

The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited

# **Rating Report**

# **Fazal Cloth Mills Limited**

Report Contents

Rating Analysis
 Financial Information

3. Rating Scale

4. Regulatory and Supplementary Disclosure

Rating History						
Dissemination Date	Long Term Rating	Short Term Rating	Outlook	Action	Rating Watch	
25-Jul-2021	A-	A2	Positive	Maintain	-	
25-Jul-2020	A-	A2	Stable	Maintain	-	
26-Jul-2019	A-	A2	Stable	Maintain	-	
24-Jan-2019	A-	A2	Stable	Maintain	-	
24-Jul-2018	A-	A2	Stable	Maintain	-	
22-Jan-2018	A-	A2	Stable	Maintain	-	
29-Jun-2017	A-	A2	Stable	Maintain	-	
08-Sep-2016	A-	A2	Stable	Maintain	-	
09-Oct-2015	A-	A2	Stable	Maintain	-	

# **Rating Rationale and Key Rating Drivers**

Fazal Cloth Mills Limited (Fazal Cloth) enjoys a strong business profile as a leading producer of yarn and cloth. The Company's large size yielding economies of scale, diverse customer base, and broad product portfolio in spinning & weaving segments provides a competitive advantage. In recent years the Company has been able to capitalize on additional capacities thereby generating incremental volumes, mainly in spinning segment, eventually translating into improved margins and profitability. Moreover, Fazal Weaving Mills Limited (wholly owned subsidiary of Fazal Cloth) has been merged into its parent company Fazal Cloth Mills Limited, which has further strengthened the Company's positioning in the market. During 9MFY21 Fazal Cloth generated revenue of PKR 38bln along with bottom-line of PKR 3.1bln. The Company enjoys strong equity base of PKR 33bln as at Mar-21. Meanwhile prices have also improved on both local and international fronts recently along with increased demand. The Company is expected to benefit from these improved industry dynamics. Fazal Cloth has a moderately leveraged capital structure and strong coverages. COVID-19 is an ongoing challenge. Fourth wave of the pandemic has started to prevail globally, implications of which are yet to be witnessed. However, textile industry dynamics have rebounded with increased exports in many segments of the sector. This is expected to remain healthy in medium term.

The ratings are dependent on the Company's ability to maintain strong business profile amidst current circumstances. Sustainability of relative position along with improved margins and profitability remain vital.

Disclosure				
Name of Rated Entity	Fazal Cloth Mills Limited			
Type of Relationship         Solicited				
Purpose of the Rating	Entity Rating			
Applicable Criteria	Methodology   Corporate Rating(Jun-21),Criteria   Correlation Between Long-term & Short-term Rating Scales(Jun-21),Criteria   Rating Modifiers(Jun-21)			
Related Research	Sector Study   Composite(Nov-20)			
Rating Analysts	Sehar Fatima   sehar.fatima@pacra.com   +92-42-35869504			



# Composite

## The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited

Profile

Legal Structure Fazal Cloth Mills Limited (Fazal Cloth) was incorporated in 1966 as public limited company. Moreover, Fazal Weaving Mills Limited (wholly owned subsidiary of Fazal Cloth) has been merged into its parent company Fazal Cloth Mills Limited.

Background Fazal Cloth is the flagship company of Fazal Group. The company is engaged in the manufacturing and marketing of different varieties of yarn and griege fabric.

**Operations** The Company operates with eight spinning units (252,564 spindles, 3,660 open-end rotors, 888 MVS spindles and 119 doubling machines) and a weaving unit (224 air jet looms). Fazal Cloth has two gas-fired captive power generation plants, with total capacity of 48MW along with a renewable energy plant (4MW).

## Ownership

**Ownership Structure** The Company's majority stakes are owned by Fazal Group and Fatima Group (44.6% each). The remaining shareholding rests with financial institutions (~6.0%) and general public (~4.7%).

Stability The considerable positions in the Company are held by Sheikh Naseem's family, where the third generation is gradually being inducted into the business. The Group has a holding company in place and the responsibilities are clearly defined among family members. However, the transfer of ownership to the next generation is not documented yet.

