

The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited

Rating Report

Sindh Engro Coal Mining Company

Report Contents

Rating Analysis
 Financial Information

3. Rating Scale

4. Regulatory and Supplementary Disclosure

Rating History						
Dissemination Date	Long Term Rating	Short Term Rating	Outlook	Action	Rating Watch	
19-Jul-2021	AA	A1+	Stable	Maintain	-	
24-Jul-2020	AA	A1+	Stable	Maintain	-	
25-Jul-2019	AA	A1+	Stable	Initial	-	

Rating Rationale and Key Rating Drivers

The ratings reflect Sindh Engro coal mining company's ownership structure-owned by the Government of Sindh and financially sound business groups of the country. The rating also incorporates the fact that indigenous coal is expected to play a dominant role in meeting the country's future energy requirement. SECMC has leased Block-II (out of 12 blocks) of Thar, for the period of thirty (30) years, which has a cumulative capacity of ~1.57bln tonnes of coal which could be used to produce 5,000 MW for fifty (50) years. The rating favorably factors in successful commissioning of 3.8mln TPA (Phase-I) mine on 10th July 2019, three months earlier than the Scheduled COD. The COD of Phase-II Expansion is expected to get completed in 2022 as scheduled. The Policy for Coal Tariff Determination offers a guaranteed internal rate of return, cost indexation and pass-through tariff structure for SECMC. Comfort is drawn from China Machinery Engineering Corporation (CMEC) – the O&M operator – having significant experience of International EPC Projects in Power Plants. Business risk is considered low, exhibited by demand risk coverage as SECMC has signed a Coal Supply Agreement with its associated company, Engro Powergen Thar Limited (EPTL), incorporated to operate mine-mouth coal-fired Power Plant (2x330MW) for phase-I and with Thal Nova Power Thar (Private) Limited (TNPTL) and Thar Energy Limited (TEL) for annual supply of 1.9 million tonnes of coal to each for Phase II, having potential of soaring coal capacity to 7.6 TPA. Consistent profitability and improved FCFOs support the timely repayment of debt. Strong cash position and un-utilized short term borrowing lines depicts healthy financial position of the company

Adherence to good financial discipline towards both financial and commercial obligations is considered a strength. Meanwhile, upholding strong operational performance in line with agreed performance levels remain important. Effective management of the project, favorable regulatory regime, and consistency in related policies remain critical for the ratings. The ratings incorporate the prevailing challenges on account of circular debt crisis. Company's liquidity situation in terms of pending receivables seems stringent.

Disclosure				
Name of Rated Entity	Sindh Engro Coal Mining Company			
Type of Relationship	Solicited			
Purpose of the Rating	Entity Rating			
Applicable Criteria	Methodology Corporate Rating(Jun-21),Criteria Correlation Between Long-term & Short-term Rating Scales(Jun-21),Criteria Rating Modifiers(Jun-21)			
Related Research	Sector Study Coal Mining and Trading(Jul-21)			
Rating Analysts	Anam Waqas Ghayour anam.waqas@pacra.com +92-42-35869504			



The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited

Profile

Legal Structure Sindh Engro Coal Mining Company Limited is a public unlisted company, incorporated in Pakistan on October 15, 2009. The Company has its registered office at, The Harbor Front Building, Clifton, Karachi.

Background The Company had commenced an initial feasibility study of the project in November 2009 through a team of International Consultants and local experts to confirm the technical, environmental, social and economic viability of the Project. The study was carried out on an area of 79.6 sq. km allocated to the Company in Thar Coalfield which was approved by the Technical Committee of the Government of Sindh on August 31, 2010.

Operations The Company was formed under a Joint Venture Agreement, dated September 8, 2009, between the Government of Sindh (GoS), Engro Energy Limited (EEL) [formerly Engro Powergen Limited (EPL)] and Engro Corporation Limited for the development, construction and operations of an open-cast lignite mine in BlockII of Thar Coal Field, Sindh.

