



The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited

Rating Report

LOTTE Kolson (Pvt.) Limited

Report Contents

1. Rating Analysis
2. Financial Information
3. Rating Scale
4. Regulatory and Supplementary Disclosure

Rating History

| Dissemination Date | Long Term Rating | Short Term Rating | Outlook | Action | Rating Watch |
|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|----------|--------------|
| 27-Jun-2019 | A- | A2 | Stable | Maintain | - |
| 31-Dec-2018 | A- | A2 | Stable | Initial | - |

Rating Rationale and Key Rating Drivers

The ratings reflect the Company's association with Lotte Corporation, one of the biggest conglomerates in South Korea, and expected group support. Promising consumer sentiment in conjunction with higher production led to growth in revenues in the industry over the years. However, rising inflationary pressures and a stressed economy has curtailed this growth. Ratings draw strength from the Company's established market presence in the snacks industry and experienced management team. Utilization of the Company's state-of-the-art 'Choco pie' plant remains low due to slow market pick of the product despite aggressive marketing campaigns. Meanwhile, margins have come under pressure recently owing increasing raw material costs, aggravated by rupee devaluation, and intense competition in the market resulting in operating loss. Efficient working capital management provides a breather to financial profile that is otherwise characterized by aggressive leveraging and stretched coverages. Here, timely support from the Group has materialized as per commitment. This would ensure timely payments of debt obligations.

The ratings are dependent on the Company's ability to improve utilization of new plant, resulting in better margins, while maintaining working capital discipline. Any deterioration in margins and/or coverages will put pressure on the ratings. Continued support from Lotte Corporation, technically and financially, is critical for ratings.

Disclosure

| | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Name of Rated Entity | LOTTE Kolson (Pvt.) Limited |
| Type of Relationship | Solicited |
| Purpose of the Rating | Entity Rating |
| Applicable Criteria | Methodology Corporate Ratings(Jun-18),Methodology Criteria Rating Modifier(Jun-18),Methodology Correlation Between Long-Term And Short-Term Rating Scale(Jun-18) |
| Related Research | Sector Study Consumer Goods(Dec-18) |
| Rating Analysts | Silwat Malik silwat.malik@pacra.com +92-42-35869504 |



Profile

Legal Structure Lotte Kolson (Private) Limited (the Company) is a private limited company.

Background The Company was formerly known as KS Sulemanji Esmailji & Sons (Private) Limited and was renamed to Lotte Kolson (Private) Limited after it was fully acquired by Lotte Corporation, a multinational conglomerate headquartered in South Korea, in 2014.

Operations The Company is involved in the manufacture and sale of five product categories, namely, snacks, biscuits, pasta, cakes and gum. Production facilities are located in Karachi, Islamabad and Lahore, whereas, the head office is located in Karachi. The Company has been investing in capacity enhancement and recently set up a new plant in Phool Nagar, Punjab.

Ownership

Ownership Structure Major shareholding of the Company lies with Lotte Confectionery (96.5%). Remaining shareholding lies with Mr. Dong Bin Shin (3.49%), son of the founder, Mr. Shin Kyuk-Ho, whereas, Mr. Noh Mang Ko (Chairman), Mr. Abdul Latif (Non-executive director) and Mr. Jeung Hoon Lee (CFO) hold one share each.

Stability The Company went through an ownership change as the Group realigned its businesses under a holding company structure. During the period, ownership share of Lotte Corporation (96.5%) was transferred to Lotte Confectionery, its subsidiary. Additionally, Lotte Confectionery has recently made an equity injection.

Business Acumen Lotte Corporation, is a Korean multinational conglomerate which has business ventures spread across the globe, in addition to having a strong footprint in South Korea and Japan. The Corporation has business interests in food, retail, chemicals, infrastructure and finance, among other areas. Moreover, the Group has established a strong presence in Pakistan and operates in the confectionery, chemical, beverages and construction industries.

Financial Strength The Company has strong financial strength on the back of support from Lotte Corporation. The Corporation is one of the largest conglomerates in South Korea and had total assets worth ~KRW 27,618bln (~PKR3,744bln), supported by an equity base of ~KRW 8,434bln (~PKR 1,143) at end Dec18.

Governance

Board Structure Board of Directors comprises four members which includes the Chairman, two executive directors and a non-executive director. However, the Company lacks representation of independent members.

Members' Profile Members of the Board have significant experience between them and specialize in retail and production, in addition to confectionery. Moreover, Mr. Noh Mang Ko (Chairman) has exposure of international confectionery markets and also acts as the Chairman of Lotte India. As of August 2018, Mr. Humair Ijaz resigned from the directorship of the Company. He was replaced with Mr. Jeung Hoon Lee, the Chief Financial Officer and Company Secretary.