**Business Acumen** The sponsoring Groups have over seven decades of presence in Pakistan's textile industry, developing expertise over time. This has helped the Company to sustain through the volatility of textile industry. Mr. Rehman Naseem is a textile veteran and he is well known among his peers for strong acumen of textile, especially spinning.

Financial Strength The sponsors have a prominent position in Pakistan's corporate sector, with interests in textile, fertilizer, energy and trading. This portrays strong financial strength of the sponsors and provider of financial support to Fazal Cloth, if needed.

## Governance

**Board Structure** The Company's board comprises nine members, including the Chief Executive Officer (CEO). The board includes 3 executive directors, 3 nonexecutive directors along with three independent directors. Representation of two families provides adequate challenge to operational decision.

**Members' Profile** Mr. Sheikh Naseem Ahmad – the Chairman – is a graduate and carries over five decades of experience in textile industry. Moreover, the board members have vast knowledge and extensive experience of the textile value chain. The directors' expertise in textile industry benefits the board in efficient decision making.

**Board Effectiveness** Attendance of board members in meetings remains strong and meeting minutes were appropriately recorded. Moreover, the Company's board have two committees, namely (i) Audit, and (ii) Human Resource & Remuneration, to assist board on relevant matters. Audit Committee, in addition to an independent Chairman, comprises members from sponsoring family (non-executive directors).

Financial Transparency M/s. KPMG Taseer Hadi & Co., Chartered Accountants serves as external auditor for Fazal Cloth. They have expressed unqualified opinion and review report for the period ended 31st December, 2020. Moreover, the Company has an internal audit department which reports directly to Mr. Rehman Naseem (CEO).

### Management

**Organizational Structure** The management control of the Company vests with Fazal family. The Company has six functional departments with well-defined organizational structure and clear segregation of responsibilities

Management Team Mr Rehman Naseem – the CEO – is a Columbia University graduate and carries over two decades of experience in the textile sector. Moreover, Fazal Cloth employs a team of experienced professionals which bodes well for the Company's sustainable growth.

Effectiveness The Company has formed three-member executive committee at operational level and it is headed by the CFO. Routine management issues are discussed in these meetings to proactively address and resolve financial and legal bottlenecks. Additionally, reports on pre-determined key indicators are prepared for the senior management for ad-hoc reviews

**MIS** The Company is using fully integrated ERP software from Oracle Corporation upgraded to version R-12.2.7. Modules implemented are Payables, Receivables, Fixed Assets, Cash Management, General Ledger, Purchase, Inventory, Cost Management, Order Management, Human Resource and Payroll.

**Control Environment** Fazal Cloth is accredited with various International certifications for compliance. The Company is following latest Quality Assurance Standards for yarn and fabric production. Few of the prominent certification includes ISO 9001, Lycra assured, Fair Trade and Organic exchange.

### **Business Risk**

Industry Dynamics Textile exports of the country recorded a double digit increase of ~23% for FY21 to stand at USD 15.4bln as compared to USD 12.5bln in FY20 due to increase in demand for textile products internationally, Led by good recovery around the globe post pandemic. Going forward, the textile sector's outlook is expected to stay stable in the medium term where the demand for textile products is expected to increase. The probability of little attrition in demand remains on horizon attributable to outbreak of COVID-19 variants. In the local market, textile sector has recorded strong performance. The relief measures introduced by State Bank of Pakistan such as, deferment of loan payments for one-year, low interest rates and salary refinance scheme also provided comfort to the sector.

Relative Position Fazal Cloth is one of the largest composite textile unit in Pakistan, with significant spinning capacity, when compared to peers. Post-merger, the spinning capacity of Fazal Cloth will increase, in turn, will cause a healthy growth in revenue. At group level, Fazal Group has a considerable presence in local textile industry.

**Revenues** Revenues recorded a growth of ~22.6% YoY (9MFY21: PKR 38,179mln; 9MFY20: PKR 31,150mln), on account of increased local sales. During 9MFY21, focus on exports decreased comprising ~24% of the total revenues (9MFY20: ~42%). The sales mix depends upon prevailing demand and pricing, where the Company seeks the best mix to optimize revenue.