Ownership

Ownership Structure The company's ordinary shares, ~91.5% of the total equity, are owned by Government of Sindh (~54.70%), Engro Energy Limited (~11.90%), Thal Limited (~11.90%), Habib ank Limited (~9.50%), HUBCO (~8%), and CMEC Thar Mining Investments LTD (~4%). While preference shares, ~8.5% of the total equity, are owned by Huolinhe Open Pit Coal (HK) Investment Co. Ltd (100%).

Stability Majority shareholding owned by Government of Sindh provides support to stability of the company. Comfort is also drawn as the country's biggest conglomerate, Engro Corporation Limited (ECorp), has its stake in the company through its subsidiary company, Engro Energy Limited, and Mega Conglomerate Private Limited through its subsidiary company HUBCO.

Business Acumen Government of Sindh holds SECMC as its strategically vital asset, whereas more technical knowledge flows in from Engro, HUBCO & CMEC. The business acumen of sponsors of the company is considered strong.

Financial Strength Joint ownership from the Government of Sindh (GoS) and association of financially sound conglomerates provide absolute financial strength to the company. Given the strategic importance of SECMC to the GoS, in terms of its socio-economic policies and its quest of reducing the import bill, the probability of sovereign support, in case the company requires it, remains high.

Governance

Board Structure The board of SECMC comprises twelve experienced professionals, five members represents Government of Sindh, two nominees are from Engro, two members appertaining to Thal Limited, while Habib Bank Limited, HUBCO and Huolinhe Investment company represent one member each.

Members' Profile Imtiaz Ahmed Sheikh is the Chairman of the board. He is a seasoned Pakistani politician who is the current and Provincial Minister of Sindh for Energy, in office since 5 September 2018. He has been a member of the Provincial Assembly of Sindh since August 2018.

Board Effectiveness During CY20, the board held five meetings to address the strategic decisions of the company. There are five committees at the Board level, namely i) Audit Committee, ii) Risk Management committee, iii) Peoples Committee, iv) Procurement Committee, v) CSA Committee

Financial Transparency A.F.Ferguson & Co., a member firm of PWC, is the auditor of the company. They expressed an unqualified opinion on the company's financial statements at end-Dec20. Though the company is non-listed, still financial statements have been being prepared in a timely fashion to maintain financial transparency intact.

Management

Organizational Structure Company's organization is structured around the effective functioning of seven major departments. Each department is headed by an experienced professional, reporting directly to the CEO. The departments comprise: a) Finance, b) HR & Admin, c) Site Operations, d) Mine Tech & Expansion, e) Water Resources, f) Commercial Operations, & g) Audit.

Management Team Mr. Syed Abul Fazl Rizvi is the Chief Executive officer of the company, a mechanical engineer by profession. He is associated with the group for \sim 21 years with overall \sim 22 years of experience in his portfolio. His expertise lies in project management, strategic management & execution of large, industrial complexes.

Effectiveness To oversee the management of the company, SECMC has constituted an internal management committee comprising of executives from SECMC and of all subsidiaries Engro Energy Limited. The purpose of the committee is to drive the strategic decision making for the company and formulates new strategies to deal with developments that the company encounters.

MIS SECMC uses SAP as its Enterprise Resources Planning (ERP) Software specifically the FICO (Finance Module) for maintaining its financial database. SAP FI is made up of submodules. The sub-modules that are often used to generate reports of accounts receivables, accounts payables, asset accounting, general ledger accounting, and bank accounting.

Control Environment SECMC maintains an effective control environment with defined policies and procedures. Company's internal audit function performs regular reviews on the financial, operational and compliance controls and reports directly to the audit committee for all critical issues.

Business Risk

Industry Dynamics Pakistan's total coal reserves stood at ~185,175mln tonnes and is ranked 7th amongst the lignite (coal) rich countries of the world. Of all the reserves ~99% of the reserves are present in Sindh province, while Punjab, and Baluchistan shares ~0.1% each to the total reserves. The country's largest coal reserves are located at Tharparkar District in the south-eastern province of Sindh, where about ~175,506mln Tonnes is identified, which is ~94% of the total reserves and ~95% of the reserves in Sindh Province

Relative Position SECMC project is being developed in Block II which has five phases. Block II has the total reserves of \sim 1,584mln Tonnes which is \sim 1% of the total reserves in Thar region of Sindh. It is estimated that exploitable reserves of 1,584mln tons can be used to produce 5,000 MW for 50 years.

