

# The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited

# **Rating Report**

# The General Tyre & Rubber Company of Pakistan Limited

## **Report Contents**

- 1. Rating Analysis
- 2. Financial Information
- 3. Rating Scale
- 4. Regulatory and Supplementary Disclosure

Rating History					
Dissemination Date	Long Term Rating	Short Term Rating	Outlook	Action	Rating Watch
10-Aug-2021	A+	A1	Stable	Upgrade	ı
10-Aug-2020	A	A1	Stable	Maintain	-
10-Oct-2019	A	A1	Stable	Downgrade	-
10-Apr-2019	A+	A1	Stable	Maintain	-
10-Oct-2018	A+	A1	Stable	Initial	-

## **Rating Rationale and Key Rating Drivers**

The ratings reflect reputable business profile and strong presence of the Company in tyre industry. Amidst Covid-19 and associated lockdown, the Company managed to increase its topline by 57% YoY basis through focusing on replacement market and rising its market share, coupled with better offtake by OEMs, in particular Car OEMs due to reduction in auto financing rates and agriculture OEMs due to better crop prices. Accommodative monetary policy resulted in significant decrease in in finance cost which supported the Company's profitability for the period under review. Meanwhile multiple initiatives undertaken by the Company which helped to reduce operating costs also supplemented by plant efficiency. The Company's envisaged business strategy with more focus on replacement market and farm segment has helped to maintain margins. The Company has a strong foothold in its respective industry comprising 4 wheeler tyres for cars, LCVs, tractors, buses and trucks. The Company is the sole manufacturer of passenger car radial tyres catering the Original Equipment Manufacturers' (OEMs) market. Two wheeler market, in contrast, displays a broader competitive landscape wherein General Tyre holds a relatively small market share. In last 3-4 years company has invested significantly in modernization and capacity enhancement of its plant. The Company is working on new sizes and designs for both OEM and replacement market segments. Going forward, the Company is expected to receive benefits from (a) Recent budgetary measures taken by Government to encourage higher production of local cars particularly up to 1000cc (b) Government efforts to curb smuggling in replacement market (c) Entry of new OEM manufactures in the market as the Company is also in close coordination with new auto players and has already started supplying tyres to some of new auto players. A well-devised governance framework alongside experienced management team is considered positive for the ratings. Association of General Tyre with Bibojee Services (Private) Limited and Pakistan Kuwait Investment Company Limited is also a consideration. The company's core competence lies in its technical collaboration with Continental AG; Germany (one of the world's leading tyre manufacturer) which assures adherence to international quality standards. Cautious management strategies amidst changing industry environment are critical.

The ratings are dependent on the company to uphold and improve its business risk vis-à-vis financial risk profile. Revival of the demand driver and coping up with changing tyre market is crucial. Moreover, prudent management of financial affairs remains important.

Disclosure		
Name of Rated Entity	The General Tyre & Rubber Company of Pakistan Limited	
Type of Relationship	Solicited	
<b>Purpose of the Rating</b>	Entity Rating	
Applicable Criteria		
Related Research	Sector Study   Tyres(Oct-20)	
Rating Analysts	Sohail Ahmed Qureshi   sohail.ahmed@pacra.com   +92-42-35869504	





#### The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited

#### Profile

Legal Structure The General Tyre and Rubber Company of Pakistan Limited "General Tyres" is a Public Listed Entity with a free float of ~35% shares as on July 13th, 2021. It got listed on Pakistan Stock Exchange in 1982.

Background The company was initially established by General Tire International Corporation (GITC) of USA in 1963, with an initial production capacity of ~120,000 tyres per annum. Currently, Bibojee Services (Private) Limited and Pakistan Kuwait Investment Company (Private) Limited are the two major sponsors of the company.

**Operations** The primary business of the company is manufacturing and sale of radial tyres for Cars, LCVs, Vans, Jeeps, Pickups, Buses and Bias Tyres for Trucks, Tractors, Rickshaws and Motorcycles. At present, the company has a capacity of 3,947,553 tyre sets.

