



The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited

## Rating Report

### Prosperity Weaving Mills Limited

#### Report Contents

1. Rating Analysis
2. Financial Information
3. Rating Scale
4. Regulatory and Supplementary Disclosure

#### Rating History

Dissemination Date	Long Term Rating	Short Term Rating	Outlook	Action	Rating Watch
24-Sep-2021	A-	A2	Stable	Maintain	-
25-Sep-2020	A-	A2	Stable	Maintain	-
28-Sep-2019	A-	A2	Stable	Maintain	-
30-Mar-2019	A-	A2	Stable	Maintain	-
28-Dec-2018	A-	A2	Stable	Maintain	-
30-Jun-2018	A-	A2	Stable	Maintain	-
28-Dec-2017	A-	A2	Stable	Maintain	-
15-Jun-2017	A-	A2	Negative	Maintain	-
29-Dec-2016	A-	A2	Negative	Maintain	-
29-Dec-2015	A-	A2	Negative	Maintain	-

#### Rating Rationale and Key Rating Drivers

Prosperity Weaving Mills Limited, incorporated in 1991, is a part of one of the oldest medium-sized textile group in Pakistan - Nagina Group. The group has presence in local spinning sector through Nagina Cotton Mills Limited and Ellcot Spinning Mills Limited. The ratings reflect improving business profile of Prosperity Weaving; characterized by increased revenue, along with improved margins. The Company is engaged in production of greige fabric and operates with a weaving unit comprising 316 looms. In recent years, the Company has replaced some of the old looms under BMR projects to improve the operational efficiency. However, the production capacity in terms of looms has remained largely stagnant. The Company's topline increased by 25% YoY during 9MFY21 to stand at PKR 5.7bln; a resultant of higher capacity utilization accompanied with better prices. Prosperity Weaving caters to the need of local industry as well as export markets. Moreover, exports have significantly increased during 9MFY21 constituting 43% of total revenue (9MFY20: 25%). During the period, margins have improved along with increased net income of PKR 436mln. Enhanced cashflows resulted in improved coverages. However, the Company has modest leveraged capital structure. Prices have also improved on both local and international fronts recently along with increased demand. The Company is expected to benefit from these improved industry dynamics in the medium run. The assigned ratings derive comfort from Prosperity Weaving's association with Nagina Group. Textile exports of the country recorded a double-digit increase of ~23% for FY21 to stand at USD 15.4bln as compared to USD 12.5bln in FY20 due to an increase in demand for textile products internationally, led by good recovery around the globe post-pandemic. Going forward, the textile sector's outlook is expected to stay stable in the medium term where the demand for textile products is expected to increase. The probability of little attrition in demand remains on the horizon attributable to the outbreak of COVID-19 variants.

The ratings are dependent upon sustained market position of the Company. Moreover, the Company's ability to generate enough cash flows to fulfill its financial obligations is critical, along with prudent investment decisions.

#### Disclosure

<b>Name of Rated Entity</b>	Prosperity Weaving Mills Limited
<b>Type of Relationship</b>	Solicited
<b>Purpose of the Rating</b>	Entity Rating
<b>Applicable Criteria</b>	Methodology   Corporate Rating(Jun-21),Criteria   Correlation Between Long-term & Short-term Rating Scales(Jun-21),Criteria   Rating Modifiers(Jun-21)
<b>Related Research</b>	Sector Study   Weaving(Aug-21)
<b>Rating Analysts</b>	Sehar Fatima   sehar.fatima@pacra.com   +92-42-35869504

## Profile

**Legal Structure** Prosperity Weaving Mills Limited (Prosperity Weaving) was incorporated on November 20, 1991 as a public limited company.  
**Background** Prosperity Weaving is associated with Nagina Group since its inception. The group has presence in spinning sector through Ellcot Spinning and Nagina Cotton. The Company's production facility is located in the vicinity of Sheikhpura.  
**Operations** The Company operates with 316 Looms and has installed Benninger Zell warping and sizing machines for producing home furnishing greige fabric.