Margins During 9MFY21, gross margin of the Company improved (9MFY21: 17.2%; 9MFY20: 12.2%) on the back of better prices. This translated in to improved operating margin (9MFY21: 15.9%; 9MFY20: 10.4%), Net margin experienced a sharp hike as well (9MFY21: 10%; 9MFY20: 0.2%), as net income increased (9MFY21: PKR 1,259mln; 9MFY20: PKR 14mln).

**Sustainability** Going forward, prevailing uncertainty in the dynamics of textile sector due to Covid-19 outbreak globally, slow pace of vaccination in the country, fluctuations in local and international demand is expected to affect the entire textile value chain. The Company continued its operations uninterrupted during the period 9MFY21 as per the relaxation given by the authorities to export oriented entities. The Company has availed SBP's deferment of principal repayments of certain long-term loans and financing facilities for payment of salaries and loans.

## **Financial Risk**

Working Capital In 9MFY21, due to lower raw material days (9MFY21: 81 days 9MFY20: 104 days), net working capital cycle decreased (9MFY21: 141 days; 9MFY20: 163 days). Increased inventory and receivable levels augmented the trade assets (9MFY21: PKR 23,813mln; 9MFY20: PKR 20,922mln), resulting in significantly larger room-to-borrow (9MFY21: PKR 11,543mln; 9MFY20: PKR 6,428mln). The incline in trade assets led to increased ST trade leverage adequacy (9MFY21: 49%; 9MFY20: 31%).

**Coverages** During 9MFY21, the Company built up its free cash flows (9MFY21: PKR 2,014mln; 9MFY20: PKR 1,270mln) on the back of improved operational performance. Lower finance cost (9MFY20: PKR 402mln; 9MFY19: PKR 902mln) led to a growth in interest coverage (9MFY21: 5.4x; 9MFY20: 2.1x). Going forward, deferment of principal for one year and decrease in policy rate by 625bps will support cash flows.

**Capitalization** In 9MFY21, the leverage of the Company decreased (9MFY21: ~45%; 9MFY20: ~56%) on the back of higher equity (9MFY21: PKR 32,800mln; 9MFY20: PKR 20,676mln). Out of the total debt, ST borrowing constitutes ~37%.

