



The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited

Rating Report

Ghulam Rasool & Company (Pvt.) Limited

Report Contents

1. Rating Analysis
2. Financial Information
3. Rating Scale
4. Regulatory and Supplementary Disclosure

Rating History

Dissemination Date	Long Term Rating	Short Term Rating	Outlook	Action	Rating Watch
14-Dec-2023	A-	A2	Stable	Maintain	-
15-Dec-2022	A-	A2	Stable	Upgrade	-
17-Dec-2021	BBB+	A2	Stable	Maintain	-
18-Dec-2020	BBB+	A2	Stable	Upgrade	-
18-Dec-2019	BBB	A2	Stable	Initial	-

Rating Rationale and Key Rating Drivers

Ghulam Rasool & Co' holds a prominent position in the construction industry since several decades. It was established by Mr Ghulam Rasool in 1970 and later inherited by his three sons and their respective families who are the joint owners of the business. The shareholders have stakes in multiple companies simultaneously, thus strengthening the group's financial strength. The company has delivered multiple, public and government projects, and usually embarks upon large infrastructure projects in collaboration with different foreign JV partners, which in their own right are established institutions. The Company has a no-limit contract license and is focused mainly on specialized nature projects on civil construction, irrigation works, and hydel-power development projects. The functional and reporting responsibilities of the management team are demarcated. The control environment needs to be strengthened. The company has a defined strategy of building assets, required for its operations as well as investment purposes, through surplus cash. The company's profitability remains stable. Debt is procured for project financing in nature of short-term working capital. Leveraging also includes non-funded exposure which is an integral part of the business model. Equity base of company is strong; the same along with ongoing projects with foreign partners spanning over a number of years and ensuring revenue generation in the coming years, support the financial risk profile of the Company. It is further boosted by proficient WC cycle, which reflects on improving operational efficiency of the company. The company's revenue in 6MFY23 PKR 6.6 billion (FY22: PKR 15bln), owing to stout projects pipeline.

The ratings are dependent on the sustainability of the business and its financial structure; sustaining a steady revenue stream and financial risk profile. Financial metrics need to be upheld as well. Any prolonged downturn in business volume can have a detrimental effect on the rating. The company is working on a number of initiatives to strengthen itself internally and externally, which will improve its longevity and sustainability. Improving governance and internal controls framework would have further positive connotation for the ratings.

Disclosure

Name of Rated Entity	Ghulam Rasool & Company (Pvt.) Limited
Type of Relationship	Solicited
Purpose of the Rating	Entity Rating
Applicable Criteria	Methodology Corporate Rating(Jul-23),Methodology Correlation Between Long-term & Short-term Rating Scales(Jul-23),Methodology Rating Modifiers(Apr-23)
Related Research	Sector Study Construction(Mar-23)
Rating Analysts	Shujat Ehsanullah Wasim Shujat.Ehsan@pacra.com +92-42-35869504

Profile

Legal Structure Ghulam Rasool & Co (GRC) is a private limited company and is engaged in the construction business. Its head office is located in Multan while registered office is located in Lahore. Regional offices are located in Islamabad and Peshawar.

Background GRC was founded over several decades ago in 1970 and the company was incorporated as Private Limited in 1984. GRC is a leading provider of high-end Engineering, Procurement & Construction services.

Operations Their main lines of business include civil, developmental, power and irrigation projects. GRC operates in mega infrastructure, hydro-power projects, tunnels, industrial sectors, motorways, highways, real-estate developments, canals, barrages and irrigation system up-gradation spanning across the entire construction industry value-chain. The company mostly works with the government departments and executes projects as JVs in partnership with different Chinese, European, UAE & Korean companies.

Ownership

Ownership Structure The family business has been operating since the 70s, and was inherited from Mr.Ghulam Rasool by his 3 sons Mr. Amanatulla, Mr. Majeedullah & Mr. Obaidullah. Mr. Majeed Ullah (the CEO) & his wife, Ms. Zakia Majeed, holding 18.59% & 16.88% respectively are the major shareholders of the company. Remaining shareholding is held by web of close relatives.