#### Ownership

Ownership Structure Bibojee Services (Private) Limited and Pakistan Kuwait Investment Company (Private) Limited are the major shareholders of the company and hold ~27.8% and ~30% shares respectively.

Stability Bibojee Services (Private) Limited is a strong conglomerate diversified into various sectors including textile, automobile, Insurance, tyres & rubber and construction. Pakistan Kuwait Investment Company (Private) Limited is a state-owned Joint venture between Government of Pakistan and Government of Kuwait and is one of the top investment companies of Pakistan.

Business Acumen Apart from General Tyre, both sponsors have strategic stake in other sectors too. Under the ownership of current sponsors, the company has achieved many milestones and has established a formidable position in the tyres sector, which is a representation of strong sponsor acumen.

Financial Strength Bibojee Services (Private) Limited has strategic stakes in companies from different sectors including Textile, Insurance, Automobile and construction. Financial strength of the group is strong. Pakistan Kuwait Investment Company (Private) Limited also holds ~30% shares in Meezan Bank Limited, ~30% in Al-Meezan Investment Management Limited and ~17.6% in National Clearing Company of Pakistan Limited.

#### Governance

**Board Structure** Board of Directors consists of 8 members, out of which one is independent, six non-executive and one is an executive director. Management control vests with Bibojee Services.

Members' Profile Lt. Gen. (Retd.) Ali Kuli Khan Khattak is the Chairman of the Board having diverse experience in Auto and Allied sector. Other members are also highly qualified professionals and have sufficient experience in managing the company's affairs.

Board Effectiveness Two sub committees on the Board exist; (i) Audit Committee & (ii) HR & Remuneration Committee. Attendance recorded during the board and its sub-committees' meetings was good and minutes of the meetings have been extensively documented.

**Financial Transparency** An effective Internal Audit department reporting to the Audit Committee is in place. The External Auditor of the company are M/s A.F. Ferguson & Co, Chartered Accountants, one of the big four firms. They expressed an unqualified opinion on the financial statements of the company for half year ended Dec21.

#### Management

Organizational Structure Well-defined organizational structure exists. Operations are segregated into various departments wherein clear lines of responsibility are defined for each cadre.

Management Team Mr. Hussain Kuli Khan - the CEO of the company, has an overall experience of ~25 years. He did his Business Administration from Gettysburg College, USA. He also underwent training for 6 months in tyre manufacturing plants of Continental AG in Europe. He is supported by a team of experienced professionals working under various sub-divisions to ensure smooth reporting.

Effectiveness The management committee is headed by Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Hussain Kuli Khan. The committee also includes Chief Financial Officer, Executive Director Works, Executive Director Corporate Service & HR and Executive Director Marketing. Further, different departments' heads are responsible to ensure smooth running of their relevant departments.

MIS The company has implemented all in one SAP consisting of 9 modules. Detailed business continuity plan to address risk assessment and disaster recovery policy is in place.

Control Environment The corporate structure of the company is diverged into various departments each having an effective Internal Control System. Robust MIS to assist reporting needs of management strengthens the control environment.

# **Business Risk**

Industry Dynamics Pakistan's Tyre industry is composed of three segments, (i) 2 & 3 wheeler tyres (ii) Tyres for Cars and LCVs, and (iii) Tyres for Trucks, Buses and Tractors. Tyres demand is driven by sales of new vehicles and demand from replacement market. According to PAMA numbers, the demand has revived after Covid-19 crisis. Positive macro indicators like stabilization of Dollar and lower interest rate has shown good results, purchasing power has improved which instigated the demand to rise particularly from OEM market.

Relative Position The company optimizes on its strong competitive position in the upper niche segment of the tyres sector, i.e. 4 wheeler tyres. In addition to being only local producer of passenger car tyres in the country, it also has developed a strong grasp in the production of tractor tyres. In 2 & 3 wheeler domain, the company is in the process of penetrating its presence and has a small share. The company continues to struggle in replacement market as they face stiff competition from cheap imported tyres through both green and grey channels. However, in current year, increased focus on replacement market has offset to some extent the decline in OEM market.