## Ownership

**Ownership Structure** The majority stakes (~87%) of the Company is held by Nagina Group, through group companies and sponsoring individuals. The remaining shareholding rests with general public and financial institutions.  
**Stability** The considerable positions in Nagina Group are held by Ellahi Family. The Group has a structured line of succession, reflected from equalized distribution of shareholding among Ellahi brothers and their family members. Meanwhile, third generation has already been in business, serving at various capacities.  
**Business Acumen** Nagina Group is one of the oldest medium-sized textile houses in Pakistan. Operating under Ellahi's for five decades, developing credential expertise in spinning and weaving over the period of time. The Group has adequately expanded its operations despite competitive textile industry.  
**Financial Strength** Nagina Group comprises three listed public limited companies, namely; i) Ellcot Spinning Mills, ii) Prosperity Weaving Mills, and iii) Nagina Cotton Mills Limited and six private limited companies. Nagina Group's private limited companies include Monell (Pvt.) Limited, Icaro (Pvt.) Limited, Haroon Omer (Pvt.) Limited, Ellahi International (Pvt.) Limited, ARH (Pvt.) Limited, and Pacific Industries (Pvt.) Limited.

## Governance

**Board Structure** Prosperity Weaving's board comprises ten members out of which six members are non-executive directors, while one director carries the executive role and three are independent directors. Mr. Shahzada Ellahi Shaikh is the Chairman.  
**Members' Profile** Mr. Shahzada Ellahi Shaikh – the Chairman – carries with him over two decades of experience in local textile industry. The board members have vast knowledge of textile industry; though diversity in experiences exists as well.  
**Board Effectiveness** Three committees: Audit, Executive and Human Resource & Remuneration, are in place to assist the board in relevant matters and ensure proper oversight.  
**Financial Transparency** M/s. Deloitte Yousuf Adil, Chartered Accountants are the external auditors of the Company. They have expressed unqualified opinion on the financial statements of the Company for the periods FY20.

## Management

**Organizational Structure** The organizational structure of the Company is divided into various functional departments, namely: (i) Marketing, (ii) Finance, (iii) Administration & HR, (iv) Accounts, and (v) Commercial (fixed asset procurement). The procurement of raw materials is handled at group-level and notable portion of yarn is sourced through group companies.  
**Management Team** The management team is headed by the CEO Mr. Raza Ellahi who holds a graduate degree, in Economics. He is well verse with the textile business providing requisite acumen. He is supported by a team of seasoned professionals, supplementing his expertise.  
**Effectiveness** The management meetings are held on daily basis with follow-up points to resolve or pro-actively address operational issues, if any, eventually ensuring smooth flow of operations. The Company's MIS can be classified into three categories on the basis of periodicity – Daily, Weekly and Monthly. The daily and weekly reports are generated for top management with main focus on production and liquidity position of the Company. Whereas, on monthly basis the Company's P&L is presented and discussed in the meetings. Apart from this, daily meetings (DMM) at the start of the day are held at Nagina Group's head office to make opinion on yarn and cotton prices, eventually ensuring prudent inventory procurement.  
**MIS** Prosperity Weaving has implemented Oracle based ERP solution with five operational modules including i) Order management, ii) Procurement, iii) Inventory, iv) Fixed Assets, and v) Cash management. The Company is also equipped with "Treasury Management System" bringing efficiency to treasury transactions. This software keeps record and provides various analytical reports about the Company's exports and imports quantities, trade business to banks, outstanding forward contracts' bookings and periodic exchange rates.  
**Control Environment** Prosperity Weaving is accredited with International certifications for compliance. The Company is following latest Quality Assurance Standards for fabric production and trade. The certification include ISO 9001:2008, Global Organic Textile Standards and Organic Content Standard. In order to ensure better productivity and compliance with relevant certifications the plants are regularly inspected.