Stability The shareholders have stakes in multiple companies simultaneously, which is a re-assurance that there is less likelihood of concentration of shareholder equity, which signifies diversification of income streams. . GRC has a history of applying little for funding facility from bank, and relying more on equity and cash flow, hence it is evident that sponsors are willing to support the company.

Business Acumen The shareholders have good industry experience and knowledge in their field of expertise. Mr.Majeed, has ~20 years of active experience in GRC, while Mr. Faisal has been with the company for ~10 years now.

Financial Strength The shareholders have diverse and unconcentrated sources of income, due to shareholdings in multiple companies, which ensures financial stability.

Governance

Board Structure Board has 4 members currently who are all family members and shareholders of the company. Mr.Faisal, Mr.Majeed, , Mrs. Ashraf Bibi and Mr. Sheheryar Khan.

Members' Profile The members of board are personnel of vast experience in executive positions, and have vested interest in the company as shareholders.

Board Effectiveness There is as such no board committee. All partners also have management positions in the company which inhabits the room for impartial oversight and strong governance. There are no independent directors on the board.

Financial Transparency Being a private company, the financials and annual reports of the company are not public, however the auditor of GRC "Naveed Zafar Ashfaq Jaffer & Co" is a Category A auditor and is an associate of PrimeGlobal.

Management

Organizational Structure The organizational hierarchy of GRC, is well organized and segregated, with defined roles and efficient reporting structure. The department heads report directly to CEO and Directors.

Management Team The company incorporates a good mix of expertise, knowledge and experience. The members of management team are mature individuals with extensive hands-on experience in the field.

Effectiveness With the support of a qualified and experienced team of professionals, GRC is building up the business strengths and increasing its foot print across Pakistan. Functions of the management are clear and well-defined to effectively achieve its underlying goals and objectives.

MIS GRC incorporates Primavera P6 Ver 8.2, an enterprise project portfolio management software. It includes project management, product management, collaboration and control capabilities, and integrates with other enterprise. AutoCAD Civil 3D, and Cash flow Systems equipment.

Control Environment GRC maintains an extensive and detailed SOP document. Instructions for machinery operation, dispatching, cash transfers/payments, are laid-out to ensure compliance. However, the company lacks an internal audit that can effectively ensure and report upon on-going discrepancies, a lack of formal internal control department can have a negative implication when it comes to prevent recurrence of any malpractice.

Business Risk

Industry Dynamics The construction sector contributed almost PKR~1,848bln to the GDP of Pakistan in FY22 (FY21: PKR~1,409bln), registering a growth of ~31.1% YoY basis (~3% on real basis). The Sector's Contribution to GDP stands around 3% on average. Federal PSDP budget for FY22 was slashed to PKR~550bln from PKR~900bln originally budgeted, as Local and International Political and Economic Conditions worsened. In FY23 PKR~2,263bln was allocated for PSDP (PKR~800bln on Federal and PKR~1,463bln on Provincial Level). As of 8MFY23, ~50% of the federal allocated ~800bln got authorized/dispensed (8MFY22: ~43%). Industry margins are expected to shrink further, as End-Mar'23 prices of construction materials have jumped by ~38% YoY and labor wages have risen by ~19% YoY.

Relative Position GRC has good potential and lies at par with the well performing companies. When it comes to competitive advantage GRC has an edge due to operational and geographical diversification. Comparing up to last two fiscal years, GRC lies among top tier construction companies, it can be fairly titled to be a large entity, with an equity of 13.5 bln, and it is in competition with other industry giants.

Revenues Revenues of GRC were recorded at PKR 6.571 billion in 6MFY23 (FY22: 15.36 bln, FY21: 6.891 bln) on the back of ongoing JVs with Chinese companies and government contracts mainly. Company has also started giving shutdown maintenance services to refinery, oil and gas plants. GRC has also recognized revenue from installation of solar energy power system.