**Revenues** As negative impacts of COVID-19 and subsequent lock-down has started to reverse during FY21, automobile and allied sector has shown growth during 9MFY21, accordingly revenue of the Company reflected a significant growth. During 9MFY21, revenue clocked in at PKR~10,034mln (FY20: PKR 8,793, 9MFY20: PKR~6,388mln) depicting significant growth of ~57% YOY basis and ~52% on annualized basis. During last two years, focus has been tilted towards replacement market strategically and Company has shown significant growth in almost all categories of replacement market.

Margins Gross profit margin has increased to ~18.3% during 9MFY21 (9MFY20: ~16.3%) due to higher sales in replacement market, better product mix and price hike to offset the impact of inflation. Increased gross profit margins translated into better operating profits (9MFY21: 12.4%, 9MFY20: 8.2%). Finance cost of the company decreased by 45% during 9MFY21 to PKR~369mln (9MFY20: ~671mln) which led to improved profitability of the company. Consequently, Company posted after tax profit of PKR 636mln during 9MFY21 up from net loss of PKR~105mln during 9MFY20, reflecting a significant recovery.

Sustainability The company is working on strategies to reduce the cost and go for leaner production. It is also working on new sizes and designs for both OEM and Replacement market segments. Further, company is in close coordination with all OEM's including new auto players.

# Financial Risk

Working Capital The company relies on short term borrowings and internal capital to fund working capital needs. Short term borrowings have decreased in 9MFY21 to PKR 5,524mln from PKR 5,932ln in 9MFY20. Due to improved sales during the period under review, the company's inventory days decreased to ~107 days in 9MFY21 (9MFY20:~172 days). Hence, net working capital days decreased to ~130 days (9MFY20:~186 days).

Coverages Free Cash Flow from operations (FCFO) considerably increased to PKR~1,470mln during 9MFY21 (9MFY20: PKR ~739mln) on account of higher profitability. Coverages of the company have improved during 9MFY21 as compared to 9MFY20. Interest coverage ratio of the company was recorded at ~4x (9MFY20: ~1.1x). Similarly debt coverage ratio has improved to 1.4x from 0.7x.

Capitalization At end of 9MFY21 total debt of the company clocked in at PKR~7,636mln (FY20: PKR 6,500mln, FY19: PKR~6,505mln). The increase stemmed from both short-term and long-term borrowings. Equity of the company stood at PKR~3,591mln (FY20: PKR 2,954, FY19: PKR~3,341mln). Despite the higher debt, improvement in profitability and equity reduced the gearing ratio to ~68% as at 9MFY21 (FY20: 68.8%, FY19: ~66%).