## Business Risk

**Industry Dynamics** Textile exports of the country recorded a double digit increase of ~23% for FY21 to stand at USD 15.4bln as compared to USD 12.5bln in FY20 due to increase in demand for textile products internationally, Led by good recovery around the globe post pandemic. Going forward, the textile sector's outlook is expected to stay stable in the medium term where the demand for textile products is expected to increase. The probability of little attrition in demand remains on horizon attributable to outbreak of COVID-19 variants. In the local market, textile sector has recorded strong performance. The relief measures introduced by State Bank of Pakistan such as, deferment of loan payments for one-year, low interest rates and salary refinancing scheme also provided comfort to the sector.  
**Relative Position** Prosperity Weaving is associated with Nagina Group. The Group has a long history of operations in Pakistan's spinning and weaving sectors. This, strengthens the Company's market position. However, on standalone basis Prosperity weaving's share in local weaving industry is minimal.  
**Revenues** During 9MFY21, the Company's revenues clocked in at PKR 5,738mln (9MFY20: PKR 4,603mln), posting a growth of ~25% YoY mainly attributable to better prices.  
**Margins** During 9MFY21, the Company's gross margins increased (9MFY21: 13.7%, 9MFY20: 9.9%) on account of better prices. This translated into strengthened operating margins (9MFY21: 9.9%, 9MFY20: 6.6%). Reduction in finance cost (9MFY21: PKR 63mln, 9MFY20: PKR 93mln) further boosted net income (9MFY21: PKR 436mln, 9MFY20: PKR 178mln). Subsequently, net margin increased (9MFY21: 7.6%, 9MFY20: 3.9%).  
**Sustainability** The management of the company is focusing on efficient sales mix and product differentiation strategy along with consistent monitoring of areas where measures can be taken to reduce cost and enhance the value of the company through profitably and market growth. The Company also has a small investment portfolio worth PKR 398mln as at end-Mar21, which includes equity investments (PKR 332mln) as well as investment in treasury bills (PKR 258mln).

## Financial Risk

**Working Capital** During 9MFY21, net working capital cycle shortened (9MFY21: 49 days; 9MFY20: 67 days) on the back of improved receivables' and inventories' cycle. The Company also recorded an increase in trade assets (9MFY21: PKR 1,420mln; 9MFY20: PKR 1,113mln) majorly due to higher receivables' levels (9MFY21: PKR 671mln; 9MFY20: PKR 418mln). This expanded the room-to-borrow (9MFY21: PKR 1,124mln; 9MFY20: PKR 484mln), consequently improving the ST trade leverage adequacy (9MFY21: 79.2%; 9MFY20: 43.5%).  
**Coverages** In 9MFY21, the Company experienced an increase in operating cash flows (9MFY21: PKR 687mln; 9MFY20: PKR 423mln) due to improved profitability at operating level. Moreover, lower finance cost (9MFY21: PKR 63mln, 9MFY20: PKR 93mln) led to improved interest coverage (9MFY21: PKR 11.9x; 9MFY20: 4.8x). Likewise, debt coverage also increased (9MFY21: PKR 2.1x; 9MFY20: 1.4x).  
**Capitalization** During the period under review, the Company's leverage decreased (9MFY21: ~56%; FY20: ~63%) as the equity climbed up (9MFY21: PKR 1,659mln; FY20: PKR 1,168mln).



Prosperity Weaving Mills Limited Weaving	Mar-21 9M	Jun-20 12M	Jun-19 12M	Jun-18 12M
---------------------------------------------	--------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**A BALANCE SHEET**

1 Non-Current Assets	2,315	2,109	2,240	2,248
2 Investments	590	473	445	451
3 Related Party Exposure	-	-	-	-
4 Current Assets	1,560	1,307	1,778	1,560
<i>a Inventories</i>	646	519	520	358
<i>b Trade Receivables</i>	671	587	912	713
<b>5 Total Assets</b>	<b>4,465</b>	<b>3,890</b>	<b>4,463</b>	<b>4,260</b>
6 Current Liabilities	509	585	494	429
<i>a Trade Payables</i>	178	203	116	108
7 Borrowings	2,137	1,988	2,694	2,730
8 Related Party Exposure	-	-	-	-
9 Non-Current Liabilities	160	136	120	100
<b>10 Net Assets</b>	<b>1,659</b>	<b>1,180</b>	<b>1,155</b>	<b>1,001</b>
<b>11 Shareholders' Equity</b>	<b>1,659</b>	<b>1,180</b>	<b>1,155</b>	<b>1,001</b>

**B INCOME STATEMENT**

1 Sales	5,738	6,019	7,112	6,212
<i>a Cost of Good Sold</i>	(4,952)	(5,489)	(6,405)	(5,857)
<b>2 Gross Profit</b>	<b>786</b>	<b>530</b>	<b>707</b>	<b>356</b>
<i>a Operating Expenses</i>	(223)	(199)	(201)	(163)
<b>3 Operating Profit</b>	<b>563</b>	<b>330</b>	<b>506</b>	<b>192</b>
<i>a Non Operating Income or (Expense)</i>	25	37	(5)	7
<b>4 Profit or (Loss) before Interest and Tax</b>	<b>588</b>	<b>367</b>	<b>501</b>	<b>199</b>
<i>a Total Finance Cost</i>	(63)	(119)	(182)	(144)
<i>b Taxation</i>	(89)	(93)	(109)	-
<b>6 Net Income Or (Loss)</b>	<b>436</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>210</b>	<b>55</b>