Margins Gross margins of the entity were recorded at 12.5% in 6MFY23 (FY22: 14.8%; FY21: 11%) while operating margins were recorded at 8.9% (FY22: 11.8%; FY21: 5.7%). Margins suffered due to rising cost of inputs and general inflation. The company's net profit clocked in at PKR234mln during 6MFY23 (FY22: PKR 777 mln; FY21: PKR 128mln).

Sustainability The company has a healthy pipeline of existing as well as upcoming projects . As mentioned before, it has also recently diversified operationally and will continue to work for a sustainable future with more efficient and successful projects with technical competency, experience, quality, speed, high technology, creativity, and corporate responsibility.

Financial Risk

Working Capital For working capital needs, which are a function of inventory, receivables, and payables. GRC's trade receivable days were recorded at 119 in 6MFY23 (FY22: 85), inventory days at 101 (FY22: 66), while payable days also showed an increasing trend at 82 (FY22: 43) thus recording net working capital days at 139 in 6MFY23 from 107 days in FY22. GRC relies both on internal as well as external sources to finance its working capital needs. Funding of GRC consists of short-term running finances mainly amounting to PKR 275 million.

Coverages FCFO's of the company were recorded at PKR 401 million in 6MFY23 (FY22: PKR 1.107 billion) while finance cost was recorded at PKR 35 million (FY22: 135 million) . Resultantly coverage ratio was recorded at 16.3x in 6MFY23 from 44x in FY22.

Capitalization The firm has an almost unleveraged capital structure, with Debt/ Debt + equity ratio of 2% in 6MFY23 (FY22: 2.2%). Historically GRC has had little reliance on long term debt for its business requirements. The company mainly relies on non-funded credit facilities, in the form of bank guarantees or advances that are mainly secured. Off balance-sheet exposure of company in 6MFY23 was recorded at 46.3% (FY22: 52%).