The Pakistan	Credit	Rating	Agenc	v Limited

Canaval Type and Dubbar Company Limited	Man 21	Inn 20	Iun 10
General Tyre and Rubber Company Limited	Mar-21 9M	Jun-20 12M	Jun-19 12M
Tyres	9M	121/1	121/1
A BALANCE SHEET			
1 Non-Current Assets	5,216	5,111	5,181
2 Investments	-	-	-
3 Related Party Exposure	17	13	16
4 Current Assets	8,745	6,747	7,278
a Inventories	4,516	3,318	3,722
b Trade Receivables	1,897	1,158	1,237
5 Total Assets	13,978	11,871	12,475
6 Current Liabilities	2,089	2,034	1,984
a Trade Payables	842	545	963
7 Borrowings	7,636	6,500	6,505
8 Related Party Exposure	-	-	-
9 Non-Current Liabilities	663	383	645
10 Net Assets	3,591	2,954	3,341
11 Shareholders' Equity	3,591	2,954	3,341
B INCOME STATEMENT			
1 Sales	10,034	8,793	10,486
a Cost of Good Sold	(8,203)	(7,745)	(8,897)
2 Gross Profit	1,831	1,049	1,589
a Operating Expenses	(585)	(691)	(692)
3 Operating Profit	1,246	357	897
a Non Operating Income or (Expense)	64	45	(59)
4 Profit or (Loss) before Interest and Tax	1,310	402	839
a Total Finance Cost	(369)	(849)	(585)
b Taxation	(305)	115	(131)
6 Net Income Or (Loss)	636	(332)	123
C CASH FLOW STATEMENT			
	1,470	706	752
a Free Cash Flows from Operations (FCFO)	1,043	(155)	239
b Net Cash from Operating Activities before Working Capital Changes c Changes in Working Capital	(1,799)	631	(649)
1 Net Cash provided by Operating Activities	(756)	477	(410)
2 Net Cash (Used in) or Available From Investing Activities	(471)	(290)	, ,
3 Net Cash (Used in) or Available From Financing Activities			(1,264)
	1,130 (98)	(160)	1,738
4 Net Cash generated or (Used) during the period	(90)	21	03
D RATIO ANALYSIS			
1 Performance			
a Sales Growth (for the period)	52.1%	-16.1%	-11.0%
b Gross Profit Margin	18.3%	11.9%	15.2%
c Net Profit Margin	6.3%	-3.8%	1.2%
d Cash Conversion Efficiency (FCFO adjusted for Working Capital/Sales)	-3.3%	15.2%	1.0%
e Return on Equity [ Net Profit Margin * Asset Turnover * (Total Assets/Sh	25.6%	-11.0%	4.0%
2 Working Capital Management			
a Gross Working Capital (Average Days)	149	196	162
b Net Working Capital (Average Days)	130	165	130
c Current Ratio (Current Assets / Current Liabilities)	4.2	3.3	3.7
3 Coverages			
a EBITDA / Finance Cost	4.5	1.2	2.3
b FCFO / Finance Cost+CMLTB+Excess STB	1.4	0.5	0.6
c Debt Payback (Total Borrowings+Excess STB) / (FCFO-Finance Cost)	1.4	-13.3	9.4
4 Capital Structure			
a Total Borrowings / (Total Borrowings+Shareholders' Equity)	68.0%	68.8%	66.1%
b Interest or Markup Payable (Days)	88.9	74.4	82.5
c Entity Average Borrowing Rate	7.2%	12.4%	10.0%



#### **Credit Rating**

Credit rating reflects forward-looking opinion on credit worthiness of underlying entity or instrument; more specifically it covers relative ability to honor financial obligations. The primary factor being captured on the rating scale is relative likelihood of default.

	Innancial obligations. The primary factor being captured on the rating sca
Scale	Long-term Rating Definition
AAA	Highest credit quality. Lowest expectation of credit risk. Indicate exceptionally strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments
AA+	
AA	Very high credit quality. Very low expectation of credit risk. Indicate very strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments. This capacity is not significantly vulnerable to foreseeable events.
AA-	
<b>A</b> +	
A	<b>High credit quality.</b> Low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered strong. This capacity may, nevertheless, be vulnerable to changes in circumstances or in economic conditions.
<b>A-</b>	
BBB+	
ввв	Good credit quality. Currently a low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered adequate, but adverse changes in circumstances and in economic conditions are more likely to impair this capacity.
BBB-	
BB+	Moderate risk. Possibility of credit risk developing. There is a possibility of credit risk
BB	developing, particularly as a result of adverse economic or business changes over time; however, business or financial alternatives may be available to allow financial
BB-	commitments to be met.
B+	
В	<b>High credit risk.</b> A limited margin of safety remains against credit risk. Financial commitments are currently being met; however, capacity for continued payment is contingent upon a sustained, favorable business and economic environment.
В-	
CCC	View historial and the Colombia and the
CC	<b>Very high credit risk.</b> Substantial credit risk "CCC" Default is a real possibility. Capacity for meeting financial commitments is solely reliant upon sustained, favorable business or economic developments. "CC" Rating indicates that default of some kind
$\mathbf{C}$	appears probable. "C" Ratings signal imminent default.
D	Obligations are currently in default.

**Short-term Rating** Definition Scale The highest capacity for timely repayment. **A1**+ A strong capacity for timely  $\mathbf{A1}$ repayment. A satisfactory capacity for timely repayment. This may be susceptible to **A2** adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions An adequate capacity for timely repayment. **A3** Such capacity is susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial The capacity for timely repayment is more susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions. Liquidity



\*The correlation shown is indicative and, in certain cases, may not hold.