# ACRA

ne Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited	Mar Of	I	L., 10	PKR m
Fazal Cloth Mills Limited	Mar-21	Jun-20	Jun-19	Jun-18
Composite	9M	12M	12M	12M
BALANCE SHEET				
1 Non-Current Assets	38,496	25,137	24,024	22,35
2 Investments	-	-	-	-
3 Related Party Exposure	6,694	7,959	6,985	5,71
4 Current Assets	25,170	18,569	18,667	15,11
a Inventories b Trade Receivables	17,224 6,240	11,844 4,827	10,942 5,432	8,12 4,84
5 Total Assets	70,360	51,665	49,676	43,19
6 Current Liabilities	4,689	3,787	3,746	43,1
a Trade Payables	1,968	1,363	1,411	2,7
7 Borrowings	27,404	23,643	21,723	17,8
8 Related Party Exposure	0		-	
9 Non-Current Liabilities	5,466	3,392	3,278	2,52
10 Net Assets	32,800	20,842	20,930	20,0
11 Shareholders' Equity	32,800	20,842	20,930	20,0
INCOME STATEMENT				
1 Sales	38,179	34,416	36,341	31,2
a Cost of Good Sold	(32,969)	(30,315)	(31,999)	(28,5
2 Gross Profit	5,211	4,101	4,342	2,7
a Operating Expenses	(558)	(631)	(541)	(5
3 Operating Profit	4,653	3,470	3,801	2,1
a Non Operating Income or (Expense)	345	51	457	4
4 Profit or (Loss) before Interest and Tax	4,997	3,521	4,258	2,5
a Total Finance Cost	(1,311)	(2,558)	(1,894)	(1,1
b Taxation	(603)	(562)	(849)	(2
6 Net Income Or (Loss)	3,083	401	1,516	1,2
CASH FLOW STATEMENT				
a Free Cash Flows from Operations (FCFO)	5,324	4,590	5,020	3,22
b Net Cash from Operating Activities before Working Capital Changes	3,852	2,087	3,225	2,1
c Changes in Working Capital	(2,991)	(1,009)	(3,226)	(1,4
1 Net Cash provided by Operating Activities	861	1,078	(2)	7
2 Net Cash (Used in) or Available From Investing Activities	(1,329)	(2,534)	(3,493)	(2,2
3 Net Cash (Used in) or Available From Financing Activities	523	1,545	3,587	1,5
4 Net Cash generated or (Used) during the period	55	89	93	(
RATIO ANALYSIS				
1 Performance				
a Sales Growth (for the period)	47.9%	-5.3%	16.1%	17.8%
b Gross Profit Margin	13.6%	11.9%	11.9%	8.7%
c Net Profit Margin	8.1%	1.2%	4.2%	3.9%
d Cash Conversion Efficiency (FCFO adjusted for Working Capital/Sales)	6.1%	10.4%	4.9%	5.6%
e Return on Equity [ Net Profit Margin * Asset Turnover * (Total Assets/Sk	14.5%	2.0%	7.7%	6.6%
2 Working Capital Management				
a Gross Working Capital (Average Days)	144	175	147	159
b Net Working Capital (Average Days)	132	161	137	153
c Current Ratio (Current Assets / Current Liabilities)	5.4	4.9	5.0	5.5
3 Coverages	47	1.0	2.1	2.4
a EBITDA / Finance Cost	4.7	1.8	3.1	3.4
b FCFO / Finance Cost+CMLTB+Excess STB	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.1
c Debt Payback (Total Borrowings+Excess STB) / (FCFO-Finance Cost) Capital Structure	3.2	6.8	3.7	4.6
•	15 50/	53.1%	50.9%	47.2%
a Total Borrowings / (Total Borrowings+Shareholders' Fauity)	4.77%			
a Total Borrowings / (Total Borrowings+Shareholders' Equity) b Interest or Markup Payable (Days)	45.5% 75.4	66.8	82.4	95.0

Credit		opinion on credit worthiness of un				-	
	Tinancial obliga	ations. The primary factor being ca	iptured on the rating scale	is relati			
Scale		Long-term Rating Definition		Seele		m Rating	
scale		Definition		Scale			
<b>4</b> AA	Highest credit quality. Lowe	st expectation of credit risk. Indica	te exceptionally strong	A1+		ity for timely repayment	
AAA	capacity for timely payment of financial commitments		A1 A strong capacity for timely repayment.				
AA+ AA	Very high credit quality. Very low expectation of credit risk. Indicate very strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments. This capacity is not significantly vulnerable to foreseeable events.		A2 A satisfactory capacity for timely repayment. This may be susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.				
AA-				A3		tity for timely repayment	
Α	<ul> <li>High credit quality. Low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered strong. This capacity may, nevertheless, be vulnerable to changes in circumstances or in economic conditions.</li> </ul>			A4	A4 changes in business, economic, or financi The capacity for timely repayment is more susceptible to adverse changes in busines economic, or financial conditions. Liquidi		
A-					may no	t be sufficient.	
BBB BBB BBB-	Good credit quality. Currentl payment of financial comm	y a low expectation of credit risk. ' itments is considered adequate, bu omic conditions are more likely to i	t adverse changes in		A1+ AAA AA+ AA	term Rating A1 A2 A3 A	
BB+ BB	<b>Moderate risk.</b> Possibility of credit risk developing. There is a possibility of credit risk developing, particularly as a result of adverse economic or business changes over time; however, business or financial alternatives may be available to allow financial commitments to be met.			Long-term Rating	AA- A+ A-		
BB-				Ra	BBB+		
<b>B</b> +				E	BBB		
	_	margin of safety remains against of		-te	BBB-		
В	-	being met; however, capacity for c		ng	BB+		
_	contingent upon a sustai	ned, favorable business and econor	mic environment.	Γ	BB		
<b>B-</b>					BB-		
CCC	Very high credit risk. Sub	ostantial credit risk "CCC" Default	is a real possibility.		<b>B</b> +		
~~		l commitments is solely reliant upo			B		
CC	business or economic develop	pments. "CC" Rating indicates that	t default of some kind		B-		
C	appears proba	ble. "C" Ratings signal imminent d	lefault.		CCC		
С					cc		
D	Obligations are currently in default.		*The correlation shown is indicative and, in certa cases, may not hold.				
0	utlook (Stable, Positive,	Rating Watch Alerts to the	Suspension It is not	With	drawn A rating is	Harmonization	
	ative, Developing) Indicates	possibility of a rating change	possible to update an		ithdrawn on a)	change in rating due	
the potential and direction of a subsequent to, or, in opinion due to lack		termination of rating		revision in applicat			
	over the intermediate term in	anticipation of some material	of requisite		date, b) the debt	methodology or	
resp	oonse to trends in economic	identifiable event with	information. Opinion		instrument is	underlying scale	
	and/or fundamental	indeterminable rating	should be resumed in		med, c) the rating		
	ness/financial conditions. It is	implications. But it does not	foreseeable future.		ins suspended for		
	necessarily a precursor to a	mean that a rating change is	However, if this		months, d) the		
	ng change. 'Stable' outlook	inevitable. A watch should be	does not happen	-	y/issuer defaults.,		
	ans a rating is not likely to	resolved within foreseeable	within six (6)		1 e) PACRA finds		
-	e. 'Positive' means it may be	future, but may continue if underlying circumstances are	months, the rating should be considered	-	practical to surveill pinion due to lack		
	ered. Where the trends have	not settled. Rating watch may	withdrawn.		of requisite		
	licting elements, the outlook	accompany rating outlook of			information.		
	be described as 'Developing'.	the respective opinion.					