The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited

Financial Summary
PKR mln

Ghulam Rasool Construction Company Construction	Dec-22 6M	Jun-22 12M	Jun-21 12M	Jun-20 12M
A BALANCE SHEET				
1 Non-Current Assets	7,231	6,994	4,998	4,989
2 Investments	-	-	-	-
3 Related Party Exposure	2,151	2,138	1,443	1,635
4 Current Assets	13,202	11,704	8,627	7,621
<i>a Inventories</i>	4,174	3,135	2,416	2,331
<i>b Trade Receivables</i>	4,801	4,280	2,862	2,178
5 Total Assets	22,584	20,836	15,069	14,245
6 Current Liabilities	4,355	3,108	1,248	860
<i>a Trade Payables</i>	3,279	2,611	1,047	731
7 Borrowings	273	302	364	189
8 Related Party Exposure	-	-	-	-
9 Non-Current Liabilities	4,404	4,107	2,269	2,128
10 Net Assets	13,553	13,319	11,188	11,068
11 Shareholders' Equity	13,553	13,319	11,188	11,069
B INCOME STATEMENT				
1 Sales	6,571	15,360	6,891	6,173
<i>a Cost of Good Sold</i>	(5,750)	(13,080)	(6,132)	(5,249)
2 Gross Profit	821	2,280	760	924
<i>a Operating Expenses</i>	(235)	(468)	(370)	(284)
3 Operating Profit	586	1,812	390	640
<i>a Non Operating Income or (Expense)</i>	44	96	117	91
4 Profit or (Loss) before Interest and Tax	631	1,908	507	731
<i>a Total Finance Cost</i>	(35)	(135)	(43)	(89)
<i>b Taxation</i>	(361)	(995)	(335)	(343)
6 Net Income Or (Loss)	234	777	128	299
C CASH FLOW STATEMENT				
<i>a Free Cash Flows from Operations (FCFO)</i>	401	1,107	395	572
<i>b Net Cash from Operating Activities before Working Capital Changes</i>	366	972	352	484
<i>c Changes in Working Capital</i>	(351)	(1,620)	(1,092)	378
1 Net Cash provided by Operating Activities	16	(648)	(740)	862
2 Net Cash (Used in) or Available From Investing Activities	(407)	(837)	(231)	(509)
3 Net Cash (Used in) or Available From Financing Activities	287	1,787	166	115
4 Net Cash generated or (Used) during the period	(104)	302	(804)	468
D RATIO ANALYSIS				
1 Performance				
<i>a Sales Growth (for the period)</i>	-14.4%	122.9%	11.6%	11.8%
<i>b Gross Profit Margin</i>	12.5%	14.8%	11.0%	15.0%
<i>c Net Profit Margin</i>	3.6%	5.1%	1.9%	4.9%
<i>d Cash Conversion Efficiency (FCFO adjusted for Working Capital/Sales)</i>	0.8%	-3.3%	-10.1%	15.4%
<i>e Return on Equity [Net Profit Margin * Asset Turnover * (Total Assets/SI)</i>	3.5%	6.3%	1.2%	2.8%
2 Working Capital Management				
<i>a Gross Working Capital (Average Days)</i>	228	151	259	285
<i>b Net Working Capital (Average Days)</i>	146	107	212	205
<i>c Current Ratio (Current Assets / Current Liabilities)</i>	3.0	3.8	6.9	8.9
3 Coverages				
<i>a EBITDA / Finance Cost</i>	30.9	83.8	39.0	126.3
<i>b FCFO / Finance Cost+CMLTB+Excess STB</i>	16.3	20.1	5.1	78.9
<i>c Debt Payback (Total Borrowings+Excess STB) / (FCFO-Finance Cost)</i>	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
4 Capital Structure				
<i>a Total Borrowings / (Total Borrowings+Shareholders' Equity)</i>	2.0%	2.2%	3.2%	1.7%
<i>b Interest or Markup Payable (Days)</i>	89.8	88.6	114.2	101.5
<i>c Entity Average Borrowing Rate</i>	17.2%	7.6%	6.8%	3.9%

Credit Rating

Credit rating reflects forward-looking opinion on credit worthiness of underlying entity or instrument; more specifically it covers relative ability to honor financial obligations. The primary factor being captured on the rating scale is relative likelihood of default.

Scale	Long-term Rating Definition
AAA	Highest credit quality. Lowest expectation of credit risk. Indicate exceptionally strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments
AA+	
AA	Very high credit quality. Very low expectation of credit risk. Indicate very strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments. This capacity is not significantly vulnerable to foreseeable events.
AA-	
A+	
A	High credit quality. Low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered strong. This capacity may, nevertheless, be vulnerable to changes in circumstances or in economic conditions.
A-	
BBB+	
BBB	Good credit quality. Currently a low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered adequate, but adverse changes in circumstances and in economic conditions are more likely to impair this capacity.
BBB-	
BB+	
BB	Moderate risk. Possibility of credit risk developing. There is a possibility of credit risk developing, particularly as a result of adverse economic or business changes over time; however, business or financial alternatives may be available to allow financial commitments to be met.
BB-	
B+	
B	High credit risk. A limited margin of safety remains against credit risk. Financial commitments are currently being met; however, capacity for continued payment is contingent upon a sustained, favorable business and economic environment.
B-	
CCC	
CC	Very high credit risk. Substantial credit risk "CCC" Default is a real possibility. Capacity for meeting financial commitments is solely reliant upon sustained, favorable business or economic developments. "CC" Rating indicates that default of some kind appears probable. "C" Ratings signal imminent default.
C	
D	Obligations are currently in default.

Scale	Short-term Rating Definition
A1+	The highest capacity for timely repayment.
A1	A strong capacity for timely repayment.
A2	A satisfactory capacity for timely repayment. This may be susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.
A3	An adequate capacity for timely repayment. Such capacity is susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.
A4	The capacity for timely repayment is more susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions. Liquidity may not be sufficient.