Board Effectiveness The Company has no board committees in place, however, the Board meets twice a year.

Financial Transparency A. F. Ferguson & Co., Chartered Accountants, classified in Category 'A' by the SBP with a satisfactory QCR rating, are the external auditors of the Company. They have expressed an unqualified opinion on the financial statements for the year ending in December, 2018.

Management

Organizational Structure The organizational structure of the Company is split between twelve departments. Some of the major departments include sales, supply chain, production, quality and finance, with the highest concentration of employees in the sales department. Each department head is required to report to the Chief Financial Officer in addition to the Chief Executive, both of whom report to the Chairman. Additionally, General Manager Operations and General Manager Technical report directly to the Chairman. The complexity of the organizational structure hinders the effective flow of communication which may lead to miscommunication.

Management Team Management team comprises experienced individuals in the retail industry and represents a good skill mix. Mr. Khayyam Rajput, the Chief Executive Officer, holds an MBA and specializes in sales, marketing and general management. He has recently joined the Company and has previously worked for multi-nationals.

Effectiveness In order to ensure efficient operations, the Company has in place a management committee which meets twice a month. Meetings are chaired by the Chief Executive Officer and are attended by all functional heads. Purpose of the Committee is to improve efficiency in operations while ensuring compliance.

MIS The Company has deployed Oracle 12-C as its Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) system and operates a total of five modules. The Company is expected to implement SAP 6.0 as its primary ERP system going forward.

Control Environment The Company has a strong control environment represented by strict quality control measures, with an increased emphasis on hygiene, and an efficient internal audit department. Findings are reported to the Chief Executive Officer, in addition to headquarters. Additionally, tests are conducted by headquarters once every year to ensure efficiency in operations and reporting standards.

Business Risk

Industry Dynamics The confectionery, biscuits and snacks industry in Pakistan is largely dominated by domestic players and is highly price elastic. Distinction between products lies in mass marketing. Pakistan has a large retail base though highly fragmented and dominated by small retailers, competing in terms of location, personal relationships and product ranges etc. Going forward, high inflationary pressures and reduced purchasing power will negatively impact industry players.

Relative Position The Company has high brand recognition in the snacks industry with its product 'Slanty'. However, the market is dominated by well established brands such as 'Lays'. Although, the Company holds a strong position in the pasta industry, it is yet to establish a notable presence in other product categories.

Revenues Sales are made locally and only a small portion is exported. Revenue stream is concentrated in three products, out of a total of 18 products, accounting for ~71% of total revenue in 3MCY19. During FY18, net revenue stood at ~PKR 11,434mln, registering an increase of ~9% on the back of higher units sold for leading products. Sales during 3MFY19 remained sluggish, standing at ~PKR 2,792mln with a decline of ~8%. Slow off take can be traced to lower units sold during the period, specifically in the biscuits segment. Additionally, the Company reduced prices for its product 'Choco pie' during the three month period.

Margins Inflated production costs, aggravated by devaluation of Pakistani Rupee, has suppressed profitability which maintained a declining trend. High inflationary pressure with declining real income has constrained the Company to pass costs on to consumers while squeezing margins. Gross margins during CY18 declined to 18% (CY17: 23%). Similarly, higher operating expenses, primarily due to selling & distribution costs, ate away profits resulting in an operating loss of ~PKR 151mln (CY17: ~PKR 743mln) with a margin of -1% (CY17: 7%). Additionally, exchange loss in conjunction with substantial finance costs (~PKR 350), led the Company to incur a loss of PKR 22mln in CY18. Depressed profitability persisted during 3MFY19, with margins bearing further pressure. During the quarter, gross and operating margin fell to 15% (3MCY18: 22%) and -2% (3MCY18: 4%), respectively. Further, high finance costs resulted in a net loss of ~PKR 120mln in 3MCY19 (3MCY18: ~PKR 50mln).

Sustainability Going forward, the Company is expected to engage in aggressive marketing and promotional activities to market its new products more effectively. Moreover, the Company will look to increase capacity utilization for its new Choco pie plant.

Financial Risk

Working Capital Owing to the cash based nature of the industry the Company benefits from a low number of average trade debtors. However during 3MCY19, the Company witnessed increased average inventory days which stood at 42days (CY18: 31 days). Nonetheless, the Company was able to maintain strong net working capital days at 6, reflecting positively on working capital management. On the other hand, a mismatch in the debt mix was observed. This indicates that short-term funds have been utilized for activities unrelated to the Company's principal activity and reflects negatively.