Revenues Company's revenue source is a component of i) Tariff that will be being charged to its customer, and ii) coal that will be supplied. Levelised tariff, for phase-I, that is determined at the time of financial close is \$58.03 per tons. The true-up revenue is filed with NEPRA and notification of the same is awaited. Company has started operations in July-19 and at 3MCY21 company's revenue generation is reported at PKR 8,832mln (CY20: PKR 37,101mln).

Margins During 3MCY21, Company's gross margin has been reported at 44.9% in comparison to 47.5% during CY20. Company has posted a net profit of PKR 4,094mln (CY20: PKR 11,014mln) on account of trickledown effect of exchange currency loss and increased finance cost. Hence, company's net profit margin has improved to 46.3% (CY20: 29.7%).

Sustainability Coal is mostly imported in Pakistan to meet domestic demand and, although imported coal is a cheap source of fuel compared to imported oil. With the development of Pakistan's huge coal reserves at Thar, the country will be able to substitute its use of imported oil and, through the use of affordable and sustainable energy sources

Financial Risk

Working Capital During 3MCY21 company's net working capital cycle stood at 290 days compared 233days in CY20. SECMC manages its working capital cycle through mix of internal cashflows and short term borrowings. During review, Company's reliance on short term borrowings stands nil.

Coverages As per 3MCY21, FCFO of the company, which is a function of profitability stood at PKR 4,603mln (CY20: PKR 20,561mln) while interest coverages stood at (3MCY21: 5.4x CY20: ~1.9x).

Capitalization The total project cost of phase I was ~USD 626.8mln which is being financed with a debt to equity ratio of 75:25. Debt portion is a mix of local and foreign financing. The first principal payment for the same was due in June-20 and the respective obligation is timely fulfilled by the entity. Phase II has a total project cost of USD 216mln. The debt portion comprises of local financing of USD 149mln respectively.

he Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited				Financial Summary PKR mln
Sindh Engro Coal Mining Company	Mar-21	Dec-20	Dec-19	Dec-18
Coal Mining	3M	12M	12M	12M
BALANCE SHEET				
1 Non-Current Assets	79,118	77,630	72,597	62,416
2 Investments 3 Related Party Exposure	- 206	- 206	- 206	- 206
4 Current Assets	49,707	46,526	30,180	2,226
a Inventories	633	667	585	-
b Trade Receivables	28,729	26,178	20,026	-
5 Total Assets	129,031	124,362	102,983	64,848
6 Current Liabilities	25,016	20,754	12,443	7,377
a Trade Payables	-	-	-	-
7 Borrowings 8 Related Party Exposure	63,716	67,402	65,349	43,959
9 Non-Current Liabilities	-	-	-	-
10 Net Assets	40,299	36,205	25,191	13,513
11 Shareholders' Equity	40,299	36,205	25,191	13,513
INCOME STATEMENT	0.022	27.101	17.011	
1 Sales a Cost of Good Sold	8,832 (4,868)	37,101 (19,470)	17,011 (8,098)	-
2 Gross Profit	3,965	17,631	8,913	
a Operating Expenses	(176)	(656)	(429)	(32)
3 Operating Profit	3,789	16,975	8,483	(32)
a Non Operating Income or (Expense)	134	742	52	15
4 Profit or (Loss) before Interest and Tax	3,923	17,717	8,536	(16)
a Total Finance Cost	171	(6,717)	(2,844)	(1)
b Taxation 6 Net Income Or (Loss)	- 4,094	<u>14</u> 11,014	(11) 5,681	(0)
	,	,	,	
a Free Cash Flows from Operations (FCFO)	4,603	20,561	10,323	(23)
b Net Cash from Operating Activities before Working Capital Changes	4,867	14,001	5,506	(2,271)
c Changes in Working Capital	(221)	1,727	(17,717)	1,254
1 Net Cash provided by Operating Activities	4,647	15,728	(12,211)	(1,018)
2 Net Cash (Used in) or Available From Investing Activities	(2,323)	(8,641)	(7,003)	(17,946)
3 Net Cash (Used in) or Available From Financing Activities	(2,500)	838	25,395	19,901
4 Net Cash generated or (Used) during the period	(176)	7,926	6,182	938
RATIO ANALYSIS				
1 Performance a Sales Growth (for the period)	-4.8%	118.1%		N/A
b Gross Profit Margin	-4.8% 44.9%	47.5%	52.4%	N/A N/A
c Net Profit Margin	46.3%	29.7%	33.4%	N/A
d Cash Conversion Efficiency (FCFO adjusted for Working Capital/Sales)	49.6%	60.1%	-43.5%	N/A
e Return on Equity [Net Profit Margin * Asset Turnover * (Total Assets/Sh	41.4%	33.3%	27.7%	N/A
2 Working Capital Management	200	222	442	NT/ 4
a Gross Working Capital (Average Days) b Net Working Capital (Average Days)	290 290	233 233	442 442	N/A N/A
c Current Ratio (Current Assets / Current Liabilities)	2.0	2.2	2.4	0.3
3 Coverages	210	2.2	2	0.0
a EBITDA / Finance Cost	-27.2	3.1	3.6	-27.4
b FCFO / Finance Cost+CMLTB+Excess STB	5.4	1.9	1.6	0.0
c Debt Payback (Total Borrowings+Excess STB) / (FCFO-Finance Cost) 4 Capital Structure	3.3	4.7	8.1	-2007.9
<i>a Total Borrowings / (Total Borrowings+Shareholders' Equity)</i>	61.3%	65.1%	72.2%	76.5%
		0.2.1 /0	1 2.2 /0	10.070
b Interest or Markup Payable (Days)	-761.4	20.7	230.4	0.0

Credit		opinion on credit worthiness of un				-	
	Tinancial obliga	ations. The primary factor being ca	iptured on the rating scale	is relati			
Scale		Long-term Rating Definition		Seele		m Rating	
scale		Definition		Scale			
4 AA	Highest credit quality. Lowe	st expectation of credit risk. Indica	te exceptionally strong	A1+		ity for timely repayment	
AAA	capacity for timely payment of financial commitments		A1 A strong capacity for timely repayment.				
AA+ AA	Very high credit quality. Very low expectation of credit risk. Indicate very strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments. This capacity is not significantly vulnerable to foreseeable events.		A2	adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.			
AA-				A3		tity for timely repayment	
Α	High credit quality. Low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered strong. This capacity may, nevertheless, be vulnerable to changes in circumstances or in economic conditions.			A4	changes in business, economic, or financi The capacity for timely repayment is more susceptible to adverse changes in business economic, or financial conditions. Liquidities		
A-					may no	t be sufficient.	
BBB BBB BBB-	Good credit quality. Currentl payment of financial comm	y a low expectation of credit risk. ' itments is considered adequate, bu omic conditions are more likely to i	t adverse changes in		A1+ AAA AA+ AA	term Rating A1 A2 A3 A	
BB+ BB	Moderate risk. Possibility of credit risk developing. There is a possibility of credit risk developing, particularly as a result of adverse economic or business changes over time; however, business or financial alternatives may be available to allow financial commitments to be met.			Long-term Rating	AA- A+ A-		
BB-				Ra	BBB+		
B +				E	BBB		
	_	margin of safety remains against of		-te	BBB-		
В	-	being met; however, capacity for c		ng	BB+		
_	contingent upon a sustai	ned, favorable business and econor	mic environment.	Γ	BB		
B-					BB-		
CCC	Very high credit risk. Sub	ostantial credit risk "CCC" Default	is a real possibility.		B +		
~~		l commitments is solely reliant upo			B		
CC	business or economic develop	pments. "CC" Rating indicates that	t default of some kind		B-		
C	appears proba	ble. "C" Ratings signal imminent d	lefault.		CCC		
С					cc		
D	Obligations are currently in default.		*The correlation shown is indicative and, in certa cases, may not hold.				
0	utlook (Stable, Positive,	Rating Watch Alerts to the	Suspension It is not	With	drawn A rating is	Harmonization	
	ative, Developing) Indicates	possibility of a rating change	possible to update an		ithdrawn on a)	change in rating due	
the potential and direction of a subsequent to, or, in opinion due to lack		termination of rating		revision in applicat			
	over the intermediate term in	anticipation of some material	of requisite		date, b) the debt	methodology or	
resp	oonse to trends in economic	identifiable event with	information. Opinion		instrument is	underlying scale	
	and/or fundamental	indeterminable rating	should be resumed in		med, c) the rating		
	ness/financial conditions. It is	implications. But it does not	foreseeable future.		ins suspended for		
	necessarily a precursor to a	mean that a rating change is	However, if this		months, d) the		
	ng change. 'Stable' outlook	inevitable. A watch should be	does not happen	-	y/issuer defaults.,		
	ans a rating is not likely to	resolved within foreseeable	within six (6)		1 e) PACRA finds		
-	e. 'Positive' means it may be	future, but may continue if underlying circumstances are	months, the rating should be considered	-	practical to surveill pinion due to lack		
	ered. Where the trends have	not settled. Rating watch may	withdrawn.		of requisite		
	licting elements, the outlook	accompany rating outlook of			information.		
	be described as 'Developing'.	the respective opinion.					