*The correlation shown is indicative and, in certain cases, may not hold.

Outlook (Stable, Positive, Negative, Developing) Indicates the potential and direction of a rating over the intermediate term in response to trends in economic and/or fundamental business/financial conditions. It is not necessarily a precursor to a rating change. 'Stable' outlook means a rating is not likely to change. 'Positive' means it may be raised. 'Negative' means it may be lowered. Where the trends have conflicting elements, the outlook may be described as 'Developing'.

Rating Watch Alerts to the possibility of a rating change subsequent to, or, in anticipation of some material identifiable event with indeterminable rating implications. But it does not mean that a rating change is inevitable. A watch should be resolved within foreseeable future, but may continue if underlying circumstances are not settled. Rating watch may accompany rating outlook of the respective opinion.

Suspension It is not possible to update an opinion due to lack of requisite information. Opinion should be resumed in foreseeable future. However, if this does not happen within six (6) months, the rating should be considered withdrawn.

Withdrawn A rating is withdrawn on a) termination of rating mandate, b) the debt instrument is redeemed, c) the rating remains suspended for six months, d) the entity/issuer defaults, or/and e) PACRA finds it impractical to surveil the opinion due to lack of requisite information.

Harmonization A change in rating due to revision in applicable methodology or underlying scale.

Surveillance. Surveillance on a publicly disseminated rating opinion is carried out on an ongoing basis till it is formally suspended or withdrawn. A comprehensive surveillance of rating opinion is carried out at least once every six months. However, a rating opinion may be reviewed in the intervening period if it is necessitated by any material happening.

Note. This scale is applicable to the following methodology(s):

- a) Broker Entity Rating
- b) Corporate Rating
- c) Debt Instrument Rating
- d) Financial Institution Rating
- e) Holding Company Rating
- f) Independent Power Producer Rating
- g) Microfinance Institution Rating
- h) Non-Banking Finance Companies Rating

Disclaimer: PACRA has used due care in preparation of this document. Our information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA shall owe no liability whatsoever to any loss or damage caused by or resulting from any error in such information. Contents of PACRA documents may be used, with due care and in the right context, with credit to PACRA. Our reports and ratings constitute opinions, not recommendations to buy or to sell.

Rating Team Statements

(1) Rating is just an opinion about the creditworthiness of the entity and does not constitute recommendation to buy, hold or sell any security of the entity rated or to buy, hold or sell the security rated, as the case may be | Chapter III; 14-3-(x)

2) Conflict of Interest

- i. The Rating Team or any of their family members have no interest in this rating | Chapter III; 12-2-(j)
- ii. PACRA, the analysts involved in the rating process and members of its rating committee, and their family members, do not have any conflict of interest relating to the rating done by them | Chapter III; 12-2-(e) & (k)
- iii. The analyst is not a substantial shareholder of the customer being rated by PACRA [Annexure F; d-(ii)] Explanation: for the purpose of above clause, the term “family members” shall include only those family members who are dependent on the analyst and members of the rating committee

Restrictions

- (3) No director, officer or employee of PACRA communicates the information, acquired by him for use for rating purposes, to any other person except where required under law to do so. | Chapter III; 10-(5)
- (4) PACRA does not disclose or discuss with outside parties or make improper use of the non-public information which has come to its knowledge during business relationship with the customer | Chapter III; 10-7-(d)
- (5) PACRA does not make proposals or recommendations regarding the activities of rated entities that could impact a credit rating of entity subject to rating | Chapter III; 10-7-(k)