**C CASH FLOW STATEMENT**

<i>a Free Cash Flows from Operations (FCFO)</i>	687	469	683	406
<i>b Net Cash from Operating Activities before Working Capital Changes</i>	619	333	545	301
<i>c Changes in Working Capital</i>	(351)	467	(289)	37
<b>1 Net Cash provided by Operating Activities</b>	<b>268</b>	<b>800</b>	<b>256</b>	<b>338</b>
<b>2 Net Cash (Used in) or Available From Investing Activities</b>	<b>(420)</b>	<b>(123)</b>	<b>(237)</b>	<b>(91)</b>
<b>3 Net Cash (Used in) or Available From Financing Activities</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>(778)</b>	<b>(72)</b>	<b>(94)</b>
<b>4 Net Cash generated or (Used) during the period</b>	<b>(46)</b>	<b>(101)</b>	<b>(53)</b>	<b>152</b>

**D RATIO ANALYSIS**

<b>1 Performance</b>				
<i>a Sales Growth (for the period)</i>	27.1%	-15.4%	14.5%	6.7%
<i>b Gross Profit Margin</i>	13.7%	8.8%	9.9%	5.7%
<i>c Net Profit Margin</i>	7.6%	2.6%	3.0%	0.9%
<i>d Cash Conversion Efficiency (FCFO adjusted for Working Capital/Sales)</i>	5.8%	15.6%	5.5%	7.1%
<i>e Return on Equity [ Net Profit Margin * Asset Turnover * (Total Assets/Sl</i>	37.4%	12.2%	18.6%	5.4%
<b>2 Working Capital Management</b>				
<i>a Gross Working Capital (Average Days)</i>	58	77	64	69
<i>b Net Working Capital (Average Days)</i>	49	67	58	62
<i>c Current Ratio (Current Assets / Current Liabilities)</i>	3.1	2.2	3.6	3.6
<b>3 Coverages</b>				
<i>a EBITDA / Finance Cost</i>	13.0	5.0	4.2	3.3
<i>b FCFO / Finance Cost+CMLTB+Excess STB</i>	2.1	2.4	1.5	1.2
<i>c Debt Payback (Total Borrowings+Excess STB) / (FCFO-Finance Cost)</i>	2.4	4.5	3.3	6.3
<b>4 Capital Structure</b>				
<i>a Total Borrowings / (Total Borrowings+Shareholders' Equity)</i>	56.3%	62.7%	70.0%	73.2%
<i>b Interest or Markup Payable (Days)</i>	91.6	86.1	91.4	94.4
<i>c Entity Average Borrowing Rate</i>	3.7%	4.8%	6.4%	4.9%

**Credit Rating**

Credit rating reflects forward-looking opinion on credit worthiness of underlying entity or instrument; more specifically it covers relative ability to honor financial obligations. The primary factor being captured on the rating scale is relative likelihood of default.

Scale	Long-term Rating Definition
AAA	<b>Highest credit quality.</b> Lowest expectation of credit risk. Indicate exceptionally strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments
AA+	
AA	<b>Very high credit quality.</b> Very low expectation of credit risk. Indicate very strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments. This capacity is not significantly vulnerable to foreseeable events.
AA-	
A+	
A	<b>High credit quality.</b> Low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered strong. This capacity may, nevertheless, be vulnerable to changes in circumstances or in economic conditions.
A-	
BBB+	
BBB	<b>Good credit quality.</b> Currently a low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered adequate, but adverse changes in circumstances and in economic conditions are more likely to impair this capacity.
BBB-	
BB+	<b>Moderate risk.</b> Possibility of credit risk developing. There is a possibility of credit risk developing, particularly as a result of adverse economic or business changes over time; however, business or financial alternatives may be available to allow financial commitments to be met.
BB	
BB-	
B+	
B	<b>High credit risk.</b> A limited margin of safety remains against credit risk. Financial commitments are currently being met; however, capacity for continued payment is contingent upon a sustained, favorable business and economic environment.
B-	
CCC	
CC	<b>Very high credit risk.</b> Substantial credit risk “CCC” Default is a real possibility. Capacity for meeting financial commitments is solely reliant upon sustained, favorable business or economic developments. “CC” Rating indicates that default of some kind appears probable. “C” Ratings signal imminent default.
C	
D	Obligations are currently in default.

