant growth on the back of a volumetric increase in borrowings. Resultantly, interest coverage deteriorated YoY (end-Mar21: 9.6x, end-Jun20: 7.7x, end-Jun19: 8.4x). Similarly; debt service coverage ratios exhibited an aligned trend (end-Mar21: 2.4x, end-Jun20: 1.8x, end-Jun19: 2.1x) on account of subdued operational performance for FY20 and then an upward curve on the foresighted trajectory

Capitalization Capital structure has been range-bound over the years (debt to debt plus equity ratio: end-Jun20: ~81%, end-Jun19: ~79%, end-Jun18: ~78%) on account of no significant expansion during the period. Whilst, during FY20, reduced profitability from comparative period however significant increased reserves have supported the equity to stand at (end-Jun20: PKR 25bln end-Jun19: PKR 21bln; end-Jun18: PKR 18bln). During 3QFY21; the reported figures for equity base was PKR 35bln that supported the leverage ratio to stand at ~71%.



Sui Northern Gas Pipelines Limited Distribution Gas	Mar-21 9M	Jun-20 12M	Jun-19 12M	Jun-18 12M
A BALANCE SHEET				
1 Non-Current Assets	247,987	248,045	204,751	194,058
2 Investments	-	-	-	-
3 Related Party Exposure	-	5	5	5
4 Current Assets	654,968	552,944	424,626	267,094
<i>a Inventories</i>	4,919	6,825	9,007	31,405
<i>b Trade Receivables</i>	184,811	164,762	157,573	66,315
5 Total Assets	902,956	800,994	629,382	461,157
6 Current Liabilities	631,713	539,994	405,746	265,155
<i>a Trade Payables</i>	519,320	439,108	340,969	208,857
7 Borrowings	104,736	109,667	79,415	66,394
8 Related Party Exposure	-	-	-	-
9 Non-Current Liabilities	133,699	126,195	123,179	110,931
10 Net Assets	32,807	25,137	21,042	18,677
11 Shareholders' Equity	32,807	25,137	21,042	18,677
B INCOME STATEMENT				
1 Sales	534,546	744,555	781,900	503,782
<i>a Cost of Good Sold</i>	(498,199)	(690,218)	(746,104)	(476,786)
2 Gross Profit	36,347	54,337	35,796	26,997
<i>a Operating Expenses</i>	(10,948)	(15,401)	(14,339)	(12,249)
3 Operating Profit	25,400	38,936	21,457	14,748
<i>a Non Operating Income or (Expense)</i>	16,243	18,456	15,469	11,533
4 Profit or (Loss) before Interest and Tax	41,642	57,393	36,926	26,282
<i>a Total Finance Cost</i>	(28,878)	(48,976)	(25,777)	(10,806)
<i>b Taxation</i>	(3,826)	(2,419)	(4,073)	(4,354)
6 Net Income Or (Loss)	8,939	5,998	7,076	11,121
C CASH FLOW STATEMENT				
<i>a Free Cash Flows from Operations (FCFO)</i>	55,183	74,663	57,307	50,808
<i>b Net Cash from Operating Activities before Working Capital Changes</i>	59,163	68,326	55,397	45,848
<i>c Changes in Working Capital</i>	(36,403)	(33,005)	(38,058)	(6,258)
1 Net Cash provided by Operating Activities	22,761	35,321	17,339	39,590
2 Net Cash (Used in) or Available From Investing Activities	(17,131)	(23,547)	(27,832)	(41,582)
3 Net Cash (Used in) or Available From Financing Activities	(3,091)	(11,651)	(14,930)	2,433
4 Net Cash generated or (Used) during the period	2,538	123	(25,423)	440
D RATIO ANALYSIS				
1 Performance				
<i>a Sales Growth (for the period)</i>	-4.3%	-4.8%	55.2%	45.5%
<i>b Gross Profit Margin</i>	6.8%	7.3%	4.6%	5.4%
<i>c Net Profit Margin</i>	1.7%	0.8%	0.9%	2.2%
<i>d Cash Conversion Efficiency (FCFO adjusted for Working Capital/Sales)</i>	3.5%	5.6%	2.5%	8.8%
<i>Return on Equity [Net Profit Margin * Asset Turnover *</i>				
<i>e (Total Assets/Shareholders' Equity)]</i>	38.5%	26.7%	38.8%	69.9%
2 Working Capital Management				
<i>a Gross Working Capital (Average Days)</i>	93	83	62	60
<i>b Net Working Capital (Average Days)</i>	-153	-108	-67	-55
<i>c Current Ratio (Current Assets / Current Liabilities)</i>	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
3 Coverages				
<i>a EBITDA / Finance Cost</i>	10.0	8.0	7.9	9.0
<i>b FCFO / Finance Cost+CMLTB+Excess STB</i>	2.4	1.8	2.1	2.8
<i>c Debt Payback (Total Borrowings+Excess STB) / (FCFO-Finance Cost)</i>	1.2	1.5	1.2	1.4
4 Capital Structure				
<i>a Total Borrowings / (Total Borrowings+Shareholders' Equity)</i>	76.1%	81.4%	79.1%	78.0%
<i>b Interest or Markup Payable (Days)</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>c Entity Average Borrowing Rate</i>	7.1%	9.4%	9.7%	7.1%

Credit Rating

Credit rating reflects forward-looking opinion on credit worthiness of underlying entity or instrument; more specifically it covers relative ability to honor financial obligations. The primary factor being captured on the rating scale is relative likelihood of default.