Outlook (Stable, Positive, Negative, Developing) Indicates the potential and direction of a rating over the intermediate term in response to trends in economic and/or fundamental business/financial conditions. It is not necessarily a precursor to a rating change. 'Stable' outlook means a rating is not likely to change. 'Positive' means it may be raised. 'Negative' means it may be lowered. Where the trends have conflicting elements, the outlook may be described as 'Developing'.

Rating Watch Alerts to the possibility of a rating change subsequent to, or, in anticipation of some material identifiable event with indeterminable rating implications. But it does not mean that a rating change is inevitable. A watch should be resolved within foreseeable future, but may continue if underlying circumstances are not settled. Rating watch may accompany rating outlook of the respective opinion.

Suspension It is not possible to update an opinion due to lack of requisite information. Opinion should be resumed in foreseeable future. However, if this does not happen within six (6) months, the rating should be considered withdrawn.

Withdrawn A rating is withdrawn on a) termination of rating mandate, b) the debt instrument is redeemed, c) the rating remains suspended for six months, d) the entity/issuer defaults., or/and e) PACRA finds it impractical to surveill the opinion due to lack of requisite information.

Harmonization A change in rating due to revision in applicable methodology or underlying scale.

Surveillance. Surveillance on a publicly disseminated rating opinion is carried out on an ongoing basis till it is formally suspended or withdrawn. A comprehensive surveillance of rating opinion is carried out at least once every six months. However, a rating opinion may be reviewed in the intervening period if it is necessitated by any material happening.

**Note.** This scale is applicable to the following methodology(s):

#### Entities

- a) Broker Entity Rating
- b) Corporate Rating
- c) Financial Institution Rating
- d) Holding Company Rating
- e) Independent Power Producer Rating
- Microfinance Institution Rating
- g) Non-Banking Finance Companies

(NBFCs) Rating

#### Instruments

- a) Basel III Compliant Debt Instrument Rating
- b) Debt Instrument Rating
- c) Sukuk Rating

Disclaimer: PACRA has used due care in preparation of this document. Our information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA shall owe no liability whatsoever to any loss or damage caused by or resulting from any error in such information. Contents of PACRA documents may be used, with due care and in the right context, with credit to PACRA. Our reports and ratings constitute opinions, not recommendations to buy or to sell.

## **Regulatory and Supplementary Disclosure**

(Credit Rating Companies Regulations, 2016)

#### **Rating Team Statements**

(1) Rating is just an opinion about the creditworthiness of the entity and does not constitute recommendation to buy, hold or sell any security of the entity rated or to buy, hold or sell the security rated, as the case may be | Chapter III; 14-3-(x)

#### 2) Conflict of Interest

- i. The Rating Team or any of their family members have no interest in this rating | Chapter III; 12-2-(j)
- ii. PACRA, the analysts involved in the rating process and members of its rating committee, and their family members, do not have any conflict of interest relating to the rating done by them | Chapter III; 12-2-(e) & (k)
- iii. The analyst is not a substantial shareholder of the customer being rated by PACRA [Annexure F; d-(ii)] Explanation: for the purpose of above clause, the term "family members" shall include only those family members who are dependent on the analyst and members of the rating committee

#### Restrictions

- (3) No director, officer or employee of PACRA communicates the information, acquired by him for use for rating purposes, to any other person except where required under law to do so. | Chapter III; 10-(5)
- (4) PACRA does not disclose or discuss with outside parties or make improper use of the non-public information which has come to its knowledge during business relationship with the customer | Chapter III; 10-7-(d)
- (5) PACRA does not make proposals or recommendations regarding the activities of rated entities that could impact a credit rating of entity subject to rating | Chapter III; 10-7-(k)