Surveillance. Surveillance on a publicly disseminated rating opinion is carried out on an ongoing basis till it is formally suspended or withdrawn. A comprehensive surveillance of rating opinion is carried out at least once every six months. However, a rating opinion may be reviewed in the intervening period if it is necessitated by any material happening.

Note. This scale is applicable to the following methodology(s): Entities

- a) Broker Entity Rating
- b) Corporate Rating
- c) Financial Institution Rating
- d) Holding Company Rating
- e) Independent Power Producer Rating

Instruments

c) Sukuk Rating

b) Debt Instrument Rating

- f) Microfinance Institution Rating
- g) Non-Banking Finance Companies
- (NBFCs) Rating

Disclaimer: PACRA has used due care in preparation of this document. Our information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA shall owe no liability whatsoever to any loss or damage caused by or resulting from any error in such information. Contents of PACRA documents may be used, with due care and in the right context, with credit to PACRA. Our reports and ratings constitute opinions, not recommendations to buy or to sell.

ACRA

113

a) Basel III Compliant Debt Instrument Rating

Rating Team Statements

(1) Rating is just an opinion about the creditworthiness of the entity and does not constitute recommendation to buy, hold or sell any security of the entity rated or to buy, hold or sell the security rated, as the case may be | Chapter III; 14-3-(x)

2) Conflict of Interest

i. The Rating Team or any of their family members have no interest in this rating | Chapter III; 12-2-(j)

ii. PACRA, the analysts involved in the rating process and members of its rating committee, and their family members, do not have any conflict of interest relating to the rating done by them | Chapter III; 12-2-(e) & (k)

iii. The analyst is not a substantial shareholder of the customer being rated by PACRA [Annexure F; d-(ii)] Explanation: for the purpose of above clause, the term "family members" shall include only those family members who are dependent on the analyst and members of the rating committee

Restrictions

(3) No director, officer or employee of PACRA communicates the information, acquired by him for use for rating purposes, to any other person except where required under law to do so. | Chapter III; 10-(5)

(4) PACRA does not disclose or discuss with outside parties or make improper use of the non-public information which has come to its knowledge during business relationship with the customer | Chapter III; 10-7-(d)

(5) PACRA does not make proposals or recommendations regarding the activities of rated entities that could impact a credit rating of entity subject to rating | Chapter III; 10-7-(k)

Conduct of Business

(6) PACRA fulfills its obligations in a fair, efficient, transparent and ethical manner and renders high standards of services in performing its functions and obligations; | Chapter III; 11-A-(a)

(7) PACRA uses due care in preparation of this Rating Report. Our information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA does not, in every instance, independently verifies or validates information received in the rating process or in preparing this Rating Report.