Scale	Long-term Rating Definition
AAA	Highest credit quality. Lowest expectation of credit risk. Indicate exceptionally strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments
AA+	
AA	Very high credit quality. Very low expectation of credit risk. Indicate very strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments. This capacity is not significantly vulnerable to foreseeable events.
AA-	
A+	
A	High credit quality. Low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered strong. This capacity may, nevertheless, be vulnerable to changes in circumstances or in economic conditions.
A-	
BBB+	
BBB	Good credit quality. Currently a low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered adequate, but adverse changes in circumstances and in economic conditions are more likely to impair this capacity.
BBB-	
BB+	Moderate risk. Possibility of credit risk developing. There is a possibility of credit risk developing, particularly as a result of adverse economic or business changes over time; however, business or financial alternatives may be available to allow financial commitments to be met.
BB	
BB-	
B+	
B	High credit risk. A limited margin of safety remains against credit risk. Financial commitments are currently being met; however, capacity for continued payment is contingent upon a sustained, favorable business and economic environment.
B-	
CCC	Very high credit risk. Substantial credit risk “CCC” Default is a real possibility. Capacity for meeting financial commitments is solely reliant upon sustained, favorable business or economic developments. “CC” Rating indicates that default of some kind appears probable. “C” Ratings signal imminent default.
CC	
C	
D	Obligations are currently in default.

Scale	Short-term Rating Definition
A1+	The highest capacity for timely repayment.
A1	A strong capacity for timely repayment.
A2	A satisfactory capacity for timely repayment. This may be susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.
A3	An adequate capacity for timely repayment. Such capacity is susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.
A4	The capacity for timely repayment is more susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions. Liquidity may not be sufficient.



**The correlation shown is indicative and, in certain cases, may not hold.*

Outlook (Stable, Positive, Negative, Developing) Indicates the potential and direction of a rating over the intermediate term in response to trends in economic and/or fundamental business/financial conditions. It is not necessarily a precursor to a rating change. ‘Stable’ outlook means a rating is not likely to change. ‘Positive’ means it may be raised. ‘Negative’ means it may be lowered. Where the trends have conflicting elements, the outlook may be described as ‘Developing’.

Rating Watch Alerts to the possibility of a rating change subsequent to, or, in anticipation of some material identifiable event with indeterminable rating implications. But it does not mean that a rating change is inevitable. A watch should be resolved within foreseeable future, but may continue if underlying circumstances are not settled. Rating watch may accompany rating outlook of the respective opinion.

Suspension It is not possible to update an opinion due to lack of requisite information. Opinion should be resumed in foreseeable future. However, if this does not happen within six (6) months, the rating should be considered withdrawn.

Withdrawn A rating is withdrawn on a) termination of rating mandate, b) the debt instrument is redeemed, c) the rating remains suspended for six months, d) the entity/issuer defaults., or/and e) PACRA finds it impractical to surveil the opinion due to lack of requisite information.

Harmonization A change in rating due to revision in applicable methodology or underlying scale.

Surveillance. Surveillance on a publicly disseminated rating opinion is carried out on an ongoing basis till it is formally suspended or withdrawn. A comprehensive surveillance of rating opinion is carried out at least once every six months. However, a rating opinion may be reviewed in the intervening period if it is necessitated by any material happening.

Note. This scale is applicable to the following methodology(s):

<p>Entities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Broker Entity Rating b) Corporate Rating c) Financial Institution Rating d) Holding Company Rating e) Independent Power Producer Rating f) Microfinance Institution Rating g) Non-Banking Finance Companies (NBFCs) Rating 	<p>Instruments</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Basel III Compliant Debt Instrument Rating b) Debt Instrument Rating c) Sukuk Rating
--	---

Disclaimer: PACRA has used due care in preparation of this document. Our information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA shall owe no liability whatsoever to any loss or damage caused by or resulting from any error in such information. Contents of PACRA documents may be used, with due care and in the right context, with credit to PACRA. Our reports and ratings constitute opinions, not recommendations to buy or to sell.