Coverages Poor business performance combined with a material increase in finance costs eroded coverages which remained weak. Additionally, current maturity of long term debt of PKR 1bln further stressed coverage ratios. During 3MCY19, interest coverage fell to 1.3x (CY18: 1.5x) with debt coverage standing at 0.4x (CY18: 0.4x), indicating the Company's weak ability to fulfil financial obligations. However, support from the parent company in the shape of equity injection provides solace to coverage ratios. Going forward, coverage ratios are not expected to improve soon owing to expectation of unfavorable market conditions and a stressed economy.

Capitalization The Company was completely funded by equity until FY15 after which it secured a line of ~PKR 5bln for capacity enhancements. The loan is to be repaid in 20 consecutive quarterly payments commencing from March 2019. Currently the Company maintains an aggressive capital structure with a debt-to-equity ratio of ~49% in 3MCY10. Majority of debt is long-term in nature, representing ~71% of total debt. The Company has received an equity injection in CY19 for the purpose of principal repayment of long-term loans.

| Lotte Kolson (Private) Limited | | | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| Private Limited | | | | |
| BALANCE SHEET | | | | |
| | Mar-19 | Dec-18 | Dec-17 | Dec-16 |
| | 3M | 12M | 12M | 12M |
| a Non-Current Assets | 10,320 | 10,517 | 9,973 | 5,167 |
| b Investments (Incl. Associates) | 140 | 140 | 140 | 140 |
| c Current Assets | 2,732 | 2,454 | 2,160 | 2,199 |
| d Total Assets | 13,192 | 13,112 | 12,273 | 7,507 |
| e Debt/Borrowings | 5,322 | 5,396 | 4,665 | 500 |
| Short-Term | 572 | 396 | 215 | - |
| Long-Term (Incl. Current Maturity of Long-Term Debt) | 4,750 | 5,000 | 4,450 | 500 |
| Other Liabilities | 2,376 | 2,072 | 2,266 | 2,174 |
| f Shareholder's Equity | 5,494 | 5,643 | 5,342 | 4,833 |
| g Total Liabilities & Equity | 13,192 | 13,112 | 12,273 | 7,507 |
| INCOME STATEMENT | | | | |
| a Turnover | 2,792 | 11,434 | 10,451 | 9,172 |
| b Gross Profit | 408 | 2,065 | 2,433 | 2,070 |
| c Net Other Income | 8 | (119) | (24) | 12 |
| d Financial Charges | (114) | (350) | (12) | (12) |
| e Net Income | (120) | (22) | 521 | 566 |
| CASH FLOW STATEMENT | | | | |
| a Free Cash Flow from Operations (FCFO) | 144 | 497 | 1,024 | 1,089 |
| b Total Cashflows (TCF) | 144 | 514 | 1,024 | 1,089 |
| c Net Cash changes in Working Capital | 37 | 72 | (433) | 265 |
| d Net Cash from Operating Activities | 64 | 249 | 407 | 1,342 |
| e Net Cash from Investing Activities | (11) | (1,046) | (5,331) | (1,441) |
| f Net Cash from Financing Activities | (74) | 731 | 4,165 | 500 |
| g Net Cash generated during the period | (22) | (66) | (759) | 402 |
| RATIO ANALYSIS | | | | |
| a Performance | | | | |
| Turnover Growth | -2% | 9% | 14% | 16% |
| Gross Margin | 15% | 18% | 23% | 23% |
| Net Margin | -4% | 0% | 5% | 6% |
| ROE | -9% | 0% | 10% | 12% |
| b Coverages | | | | |
| Debt Service Coverage (X) (FCFO/Gross Interest+CMLTD+Uncovered STB) | 0.4 | 0.4 | 534.1 | 425.4 |
| Interest Coverage (X) (FCFO/Gross Interest) | 1.3 | 1.5 | 534.1 | 425.4 |
| Debt Payback (Years) (Total Debt (excluding Covered Short Term Borrowings) / FCFO) | 36.2 | 31.6 | 4.4 | 0.5 |
| c Working Capital Management | | | | |
| Net Cash Cycle (Inventory Days + Receivable Days - Payable Days) | 6 | 9 | -8 | -2 |
| d Capital Structure (Total Debt/Total Debt+Equity) | 49% | 49% | 47% | 9% |
| Lotte Kolson (Private) Limited | | | | |
| Jun-19 | | | | |