## **Conduct of Business**

- (6) PACRA fulfills its obligations in a fair, efficient, transparent and ethical manner and renders high standards of services in performing its functions and obligations; | Chapter III; 11-A-(a)
- (7) PACRA uses due care in preparation of this Rating Report. Our information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA does not, in every instance, independently verifies or validates information received in the rating process or in preparing this Rating Report.
- (8) PACRA prohibits its employees and analysts from soliciting money, gifts or favors from anyone with whom PACRA conducts business | Chapter III; 11-A-(q)
- (9) PACRA ensures before commencement of the rating process that an analyst or employee has not had a recent employment or other significant business or personal relationship with the rated entity that may cause or may be perceived as causing a conflict of interest; | Chapter III; 11-A-(r) (10) PACRA maintains principal of integrity in seeking rating business | Chapter III; 11-A-(u)
- (11) PACRA promptly investigates, in the event of a misconduct or a breach of the policies, procedures and controls, and takes appropriate steps to rectify any weaknesses to prevent any recurrence along with suitable punitive action against the responsible employee(s) | Chapter III; 11-B-(m)

## **Independence & Conflict of interest**

- (12) PACRA receives compensation from the entity being rated or any third party for the rating services it offers. The receipt of this compensation has no influence on PACRA's opinions or other analytical processes. In all instances, PACRA is committed to preserving the objectivity, integrity and independence of its ratings. Our relationship is governed by two distinct mandates i) rating mandate signed with the entity being rated or issuer of the debt instrument, and fee mandate signed with the payer, which can be different from the entity
- (13) PACRA does not provide consultancy/advisory services or other services to any of its customers or to any of its customers' associated companies and associated undertakings that is being rated or has been rated by it during the preceding three years unless it has adequate mechanism in place ensuring that provision of such services does not lead to a conflict of interest situation with its rating activities; | Chapter III; 12-2-(d)
- (14) PACRA discloses that no shareholder directly or indirectly holding 10% or more of the share capital of PACRA also holds directly or indirectly 10% or more of the share capital of the entity which is subject to rating or the entity which issued the instrument subject to rating by PACRA; | Reference Chapter III; 12-2-(f)
- (15) PACRA ensures that the rating assigned to an entity or instrument is not be affected by the existence of a business relationship between PACRA and the entity or any other party, or the non-existence of such a relationship | Chapter III; 12-2-(i)
- (16) PACRA ensures that the analysts or any of their family members shall not buy or sell or engage in any transaction in any security which falls in the analyst's area of primary analytical responsibility. This clause shall, however, not be applicable on investment in securities through collective investment schemes. | Chapter III; 12-2-(l)
- (17) PACRA has established policies and procedure governing investments and trading in securities by its employees and for monitoring the same to prevent insider trading, market manipulation or any other market abuse | Chapter III; 11-B-(g)

# Monitoring and review

- (18) PACRA monitors all the outstanding ratings continuously and any potential change therein due to any event associated with the issuer, the security arrangement, the industry etc., is disseminated to the market, immediately and in effective manner, after appropriate consultation with the entity/issuer; | Chapter III | 18-(a)
- (19) PACRA reviews all the outstanding ratings on semi-annual basis or as and when required by any creditor or upon the occurrence of such an event which requires to do so; | Chapter III | 18-(b)
- (20) PACRA initiates immediate review of the outstanding rating upon becoming aware of any information that may reasonably be expected to result in downgrading of the rating; | Chapter III | 18-(c)
- (21) PACRA engages with the issuer and the debt securities trustee, to remain updated on all information pertaining to the rating of the entity/instrument; | Chapter III | 18-(d)

# **Probability of Default**

(22) PACRA's Rating Scale reflects the expectation of credit risk. The highest rating has the lowest relative likelihood of default (i.e, probability). PACRA's transition studies capture the historical performance behavior of a specific rating notch. Transition behavior of the assigned rating can be obtained from PACRA's Transition Study available at our website. (www.pacra.com). However, actual transition of rating may not follow the pattern observed in the past | Chapter III | 14-(f-VII)

## **Proprietary Information**

(23) All information contained herein is considered proprietary by PACRA. Hence, none of the information in this document can be copied or, otherwise reproduced, stored or disseminated in whole or in part in any form or by any means whatsoever by any person without PACRA's prior written consent