(8) PACRA prohibits its employees and analysts from soliciting money, gifts or favors from anyone with whom PACRA conducts business | Chapter III; 11-A-(q)

(9) PACRA ensures before commencement of the rating process that an analyst or employee has not had a recent employment or other significant business or personal relationship with the rated entity that may cause or may be perceived as causing a conflict of interest; | Chapter III; 11-A-(r) (10) PACRA maintains principal of integrity in seeking rating business | Chapter III; 11-A-(u)

(11) PACRA promptly investigates, in the event of a misconduct or a breach of the policies, procedures and controls, and takes appropriate steps to rectify any weaknesses to prevent any recurrence along with suitable punitive action against the responsible employee(s) | Chapter III; 11-B-(m)

Independence & Conflict of interest

(12) PACRA receives compensation from the entity being rated or any third party for the rating services it offers. The receipt of this compensation has no influence on PACRA's opinions or other analytical processes. In all instances, PACRA is committed to preserving the objectivity, integrity and independence of its ratings. Our relationship is governed by two distinct mandates i) rating mandate - signed with the entity being rated or issuer of the debt instrument, and fee mandate - signed with the payer, which can be different from the entity

(13) PACRA does not provide consultancy/advisory services or other services to any of its customers or to any of its customers' associated companies and associated undertakings that is being rated or has been rated by it during the preceding three years unless it has adequate mechanism in place ensuring that provision of such services does not lead to a conflict of interest situation with its rating activities; | Chapter III; 12-2-(d)

(14) PACRA discloses that no shareholder directly or indirectly holding 10% or more of the share capital of PACRA also holds directly or indirectly 10% or more of the share capital of the entity which is subject to rating or the entity which issued the instrument subject to rating by PACRA; | Reference Chapter III; 12-2-(f)

(15) PACRA ensures that the rating assigned to an entity or instrument is not be affected by the existence of a business relationship between PACRA and the entity or any other party, or the non-existence of such a relationship | Chapter III; 12-2-(i)

(16) PACRA ensures that the analysts or any of their family members shall not buy or sell or engage in any transaction in any security which falls in the analyst's area of primary analytical responsibility. This clause shall, however, not be applicable on investment in securities through collective investment schemes. | Chapter III; 12-2-(1)

(17) PACRA has established policies and procedure governing investments and trading in securities by its employees and for monitoring the same to prevent insider trading, market manipulation or any other market abuse | Chapter III; 11-B-(g)

Monitoring and review

(18) PACRA monitors all the outstanding ratings continuously and any potential change therein due to any event associated with the issuer, the security arrangement, the industry etc., is disseminated to the market, immediately and in effective manner, after appropriate consultation with the entity/issuer; | Chapter III | 18-(a)

(19) PACRA reviews all the outstanding ratings on semi-annual basis or as and when required by any creditor or upon the occurrence of such an event which requires to do so; | Chapter III | 18-(b)

(20) PACRA initiates immediate review of the outstanding rating upon becoming aware of any information that may reasonably be expected to result in downgrading of the rating; | Chapter III | 18-(c)

(21) PACRA engages with the issuer and the debt securities trustee, to remain updated on all information pertaining to the rating of the entity/instrument; | Chapter III | 18-(d)

Probability of Default

(22) PACRA's Rating Scale reflects the expectation of credit risk. The highest rating has the lowest relative likelihood of default (i.e, probability). PACRA's transition studies capture the historical performance behavior of a specific rating notch. Transition behavior of the assigned rating can be obtained from PACRA's Transition Study available at our website. (www.pacra.com). However, actual transition of rating may not follow the pattern observed in the past | Chapter III | 14-(f-VII)

Proprietary Information

(23) All information contained herein is considered proprietary by PACRA. Hence, none of the information in this document can be copied or, otherwise reproduced, stored or disseminated in whole or in part in any form or by any means whatsoever by any person without PACRA's prior written consent