Credit Rating Scale & Definitions

Credit rating reflects forward-looking opinion on credit worthiness of underlying entity or instrument; more specifically it covers relative ability to honor financial obligations. The primary factor being captured on the rating scale is relative likelihood of default.

| Long Term Ratings | | Short Term Ratings | |
|--|---|--------------------|--|
| AAA | Highest credit quality. Lowest expectation of credit risk. Indicate exceptionally strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments | A1+ | The highest capacity for timely repayment. |
| AA+ AA AA- | Very high credit quality. Very low expectation of credit risk. Indicate very strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments. This capacity is not significantly vulnerable to foreseeable events. | A1 | A strong capacity for timely repayment. |
| A+ A A- | High credit quality. Low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered strong. This capacity may, nevertheless, be vulnerable to changes in circumstances or in economic conditions. | A2 | A satisfactory capacity for timely repayment. This may be susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions. |
| BBB+ BBB BBB- | Good credit quality. Currently a low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered adequate, but adverse changes in circumstances and in economic conditions are more likely to impair this capacity. | A3 | An adequate capacity for timely repayment. Such capacity is susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions. |
| BB+ BB BB- | Moderate risk. Possibility of credit risk developing. There is a possibility of credit risk developing, particularly as a result of adverse economic or business changes over time; however, business or financial alternatives may be available to allow financial commitments to be met. | B | The capacity for timely repayment is more susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions. |
| B+ B B- | High credit risk. A limited margin of safety remains against credit risk. Financial commitments are currently being met; however, capacity for continued payment is contingent upon a sustained, favorable business and economic environment. | C | An inadequate capacity to ensure timely repayment. |
| CCC CC C | Very high credit risk. Substantial credit risk "CCC" Default is a real possibility. Capacity for meeting financial commitments is solely reliant upon sustained, favorable business or economic developments. "CC" Rating indicates that default of some kind appears probable. "C" Ratings signal imminent default. | | |
| D | Obligations are currently in default. | | |



Outlook (Stable, Positive, Negative, Developing) Indicates the potential and direction of a rating over the intermediate term in response to trends in economic and/or fundamental business/financial conditions. It is not necessarily a precursor to a rating change. 'Stable' outlook means a rating is not likely to change. 'Positive' means it may be raised. 'Negative' means it may be lowered. Where the trends have conflicting elements, the outlook may be described as 'Developing'.

Rating Watch Alerts to the possibility of a rating change subsequent to, or in anticipation of, a) some material identifiable event and/or b) deviation from expected trend. But it does not mean that a rating change is inevitable. A watch should be resolved within foreseeable future, but may continue if underlying circumstances are not settled. Rating Watch may accompany Outlook of the respective opinion.

Suspension It is not possible to update an opinion due to lack of requisite information. Opinion should be resumed in foreseeable future. However, if this does not happen within six (6) months, the rating should be considered withdrawn.

Withdrawn A rating is withdrawn on a) termination of rating mandate, b) cessation of underlying entity, c) the debt instrument is redeemed, d) the rating remains suspended for six months, e) the entity/issuer defaults, or/and f) PACRA finds it impractical to surveil the opinion due to lack of requisite information.

Harmonization A change in rating due to revision in applicable methodology or underlying scale.

Disclaimer: PACRA's ratings are an assessment of the credit standing of entities/issue in Pakistan. They do not take into account the potential transfer / convertibility risk that may exist for foreign currency creditors. PACRA's opinion is not a recommendation to purchase, sell or hold a security, in as much as it does not comment on the security's market price or suitability for a particular investor.

Rating Team Statements

(1) Rating is just an opinion about the creditworthiness of the entity and does not constitute recommendation to buy, hold or sell any security of the entity rated or to buy, hold or sell the security rated, as the case may be | Chapter III; 14-3-(x)

2) Conflict of Interest

- i. The Rating Team or any of their family members have no interest in this rating | Chapter III; 12-2-(j)
- ii. PACRA, the analysts involved in the rating process and members of its rating committee, and their family members, do not have any conflict of interest relating to the rating done by them | Chapter III; 12-2-(e) & (k)
- iii. The analyst is not a substantial shareholder of the customer being rated by PACRA [Annexure F; d-(ii)] Explanation: for the purpose of above clause, the term "family members" shall include only those family members who are dependent on the analyst and members of the rating committee