Rating Team Statements

(1) Rating is just an opinion about the creditworthiness of the entity and does not constitute recommendation to buy, hold or sell any security of the entity rated or to buy, hold or sell the security rated, as the case may be | Chapter III; 14-3-(x)

2) Conflict of Interest

- i. The Rating Team or any of their family members have no interest in this rating | Chapter III; 12-2-(j)
- ii. PACRA, the analysts involved in the rating process and members of its rating committee, and their family members, do not have any conflict of interest relating to the rating done by them | Chapter III; 12-2-(e) & (k)
- iii. The analyst is not a substantial shareholder of the customer being rated by PACRA [Annexure F; d-(ii)] Explanation: for the purpose of above clause, the term "family members" shall include only those family members who are dependent on the analyst and members of the rating committee

Restrictions

- (3) No director, officer or employee of PACRA communicates the information, acquired by him for use for rating purposes, to any other person except where required under law to do so. | Chapter III; 10-(5)
- (4) PACRA does not disclose or discuss with outside parties or make improper use of the non-public information which has come to its knowledge during business relationship with the customer | Chapter III; 10-7-(d)
- (5) PACRA does not make proposals or recommendations regarding the activities of rated entities that could impact a credit rating of entity subject to rating | Chapter III; 10-7-(k)

Conduct of Business

- (6) PACRA fulfills its obligations in a fair, efficient, transparent and ethical manner and renders high standards of services in performing its functions and obligations; | Chapter III; 11-A-(a)
- (7) PACRA uses due care in preparation of this Rating Report. Our information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA does not, in every instance, independently verifies or validates information received in the rating process or in preparing this Rating Report.
- (8) PACRA prohibits its employees and analysts from soliciting money, gifts or favors from anyone with whom PACRA conducts business | Chapter III; 11-A-(q)
- (9) PACRA ensures before commencement of the rating process that an analyst or employee has not had a recent employment or other significant business or personal relationship with the rated entity that may cause or may be perceived as causing a conflict of interest; | Chapter III; 11-A-(r)
- (10) PACRA maintains principal of integrity in seeking rating business | Chapter III; 11-A-(u)
- (11) PACRA promptly investigates, in the event of a misconduct or a breach of the policies, procedures and controls, and takes appropriate steps to rectify any weaknesses to prevent any recurrence along with suitable punitive action against the responsible employee(s) | Chapter III; 11-B-(m)

Independence & Conflict of interest

- (12) PACRA receives compensation from the entity being rated or any third party for the rating services it offers. The receipt of this compensation has no influence on PACRA's opinions or other analytical processes. In all instances, PACRA is committed to preserving the objectivity, integrity and independence of its ratings. Our relationship is governed by two distinct mandates i) rating mandate - signed with the entity being rated or issuer of the debt instrument, and fee mandate - signed with the payer, which can be different from the entity
- (13) PACRA does not provide consultancy/advisory services or other services to any of its customers or to any of its customers' associated companies and associated undertakings that is being rated or has been rated by it during the preceding three years unless it has adequate mechanism in place ensuring that provision of such services does not lead to a conflict of interest situation with its rating activities; | Chapter III; 12-2-(d)
- (14) PACRA discloses that no shareholder directly or indirectly holding 10% or more of the share capital of PACRA also holds directly or indirectly 10% or more of the share capital of the entity which is subject to rating or the entity which issued the instrument subject to rating by PACRA; | Reference Chapter III; 12-2-(f)
- (15) PACRA ensures that the rating assigned to an entity or instrument is not be affected by the existence of a business relationship between PACRA and the entity or any other party, or the non-existence of such a relationship | Chapter III; 12-2-(i)
- (16) PACRA ensures that the analysts or any of their family members shall not buy or sell or engage in any transaction in any security which falls in the analyst's area of primary analytical responsibility. This clause shall, however, not be applicable on investment in securities through collective investment schemes. | Chapter III; 12-2-(l)
- (17) PACRA has established policies and procedure governing investments and trading in securities by its employees and for monitoring the same to prevent insider trading, market manipulation or any other market abuse | Chapter III; 11-B-(g)

Monitoring and review

- (18) PACRA monitors all the outstanding ratings continuously and any potential change therein due to any event associated with the issuer, the security arrangement, the industry etc., is disseminated to the market, immediately and in effective manner, after appropriate consultation with the entity/issuer; | Chapter III | 18-(a)
- (19) PACRA reviews all the outstanding ratings on semi-annual basis or as and when required by any creditor or upon the occurrence of such an event which requires to do so; | Chapter III | 18-(b)
- (20) PACRA initiates immediate review of the outstanding rating upon becoming aware of any information that may reasonably be expected to result in downgrading of the rating; | Chapter III | 18-(c)
- (21) PACRA engages with the issuer and the debt securities trustee, to remain updated on all information pertaining to the rating of the entity/instrument; | Chapter III | 18-(d)

Probability of Default

(22) PACRA's Rating Scale reflects the expectation of credit risk. The highest rating has the lowest relative likelihood of default (i.e, probability). PACRA's transition studies capture the historical performance behavior of a specific rating notch. Transition behavior of the assigned rating can be obtained from PACRA's Transition Study available at our website. (www.pacra.com). However, actual transition of rating may not follow the pattern observed in the past | Chapter III | 14-(F-VII)

Proprietary Information

(23) All information contained herein is considered proprietary by PACRA. Hence, none of the information in this document can be copied or, otherwise reproduced, stored or disseminated in whole or in part in any form or by any means whatsoever by any person without PACRA's prior written consent