Conduct of Business

- (6) PACRA fulfills its obligations in a fair, efficient, transparent and ethical manner and renders high standards of services in performing its functions and obligations; | Chapter III; 11-A-(a)
- (7) PACRA uses due care in preparation of this Rating Report. Our information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA does not, in every instance, independently verifies or validates information received in the rating process or in preparing this Rating Report | Clause 11-(A)(p).
- (8) PACRA prohibits its employees and analysts from soliciting money, gifts or favors from anyone with whom PACRA conducts business | Chapter III; 11-A-(q)
- (9) PACRA ensures before commencement of the rating process that an analyst or employee has not had a recent employment or other significant business or personal relationship with the rated entity that may cause or may be perceived as causing a conflict of interest; | Chapter III; 11-A-(r)
- (10) PACRA maintains principal of integrity in seeking rating business | Chapter III; 11-A-(u)
- (11) PACRA promptly investigates, in the event of a misconduct or a breach of the policies, procedures and controls, and takes appropriate steps to rectify any weaknesses to prevent any recurrence along with suitable punitive action against the responsible employee(s) | Chapter III; 11-B-(m)

Independence & Conflict of interest

- (12) PACRA receives compensation from the entity being rated or any third party for the rating services it offers. The receipt of this compensation has no influence on PACRA’s opinions or other analytical processes. In all instances, PACRA is committed to preserving the objectivity, integrity and independence of its ratings. Our relationship is governed by two distinct mandates i) rating mandate - signed with the entity being rated or issuer of the debt instrument, and fee mandate - signed with the payer, which can be different from the entity
- (13) PACRA does not provide consultancy/advisory services or other services to any of its customers or to any of its customers’ associated companies and associated undertakings that is being rated or has been rated by it during the preceding three years unless it has adequate mechanism in place ensuring that provision of such services does not lead to a conflict of interest situation with its rating activities; | Chapter III; 12-2-(d)
- (14) PACRA discloses that no shareholder directly or indirectly holding 10% or more of the share capital of PACRA also holds directly or indirectly 10% or more of the share capital of the entity which is subject to rating or the entity which issued the instrument subject to rating by PACRA; | Reference Chapter III; 12-2-(f)
- (15) PACRA ensures that the rating assigned to an entity or instrument is not be affected by the existence of a business relationship between PACRA and the entity or any other party, or the non-existence of such a relationship | Chapter III; 12-2-(i)
- (16) PACRA ensures that the analysts or any of their family members shall not buy or sell or engage in any transaction in any security which falls in the analyst’s area of primary analytical responsibility. This clause shall, however, not be applicable on investment in securities through collective investment schemes. | Chapter III; 12-2-(l)
- (17) PACRA has established policies and procedure governing investments and trading in securities by its employees and for monitoring the same to prevent insider trading, market manipulation or any other market abuse | Chapter III; 11-B-(g)

Monitoring and review

- (18) PACRA monitors all the outstanding ratings continuously and any potential change therein due to any event associated with the issuer, the security arrangement, the industry etc., is disseminated to the market, immediately and in effective manner, after appropriate consultation with the entity/issuer; | Chapter III | 17-(a)
- (19) PACRA reviews all the outstanding ratings periodically, on annual basis; Provided that public dissemination of annual review and, in an instance of change in rating will be made; | Chapter III | 17-(b)
- (20) PACRA initiates immediate review of the outstanding rating upon becoming aware of any information that may reasonably be expected to result in downgrading of the rating; | Chapter III | 17-(c)
- (21) PACRA engages with the issuer and the debt securities trustee, to remain updated on all information pertaining to the rating of the entity/instrument; | Chapter III | 17-(d)

Probability of Default

(22) PACRA’s Rating Scale reflects the expectation of credit risk. The highest rating has the lowest relative likelihood of default (i.e., probability). PACRA’s transition studies capture the historical performance behavior of a specific rating notch. Transition behavior of the assigned rating can be obtained from PACRA’s Transition Study available at our website. (www.pacra.com). However, actual transition of rating may not follow the pattern observed in the past; | Chapter III | 14-3(f)(vii)

Proprietary Information

(23) All information contained herein is considered proprietary by PACRA. Hence, none of the information in this document can be copied or, otherwise reproduced, stored or disseminated in whole or in part in any form or by any means whatsoever by any person without PACRA’s prior written consent