Scale	Short-term Rating Definition
A1+	The highest capacity for timely repayment.
A1	A strong capacity for timely repayment.
A2	A satisfactory capacity for timely repayment. This may be susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.
A3	An adequate capacity for timely repayment. Such capacity is susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.
A4	The capacity for timely repayment is more susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions. Liquidity may not be sufficient.



\*The correlation shown is indicative and, in certain cases, may not hold.

**Outlook (Stable, Positive, Negative, Developing)** Indicates the potential and direction of a rating over the intermediate term in response to trends in economic and/or fundamental business/financial conditions. It is not necessarily a precursor to a rating change. ‘Stable’ outlook means a rating is not likely to change. ‘Positive’ means it may be raised. ‘Negative’ means it may be lowered. Where the trends have conflicting elements, the outlook may be described as ‘Developing’.

**Rating Watch** Alerts to the possibility of a rating change subsequent to, or, in anticipation of some material identifiable event with indeterminable rating implications. But it does not mean that a rating change is inevitable. A watch should be resolved within foreseeable future, but may continue if underlying circumstances are not settled. Rating watch may accompany rating outlook of the respective opinion.

**Suspension** It is not possible to update an opinion due to lack of requisite information. Opinion should be resumed in foreseeable future. However, if this does not happen within six (6) months, the rating should be considered withdrawn.

**Withdrawn** A rating is withdrawn on a) termination of rating mandate, b) the debt instrument is redeemed, c) the rating remains suspended for six months, d) the entity/issuer defaults., or/and e) PACRA finds it impractical to surveil the opinion due to lack of requisite information.

**Harmonization** A change in rating due to revision in applicable methodology or underlying scale.

**Surveillance.** Surveillance on a publicly disseminated rating opinion is carried out on an ongoing basis till it is formally suspended or withdrawn. A comprehensive surveillance of rating opinion is carried out at least once every six months. However, a rating opinion may be reviewed in the intervening period if it is necessitated by any material happening.

**Note.** This scale is applicable to the following methodology(s):

<p><b>Entities</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Broker Entity Rating</li> <li>b) Corporate Rating</li> <li>c) Financial Institution Rating</li> <li>d) Holding Company Rating</li> <li>e) Independent Power Producer Rating</li> <li>f) Microfinance Institution Rating</li> <li>g) Non-Banking Finance Companies (NBFCs) Rating</li> </ul>	<p><b>Instruments</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Basel III Compliant Debt Instrument Rating</li> <li>b) Debt Instrument Rating</li> <li>c) Sukuk Rating</li> </ul>
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

**Disclaimer:** PACRA has used due care in preparation of this document. Our information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA shall owe no liability whatsoever to any loss or damage caused by or resulting from any error in such information. Contents of PACRA documents may be used, with due care and in the right context, with credit to PACRA. Our reports and ratings constitute opinions, not recommendations to buy or to sell.

### **Rating Team Statements**

(1) Rating is just an opinion about the creditworthiness of the entity and does not constitute recommendation to buy, hold or sell any security of the entity rated or to buy, hold or sell the security rated, as the case may be | Chapter III; 14-3-(x)

### **2) Conflict of Interest**

- i. The Rating Team or any of their family members have no interest in this rating | Chapter III; 12-2-(j)
- ii. PACRA, the analysts involved in the rating process and members of its rating committee, and their family members, do not have any conflict of interest relating to the rating done by them | Chapter III; 12-2-(e) & (k)
- iii. The analyst is not a substantial shareholder of the customer being rated by PACRA [Annexure F; d-(ii)] Explanation: for the purpose of above clause, the term "family members" shall include only those family members who are dependent on the analyst and members of the rating committee

### **Restrictions**

- (3) No director, officer or employee of PACRA communicates the information, acquired by him for use for rating purposes, to any other person except where required under law to do so. | Chapter III; 10-(5)
- (4) PACRA does not disclose or discuss with outside parties or make improper use of the non-public information which has come to its knowledge during business relationship with the customer | Chapter III; 10-7-(d)
- (5) PACRA does not make proposals or recommendations regarding the activities of rated entities that could impact a credit rating of entity subject to rating | Chapter III; 10-7-(k)