Restrictions

- (3) No director, officer or employee of PACRA communicates the information, acquired by him for use for rating purposes, to any other person except where required under law to do so. | Chapter III; 10-(5)
- (4) PACRA does not disclose or discuss with outside parties or make improper use of the non-public information which has come to its knowledge during business relationship with the customer | Chapter III; 10-7-(d)
- (5) PACRA does not make proposals or recommendations regarding the activities of rated entities that could impact a credit rating of entity subject to rating | Chapter III; 10-7-(k)

Conduct of Business

- (6) PACRA fulfills its obligations in a fair, efficient, transparent and ethical manner and renders high standards of services in performing its functions and obligations; | Chapter III; 11-A-(a)
- (7) PACRA uses due care in preparation of this Rating Report. Our information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA does not, in every instance, independently verifies or validates information received in the rating process or in preparing this Rating Report.
- (8) PACRA prohibits its employees and analysts from soliciting money, gifts or favors from anyone with whom PACRA conducts business | Chapter III; 11-A-(q)
- (9) PACRA ensures before commencement of the rating process that an analyst or employee has not had a recent employment or other significant business or personal relationship with the rated entity that may cause or may be perceived as causing a conflict of interest; | Chapter III; 11-A-(r)
- (10) PACRA maintains principal of integrity in seeking rating business | Chapter III; 11-A-(u)
- (11) PACRA promptly investigates, in the event of a misconduct or a breach of the policies, procedures and controls, and takes appropriate steps to rectify any weaknesses to prevent any recurrence along with suitable punitive action against the responsible employee(s) | Chapter III; 11-B-(m)

Independence & Conflict of interest

- (12) PACRA receives compensation from the entity being rated or any third party for the rating services it offers. The receipt of this compensation has no influence on PACRA's opinions or other analytical processes. In all instances, PACRA is committed to preserving the objectivity, integrity and independence of its ratings. Our relationship is governed by two distinct mandates i) rating mandate - signed with the entity being rated or issuer of the debt instrument, and fee mandate - signed with the payer, which can be different from the entity
- (13) PACRA does not provide consultancy/advisory services or other services to any of its customers or to any of its customers' associated companies and associated undertakings that is being rated or has been rated by it during the preceding three years unless it has adequate mechanism in place ensuring that provision of such services does not lead to a conflict of interest situation with its rating activities; | Chapter III; 12-2-(d)
- (14) PACRA discloses that no shareholder directly or indirectly holding 10% or more of the share capital of PACRA also holds directly or indirectly 10% or more of the share capital of the entity which is subject to rating or the entity which issued the instrument subject to rating by PACRA; | Reference Chapter III; 12-2-(f)
- (15) PACRA ensures that the rating assigned to an entity or instrument is not be affected by the existence of a business relationship between PACRA and the entity or any other party, or the non-existence of such a relationship | Chapter III; 12-2-(i)
- (16) PACRA ensures that the analysts or any of their family members shall not buy or sell or engage in any transaction in any security which falls in the analyst's area of primary analytical responsibility. This clause shall, however, not be applicable on investment in securities through collective investment schemes. | Chapter III; 12-2-(l)
- (17) PACRA has established policies and procedure governing investments and trading in securities by its employees and for monitoring the same to prevent insider trading, market manipulation or any other market abuse | Chapter III; 11-B-(g)

Monitoring and review

- (18) PACRA monitors all the outstanding ratings continuously and any potential change therein due to any event associated with the issuer, the security arrangement, the industry etc., is disseminated to the market, immediately and in effective manner, after appropriate consultation with the entity/issuer; | Chapter III | 18-(a)
- (19) PACRA reviews all the outstanding ratings on semi-annual basis or as and when required by any creditor or upon the occurrence of such an event which requires to do so; | Chapter III | 18-(b)
- (20) PACRA initiates immediate review of the outstanding rating upon becoming aware of any information that may reasonably be expected to result in downgrading of the rating; | Chapter III | 18-(c)
- (21) PACRA engages with the issuer and the debt securities trustee, to remain updated on all information pertaining to the rating of the entity/instrument; | Chapter III | 18-(d)

Probability of Default

(22) PACRA's Rating Scale reflects the expectation of credit risk. The highest rating has the lowest relative likelihood of default (i.e, probability). PACRA's transition studies capture the historical performance behavior of a specific rating notch. Transition behavior of the assigned rating can be obtained from PACRA's Transition Study available at our website. (www.pacra.com). However, actual transition of rating may not follow the pattern observed in the past | Chapter III | 14-(F-VII)

Proprietary Information

(23) All information contained herein is considered proprietary by PACRA. Hence, none of the information in this document can be copied or, otherwise reproduced, stored or disseminated in whole or in part in any form or by any means whatsoever by any person without PACRA's prior written consent