Surveillance. Surveillance on a publicly disseminated rating opinion is carried out on an ongoing basis till it is formally suspended or withdrawn. A comprehensive surveillance of rating opinion is carried out at least once every six months. However, a rating opinion may be reviewed in the intervening period if it is necessitated by any material happening.

Note. This scale is applicable to the following methodology(s): Entities

- a) Broker Entity Rating
- b) Corporate Rating
- c) Financial Institution Rating
- d) Holding Company Rating
- e) Independent Power Producer Rating

Instruments

c) Sukuk Rating

b) Debt Instrument Rating

- f) Microfinance Institution Rating
- g) Non-Banking Finance Companies
- (NBFCs) Rating

**Disclaimer:** PACRA has used due care in preparation of this document. Our information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA shall owe no liability whatsoever to any loss or damage caused by or resulting from any error in such information. Contents of PACRA documents may be used, with due care and in the right context, with credit to PACRA. Our reports and ratings constitute opinions, not recommendations to buy or to sell.

ACRA

113

a) Basel III Compliant Debt Instrument Rating

# **Rating Team Statements**

(1) Rating is just an opinion about the creditworthiness of the entity and does not constitute recommendation to buy, hold or sell any security of the entity rated or to buy, hold or sell the security rated, as the case may be | Chapter III; 14-3-(x)

# 2) Conflict of Interest

i. The Rating Team or any of their family members have no interest in this rating | Chapter III; 12-2-(j)

ii. PACRA, the analysts involved in the rating process and members of its rating committee, and their family members, do not have any conflict of interest relating to the rating done by them | Chapter III; 12-2-(e) & (k)

iii. The analyst is not a substantial shareholder of the customer being rated by PACRA [Annexure F; d-(ii)] Explanation: for the purpose of above clause, the term "family members" shall include only those family members who are dependent on the analyst and members of the rating committee

# Restrictions

(3) No director, officer or employee of PACRA communicates the information, acquired by him for use for rating purposes, to any other person except where required under law to do so. | Chapter III; 10-(5)

(4) PACRA does not disclose or discuss with outside parties or make improper use of the non-public information which has come to its knowledge during business relationship with the customer | Chapter III; 10-7-(d)

(5) PACRA does not make proposals or recommendations regarding the activities of rated entities that could impact a credit rating of entity subject to rating | Chapter III; 10-7-(k)

# **Conduct of Business**

(6) PACRA fulfills its obligations in a fair, efficient, transparent and ethical manner and renders high standards of services in performing its functions and obligations; | Chapter III; 11-A-(a)

(7) PACRA uses due care in preparation of this Rating Report. Our information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA does not, in every instance, independently verifies or validates information received in the rating process or in preparing this Rating Report.