### **Conduct of Business**

- (6) PACRA fulfills its obligations in a fair, efficient, transparent and ethical manner and renders high standards of services in performing its functions and obligations; | Chapter III; 11-A-(a)
- (7) PACRA uses due care in preparation of this Rating Report. Our information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA does not, in every instance, independently verifies or validates information received in the rating process or in preparing this Rating Report.
- (8) PACRA prohibits its employees and analysts from soliciting money, gifts or favors from anyone with whom PACRA conducts business | Chapter III; 11-A-(q)
- (9) PACRA ensures before commencement of the rating process that an analyst or employee has not had a recent employment or other significant business or personal relationship with the rated entity that may cause or may be perceived as causing a conflict of interest; | Chapter III; 11-A-(r)
- (10) PACRA maintains principal of integrity in seeking rating business | Chapter III; 11-A-(u)
- (11) PACRA promptly investigates, in the event of a misconduct or a breach of the policies, procedures and controls, and takes appropriate steps to rectify any weaknesses to prevent any recurrence along with suitable punitive action against the responsible employee(s) | Chapter III; 11-B-(m)

### **Independence & Conflict of interest**

- (12) PACRA receives compensation from the entity being rated or any third party for the rating services it offers. The receipt of this compensation has no influence on PACRA's opinions or other analytical processes. In all instances, PACRA is committed to preserving the objectivity, integrity and independence of its ratings. Our relationship is governed by two distinct mandates i) rating mandate - signed with the entity being rated or issuer of the debt instrument, and fee mandate - signed with the payer, which can be different from the entity
- (13) PACRA does not provide consultancy/advisory services or other services to any of its customers or to any of its customers' associated companies and associated undertakings that is being rated or has been rated by it during the preceding three years unless it has adequate mechanism in place ensuring that provision of such services does not lead to a conflict of interest situation with its rating activities; | Chapter III; 12-2-(d)
- (14) PACRA discloses that no shareholder directly or indirectly holding 10% or more of the share capital of PACRA also holds directly or indirectly 10% or more of the share capital of the entity which is subject to rating or the entity which issued the instrument subject to rating by PACRA; | Reference Chapter III; 12-2-(f)
- (15) PACRA ensures that the rating assigned to an entity or instrument is not be affected by the existence of a business relationship between PACRA and the entity or any other party, or the non-existence of such a relationship | Chapter III; 12-2-(i)
- (16) PACRA ensures that the analysts or any of their family members shall not buy or sell or engage in any transaction in any security which falls in the analyst's area of primary analytical responsibility. This clause shall, however, not be applicable on investment in securities through collective investment schemes. | Chapter III; 12-2-(l)
- (17) PACRA has established policies and procedure governing investments and trading in securities by its employees and for monitoring the same to prevent insider trading, market manipulation or any other market abuse | Chapter III; 11-B-(g)

### **Monitoring and review**

- (18) PACRA monitors all the outstanding ratings continuously and any potential change therein due to any event associated with the issuer, the security arrangement, the industry etc., is disseminated to the market, immediately and in effective manner, after appropriate consultation with the entity/issuer; | Chapter III | 18-(a)
- (19) PACRA reviews all the outstanding ratings on semi-annual basis or as and when required by any creditor or upon the occurrence of such an event which requires to do so; | Chapter III | 18-(b)
- (20) PACRA initiates immediate review of the outstanding rating upon becoming aware of any information that may reasonably be expected to result in downgrading of the rating; | Chapter III | 18-(c)
- (21) PACRA engages with the issuer and the debt securities trustee, to remain updated on all information pertaining to the rating of the entity/instrument; | Chapter III | 18-(d)

### **Probability of Default**

(22) PACRA's Rating Scale reflects the expectation of credit risk. The highest rating has the lowest relative likelihood of default (i.e, probability). PACRA's transition studies capture the historical performance behavior of a specific rating notch. Transition behavior of the assigned rating can be obtained from PACRA's Transition Study available at our website. (www.pacra.com). However, actual transition of rating may not follow the pattern observed in the past | Chapter III | 14-(F-VII)

### **Proprietary Information**

(23) All information contained herein is considered proprietary by PACRA. Hence, none of the information in this document can be copied or, otherwise reproduced, stored or disseminated in whole or in part in any form or by any means whatsoever by any person without PACRA's prior written consent