(8) PACRA prohibits its employees and analysts from soliciting money, gifts or favors from anyone with whom PACRA conducts business | Chapter III; 11-A-(q)

(9) PACRA ensures before commencement of the rating process that an analyst or employee has not had a recent employment or other significant business or personal relationship with the rated entity that may cause or may be perceived as causing a conflict of interest; | Chapter III; 11-A-(r) (10) PACRA maintains principal of integrity in seeking rating business | Chapter III; 11-A-(u)

(11) PACRA promptly investigates, in the event of a misconduct or a breach of the policies, procedures and controls, and takes appropriate steps to rectify any weaknesses to prevent any recurrence along with suitable punitive action against the responsible employee(s) | Chapter III; 11-B-(m)

# Independence & Conflict of interest

(12) PACRA receives compensation from the entity being rated or any third party for the rating services it offers. The receipt of this compensation has no influence on PACRA's opinions or other analytical processes. In all instances, PACRA is committed to preserving the objectivity, integrity and independence of its ratings. Our relationship is governed by two distinct mandates i) rating mandate - signed with the entity being rated or issuer of the debt instrument, and fee mandate - signed with the payer, which can be different from the entity

(13) PACRA does not provide consultancy/advisory services or other services to any of its customers or to any of its customers' associated companies and associated undertakings that is being rated or has been rated by it during the preceding three years unless it has adequate mechanism in place ensuring that provision of such services does not lead to a conflict of interest situation with its rating activities; | Chapter III; 12-2-(d)

(14) PACRA discloses that no shareholder directly or indirectly holding 10% or more of the share capital of PACRA also holds directly or indirectly 10% or more of the share capital of the entity which is subject to rating or the entity which issued the instrument subject to rating by PACRA; | Reference Chapter III; 12-2-(f)

(15) PACRA ensures that the rating assigned to an entity or instrument is not be affected by the existence of a business relationship between PACRA and the entity or any other party, or the non-existence of such a relationship | Chapter III; 12-2-(i)

(16) PACRA ensures that the analysts or any of their family members shall not buy or sell or engage in any transaction in any security which falls in the analyst's area of primary analytical responsibility. This clause shall, however, not be applicable on investment in securities through collective investment schemes. | Chapter III; 12-2-(1)

(17) PACRA has established policies and procedure governing investments and trading in securities by its employees and for monitoring the same to prevent insider trading, market manipulation or any other market abuse | Chapter III; 11-B-(g)

# Monitoring and review

(18) PACRA monitors all the outstanding ratings continuously and any potential change therein due to any event associated with the issuer, the security arrangement, the industry etc., is disseminated to the market, immediately and in effective manner, after appropriate consultation with the entity/issuer; | Chapter III | 18-(a)

(19) PACRA reviews all the outstanding ratings on semi-annual basis or as and when required by any creditor or upon the occurrence of such an event which requires to do so; | Chapter III | 18-(b)

(20) PACRA initiates immediate review of the outstanding rating upon becoming aware of any information that may reasonably be expected to result in downgrading of the rating; | Chapter III | 18-(c)

(21) PACRA engages with the issuer and the debt securities trustee, to remain updated on all information pertaining to the rating of the entity/instrument; | Chapter III | 18-(d)

# **Probability of Default**

(22) PACRA's Rating Scale reflects the expectation of credit risk. The highest rating has the lowest relative likelihood of default (i.e, probability). PACRA's transition studies capture the historical performance behavior of a specific rating notch. Transition behavior of the assigned rating can be obtained from PACRA's Transition Study available at our website. (www.pacra.com). However, actual transition of rating may not follow the pattern observed in the past | Chapter III | 14-(f-VII)

# **Proprietary Information**

(23) All information contained herein is considered proprietary by PACRA. Hence, none of the information in this document can be copied or, otherwise reproduced, stored or disseminated in whole or in part in any form or by any means whatsoever by any person without PACRA's prior written consent